



Learn, Share, Build

Each month, over 50 million developers come to Stack Overflow to learn, share their knowledge, and build their careers.

Google

Facebook

OR

Join the world's largest developer community.

How can I perform set operations on Python dictionaries?

While it is incredibly useful to be able to do set operations between the keys of a dictionary, I often wish that I could perform the set operations on the dictionaries themselves.

I found some recipes for taking [the difference of two dictionaries](#) but I found those to be quite verbose and felt there must be more pythonic answers.

[python](#) [dictionary](#)

asked Jul 17 '13 at 7:57



[snth](#)

1,683 1 19 36

3 Answers

tl;dr Recipe: `{k:d1.get(k, k in d1 or d2[k]) for k in set(d1) | set(d2)}` and `|` can be replaced with any other set operator.

Based @torek's comment, another recipe that might be easier to remember (while being fully general) is: `{k:d1.get(k,d2.get(k)) for k in set(d1) | set(d2)}`.

Full answer below:

My first answer didn't deal correctly with values that evaluated to False. Here's an improved version which deals with Falsey values:

```
>>> d1 = {'one':1, 'both':3, 'falsey_one':False, 'falsey_both':None}
>>> d2 = {'two':2, 'both':30, 'falsey_two':None, 'falsey_both':False}
>>>
>>> print "d1 - d2:", {k:d1[k] for k in d1 if k not in d2}          # 0
d1 - d2: {'falsey_one': False, 'one': 1}
>>> print "d2 - d1:", {k:d2[k] for k in d2 if k not in d1}        # 1
d2 - d1: {'falsey_two': None, 'two': 2}
>>> print "intersection:", {k:d1[k] for k in d1 if k in d2}      #
2
intersection: {'both': 3, 'falsey_both': None}
>>> print "union:", {k:d1.get(k, k in d1 or d2[k]) for k in set(d1) | set(d2)} #
3
union: {'falsey_one': False, 'falsey_both': None, 'both': 3, 'two': 2, 'one': 1,
'falsey_two': None}
```

The version for `union` is the most general and can be turned into a function:

```
>>> def dict_ops(d1, d2, setop):
...     """Apply set operation `setop` to dictionaries d1 and d2
...
...     Note: In cases where values are present in both d1 and d2, the value from
...     d1 will be used.
...     """
...     return {k:d1.get(k,k in d1 or d2[k]) for k in setop(set(d1), set(d2))}
...
>>> print "d1 - d2:", dict_ops(d1, d2, lambda x,y: x-y)
d1 - d2: {'falsey_one': False, 'one': 1}
>>> print "d2 - d1:", dict_ops(d1, d2, lambda x,y: y-x)
d2 - d1: {'falsey_two': None, 'two': 2}
>>> import operator as op
>>> print "intersection:", dict_ops(d1, d2, op.and_)
intersection: {'both': 3, 'falsey_both': None}
>>> print "union:", dict_ops(d1, d2, op.or_)
union: {'falsey_one': False, 'falsey_both': None, 'both': 3, 'two': 2, 'one': 1,
'falsey_two': None}
```

Where items are in both dictionaries, the value from `d1` will be used. Of course we can return the value from `d2` instead by changing the order of the function arguments.

```
>>> print "union:", dict_ops(d2, d1, op.or_)
union: {'both': 30, 'falsey_two': None, 'falsey_one': False, 'two': 2, 'one': 1,
'falsey_both': False}
```

edited Jul 17 '13 at 9:33

answered Jul 17 '13 at 8:31



snth

1,683 1 19 36

Heh, `k in d1 or d2[k]` as a default value if `k` isn't in `d1` is pretty cool: it avoids evaluating `d2[k]` exactly whenever `k` is in `d1` so that the second argument to `d1.get` is not needed :-). (Note that `d2.get(k)` would also work but requires looking in `d2`; not sure if that's really any less efficient in the end.) — [torek](#) Jul 17 '13 at 9:07

@torek Thanks. Based on what you said the following also works and might be the easiest to remember: `{k:d1.get(k,d2.get(k)) for k in set(d1) | set(d2)}` — [snth](#) Jul 17 '13 at 9:27



Did you find this question interesting? Try our newsletter

Sign up for our newsletter and get our top new questions delivered to your inbox ([see an example](#)).

EDIT: The recipes here don't deal correctly with False values. I've submitted another improved answer.

Here are some recipes I've come up with:

```
>>> d1 = {'one':1, 'both':3}
>>> d2 = {'two':2, 'both':30}
>>>
>>> print "d1 only:", {k:d1.get(k) or d2[k] for k in set(d1) - set(d2)} # 0
d1 only: {'one': 1}
>>> print "d2 only:", {k:d1.get(k) or d2[k] for k in set(d2) - set(d1)} # 1
d2 only: {'two': 2}
>>> print "in both:", {k:d1.get(k) or d2[k] for k in set(d1) & set(d2)} # 2
in both: {'both': 3}
>>> print "in either:", {k:d1.get(k) or d2[k] for k in set(d1) | set(d2)} # 3
in either: {'both': 3, 'two': 2, 'one': 1}
```

While the expressions in #0 and #2 could be made simpler, I like the generality of this expression which allows me to copy and paste this recipe everywhere and simply change the set operation at the end to what I require.

Of course we can turn this into a function:

```
>>> def dict_ops(d1, d2, setop):
...     return {k:d1.get(k) or d2[k] for k in setop(set(d1), set(d2))}
...
>>> print "d1 only:", dict_ops(d1, d2, lambda x,y: x-y)
d1 only: {'one': 1}
>>> print "d2 only:", dict_ops(d1, d2, lambda x,y: y-x)
d2 only: {'two': 2}
>>> import operator as op
>>> print "in both:", dict_ops(d1, d2, op.and_)
in both: {'both': 3}
>>> print "in either:", dict_ops(d1, d2, op.or_)
in either: {'both': 3, 'two': 2, 'one': 1}
>>> print "in either:", dict_ops(d2, d1, lambda x,y: x|y)
in either: {'both': 30, 'two': 2, 'one': 1}
```

edited Dec 22 '13 at 21:28

answered Jul 17 '13 at 7:57



Benjamin

13.6k 23 103 202



snth

1,683 1 19 36

Watch out for cases where `d1[k]` exists but `bool(d1[k])` is False, e.g., if `d1['both'] = 0` you get `d2['both']`. This seems entirely valid—if it's in both dictionaries, which value is the "right" one?—but if you're expecting to get the value from `d1` and you *usually* get the values from `d1`, this could be a surprise. — [torek](#) Jul 17 '13 at 8:07

Your answer would be more useful if you labeled the operations the same as the equivalent set operation -- like union, intersection, difference, etc. — [martineau](#) Jul 17 '13 at 8:08

@torek You're right about the False values. I've submitted a new answer that hopefully deals with these correctly. I didn't edit this answer because I think the new answer is too different and people had already voted on it. — [snth](#) Jul 17 '13 at 8:34

@martineau Thanks, I've relabeled the output in my new answer. — [snth](#) Jul 17 '13 at 8:35

Here are some more:

Set addition $d1 + d2$

```
{key: value for key, value in d1.items() + d2.items()}  
# here values that are present in `d1` are replaced by values in `d2`
```

Alternatively,

```
d3 = d1.copy()  
d3.update(d2)
```

Set difference $d1 - d2$

```
{key: value for key, value in d1.items() if key not in d2}
```

edited May 5 at 14:05

answered Jul 17 '13 at 8:17



[Joel Cornett](#)

15.4k 2 32 60

1 I think your `d3` is a union, not an intersection. – [snth](#) Jul 17 '13 at 8:32

Yeah, you're right :P – [Joel Cornett](#) Jul 17 '13 at 8:35

And your set difference is set intersection. – [Asterios](#) May 5 at 8:10

@Asterios thanks for pointing that out! I have fixed it. – [Joel Cornett](#) May 5 at 14:08
