

IFN703 / IFN704 – Final report (60%)

All criteria are marked out of 20. The total marks are calculated as the weighted average using the weights listed below.

For instance, a student receiving 18 for the context, 17 for the approach, 16 for the findings, 18 for the reflection, 18 for the documentation, and 16 for the presentation will have a total of $(18 \times 3 + 17 \times 4 + 16 \times 4 + 18 \times 1 + 18 \times 2 + 16 \times 1) \div 15 \approx 17.07/20$, for a final mark of 51.2/60.

Criteria	Level of achievement				
	Exceptional (19-20)	Very high (16-18)	High (13-15)	Acceptable (10-12)	Unsatisfactory (0-9)
Context (weight: 3) What your project was about.	An excellent definition of the context and scope of the project, which demonstrates a perfect understanding of related background work.	A very good definition of the context and scope of the project, which demonstrates a very good understanding of related background work.	A good definition of the context and scope of the project, which demonstrates a good understanding of related background work.	An adequate definition of the context and scope of the project, which demonstrate some understanding of related background work.	An inadequate definition of the context and scope of the project, which does not demonstrate an adequate understanding of related background work.
Approach (weight: 4) What you have done, and how you have done it.	Approach is technically sound and fully and effectively addressed research question.	Approach is technically sound and fully addressed research question.	Approach is technically sound and addressed most aspects of the research question.	Approach is technically sound and addressed some aspects of the research question.	Approach is not technically sound or did not address the research question.
Findings (weight: 4) What you discovered.	A comprehensive and convincing breakdown of all the findings of the project, their significance, and their potential limitations.	A convincing breakdown of all the main findings of the project, their significance, and their potential limitations.	A convincing breakdown of some findings of the project, their significance, and their potential limitations.	A breakdown of some findings of the project, with limited discussion of their significance or their potential limitations.	An inadequate breakdown of the findings of the project, with no discussion of their significance or their potential limitations.
Reflection (weight: 1) What you learned in the process.	Excellent reflections on the conduct of project and recommendations for future work.	Very good reflections on the conduct of project and recommendations for future work.	Good reflections on the conduct of project and recommendations for future work.	Adequate reflections on the conduct of project and recommendations for future work.	Limited reflections on the conduct of project and recommendations for future work.
Documentation (weight: 2) How you ensured that your work is reproducible.	All key results, tables and figures are easily reproducible by another data analyst.	All key results, tables and figures are reproducible by another data analyst.	Most key results, tables and figures are reproducible by another data analyst.	Some key results, tables and figures are reproducible by another data analyst.	Key results, tables and figures are not reproducible.
Presentation (weight: 1) Layout and writing quality	Exceptional. Very neat and concise presentation, no language mistakes. Easy to read (simple sentences)	Excellent. Neat and concise presentation, minor (occasional) language mistakes. Mostly easy to read. Flows easily, logical sub sections.	Good. Overall presentation looks good, but on closer inspection reveals some mistakes in language. Some elaboration of trivial points to pad up the report.	Satisfactory. Overall presentation looks good, but on closer inspection reveals several mistakes in language. Unnecessary elaboration of trivial points.	General presentation is poor. Writing may be difficult to understand with gaps in logic, jargon over explanation, etc.

- Notes:**
- Professional publishers automatically reject submissions that do not conform to their content and format instructions. Similarly, if your submission does not use the template provided, your marks for 'Presentation' will fall in the 'unsatisfactory' band.
 - A reflection that only discusses future work and does not genuinely reflects on the conduct of the project and what you have learned in that process will fall in the 'unsatisfactory' band.
 - A report that does not contain code to reproduce the work will receive a score of 0 for 'Documentation'.