Standard Costs and Variances

Everything starts with a budget

- We can conduct variance analysis at any level of the organization.
- We can use decomposition of variances to isolate the causes.
- This tells us which parts of our forecasts were wrong.

Logical flow

Example: Sandy Cove Bank

Sandy Cove Bank

- Sandy Cove is a new small commercial bank in Sandy Cove, Michigan.
- The bank limits interest rate risk by matching the maturity of its assets to the maturity of its liabilities.
- By maintaining a spread between interest rates charged and interest rates paid, the bank plans to earn a small income.

Sandy Cove Bank

- Management establishes a flexible budget based on interest rates for each department.
- The Boat and Car Loan Department offers five-year loans.
- It matches certificates of deposit (CDs) against car and boat loans.

Sandy Cove Bank

- Given all the uncertainty about interest rates, management believes that five-year savings interest rates could vary between 2 percent and 16 percent for the coming year. (Note: 'Given' in this sentence embeds a critical management accounting activity: forecasting.)
- The savings rate is the rate paid on CD savings accounts.
- The loan rate is the rate charged on auto and boat loans.

Sandy Cove Bank

• Expected new demand for fixed-rate, five-vear loans and the new supply of fixed-rate, five-year savings accounts at various interest rates.

Loan Rate	Loan Demand	Savings Rate	Savings Supply
6%	\$12,100,000	2	\$ 4,700,000%
7%	10,000,000	3	5,420,000
8%	8,070,000	4	8,630,000
9%	6,030,000	5	9,830,000
10%	4,420,000	6	11,800,000

• There are no loans from previous years. Note that the department maintains a 4 percent spread between loan and savings rates to cover processing, loan default, and overhead.

Sandy Cove Bank

- The amount of new loans granted is always the lesser of the loan demand and loan supply.
- For simplicity, this bank may lend 100 percent of deposits.
- In practice, this rate is set by policy makers and regulators not the bank itself.

Sandy Cove Bank

- Although rates are set nationally, the bank may pay or charge slightly different rates to limit demand or boost supply as needed in its local market.
- The Boat and Car Loan Department incurs processing, loan default, and overhead expenses related to these accounts.

Sandy Cove Bank

- The first two expenses vary, depending on the dollar amount of the accounts.
- The annual processing expense is budgeted to be 1.5 percent of the loan accounts.
- Default expense is budgeted at 1 percent of the amount loaned per year.

Sandy Cove Bank

- Again, loans and savings would ideally be the same.
- Overhead expenses are estimated to be \$30,000 for the year, regardless of the amount loaned.

SCB Question 1

1. Calculate the processing, loan default, and overhead expenses for each possible interest rate.

Loan Rate	Loan Demand	Savings Rate	Savings Supply	New Loans
6%	\$12.1 M	2%	\$ 4.7 M	\$ 4.7 M
7%	10	3%	5.42	5.42
8%	8.07	4%	8.63	8.07
9%	6.03	5%	9.83	6.03
10%	4.42	6%	11.8	4.42

SCB Solution 1

Loan	Loan	Savings	Savings	New	Processing
Rate	Demand	Rate	Supply	Loans	Expenses
6%	\$12.1 M	2%	\$ 4.7 M	\$ 4.7 M	\$70,500
7%	10	3%	5.42	5.42	81,300
8%	8.07	4%	8.63	8.07	121,050
9%	6.03	5%	9.83	6.03	90,450
10%	4.42	6%	11.8	4.42	66,300

• Processing is 1.5% of loan accounts

${\bf SCB\ Solution\ 1}$

Loan	Loan De-	Savings	Savings	New	Processing	Default
Rate	mand	Rate	Supply	Loans	Expenses	Exp
6%	\$12.1 M	2%	\$4.7 M	\$ 4.7	\$70,500	\$47,000
				\mathbf{M}		
7%	10	3%	5.42	5.42	81,300	$54,\!200$
8%	8.07	4%	8.63	8.07	121,050	80,700
9%	6.03	5%	9.83	6.03	90,450	60,300
10%	4.42	6%	11.8	4.42	66,300	44,200

 $\bullet\,$ Default expense is budgeted at 1 per cent of the amount loaned per year.

SCB Solution 1

	Loan						
Loan	De-	Savings	Savings	New	Processing	Default	Overhead
Rate	mand	Rate	Supply	Loans	Expenses	Exp	Expenses
6%	\$12.1	2%	\$ 4.7 M	\$ 4.7	\$70,500	\$47,000	\$30,000
	\mathbf{M}			${\bf M}$			
7%	10	3%	5.42	5.42	81,300	54,200	30,000
8%	8.07	4%	8.63	8.07	121,050	80,700	30,000

Loan Rate	Loan De- mand	Savings Rate	Savings Supply	New Loans	Processing Expenses	Default Exp	Overhead Expenses
9% 10%	$6.03 \\ 4.42$	5%	9.83 11.8	$6.03 \\ 4.42$	90,450 66,300	60,300 $44,200$	30,000 30,000

- These are the budgeted expenses, this is the foundation of financing plans to make sure that these resources are in place when they are needed.
- In this case it is the deposits that need to be in place for the lending to happen.

SCB Question 2

2. Create an annual budgeted income statement for five-year loans and deposits for the Boat and Car Loan Department given a savings interest rate of 4 percent. Remember to match supply and demand.

Interest income	$\$8,070,000 \times 8\% =$	\$645,600
Interest expense	$\$8,070,000 \times 4\% =$	322,800
Net interest income		\$322,800
Fixed overhead		30,000
Processing expense		121,050
Default expense		80,700
Net income		\$ 91,050

SCB Question 3

3. Table 2 shows the actual income statement for the Boat and Car Loan Department. Included are the actual loans and savings for the same period. Calculate the variances and provide a possible explanation.

	Budget	Actual
Interest income	\$645,600	\$ 645,766
Interest expense	322,800	314,360
Net interest income	\$322,800	\$ 331,406
Fixed overhead	30,000	30,200
Processing expense	121,050	$130,\!522$
Default expense	80,700	77,800
Net income	\$ 91,050	\$ 92,884
Loans	8,070,000	\$8,062,000
Deposits	8,070,000	\$8,123,000

SCB Solution 3

	Budget	Actual	Fav. (Unfav.) Variance
Interest income	\$645,600	\$ 645,766	\$ 166
Interest expense	322,800	314,360	8,440
Net interest income	\$322,800	\$ 331,406	\$ 8,606
Fixed overhead	30,000	30,200	(200)
Processing expense	121,050	130,522	(9,472)
Default expense	80,700	77,800	2,900
Net income	\$ 91,050	\$ 92,884	1,834
Loans	8,070,000	\$8,062,000	\$ (8,000)
Deposits	8,070,000	\$8,123,000	\$(53,000)

SCB Solution 3

- Even though loans were lower and deposits were higher than expected, interest income was higher and interest expense was lower than expected.
- The answer can be obtained by calculating the average interest rates earned and paid.

SCB Solution 3

- On \$8,062,000 worth of loans, Sandy Cove earned \$645,766 interest, or 8.01 percent (0.01 percent more than expected).
- Similarly, it paid only 3.87 percent (0.13 percent less) on deposits.

SCB Solution 3

- Therefore, the net interest income variance of \$8,606 is a combination of two effects: the variance in the actual loans and deposits (quantity) and the variance in the interest rates (price).
- The combined effects are a favorable interest income variance, a favorable interest expense variance, and an overall favorable net interest income variance.

SCB Solution 3

- At a savings interest rate of 4 percent, there is an excess supply of deposits over demand for loans.
- The Boat and Car Loan Department lowered the interest rate on deposits to stem additional deposits.

SCB Solution 3

• The increase in the interest rate on loans can be attributed only to an increase in the demand for loans, which resulted in the department charging a slightly higher average interest rate.

- The higher processing expense could be related to the higher number of accounts processed and improvements in the default rate.
- That is, the favorable default expense could be attributed to an improved screening process-related to spending more on processing.

Terminology

Before we dig into understanding variances, we need to define a couple of terms.

Standards vs. Budgets

- Budgeted costs and standard costs are the same thing.
- You can think of a 'budget' as the entire financial and operational plan.
- You can think of the 'standards' as all of the individual forecasts that go into the budget.
- Though the words are used interchangeably.

Standards vs. Actuals

- Standards are our predictions (generated from our model of costs)
- Actuals are what we observe (generated by reality)

Note that this definition is related to the data selection issue on the mid-term.

Variance:

Total Variance = Actual Cost - Standard Cost

Decomposing Variances

Total Var. into Price & Quantity Vars

• Start with this:

Total variance is equal to actual cost minus standard cost.

Total Var. into Price & Quantity Vars

• Define a few variables:

	Symbol		Subscript
Total Variance	TV	Actual	\overline{a}
Quantity	Q	Standard	s
Price	P		

• This is all we need to decompose any variance into it's price and volume components.

Total Var. into Price & Quantity Vars

- Now we can rewrite this:
 - Total Variance = Actual Cost Standard Cost
- In terms of prices and quantities as this:

$$-TV = (Q_a \times P_a) - (Q_s \times P_s)$$

• and do a little bit of algebra to do the decomposition.

Note: I'll give you the relationship above, and you can either memorize or derive the other forms.

Decomposition:

The algebra:

• Goal: Write the rhs. so that one term includes the change error in P and the other includes the error in Q.

$$-TV = (Q_a \times P_a) - (Q_s \times P_s)$$

• Start by adding and subtracting $(P_s \times Q_a)$

$$-TV = (Q_a \times P_a) + [(P_s \times Q_a) - (P_s \times Q_a)] + (Q_s \times P_s)$$

Does $(P_s \times Q_a)$ have real world meaning?

- P_s is the standard or budgeted price.
- Q_a is the actual quantity.
- So $P_s \times Q_a$ is a flexible budget!
 - (Or at least it's one line from a flexible budget.)

The algebra:

- $TV = [(Q_a \times P_a) (P_s \times Q_a)] + [(P_s \times Q_a) (Q_s \times P_s)]$ $TV = [Q_a(P_a P_s)] + [P_s(Q_a Q_s)]$

The Price and Quantity Variances

The Price and Quantity Variances

$$TV = [Q_a(P_a - P_s)] + [P_s(Q_a - Q_s)]$$

- Now we have TV as a function of the error in P $(P_a P_s)$ and the error in $Q(Q_a-Q_s).$
- Multiplying the error in P by the actual quantity gives us the portion of TV that is due to the error in P.

The Price and Quantity Variances

• Multiplying the error in Q by the forecasted (budgeted, or standard) quantity gives us the portion of TV that is due to the error in Q.

The intuition behind this decomposition is critical.

The Price and Quantity Variances

$$TV = Q_a(P_a - P_s) + P_s(Q_a - Q_s)$$

Total Variance	Price Variance	Volume Variance
\overline{TV}	$[Q_a(P_a - P_s)]$	$[P_s(Q_a - Q_s)]$

Three variance decompositions

This is the general form: $TV = [Q_a(P_a - P_s)] + [P_s(Q_a - Q_s)]$ now we'll consider specific versions.

Direct Labor Variance

	Actual DL Cost	Flexible Budget	Standard DL Cost
General Form	$P_a \times Q_a$	$P_s \times Q_a$	$P_s \times Q_s$

We have other terms for the price and quantity of labor!:

- Price (\$P) \rightarrow Wage (W)
- Quantity \rightarrow Hours

Direct Labor Variance

Total Variance	Actual DL	Flexible	Standard DL
	Cost	Budget	Cost
$\overline{(H_a \times W_a) - (W_s \times H_s)}$	$W_a \times H_a$	$W_s \times H_a$	$W_s \times H_s$

Direct Labor Variance

Total Variance	Wage Variance	Efficiency Variance
$(H_a \times W_a) - (W_s \times H_s)$ $[H_a(W_a - W_s)] +$ $[W_s(H_a - H_s)]$	$W_a \times H_a - W_s \times H_a$ $H_a(W_a - W_s)$	$W_s \times H_a - W_s \times H_s$ $W_s(H_a - H_s)$

Why is the "Volume Variance" called the "Efficiency Variance" when we are talking about labor?

What might DLVs mean?

Large variances in either direction indicate performance is not as planned, due to either poor planning, poor management, or random fluctuation.

What might DLVs mean?

- Unfavorable wage variance
 - Workers were not available at lower rates
- Unfavorable wage variance with favorable efficiency variance
 - Higher-paid workers performed work more efficiently
- Favorable wage variance with unfavorable efficiency variance
 - Lower-paid workers performed work less efficiently

Direct Materials Variance

	Actual DM Cost	Flexible Budget	Standard DM Cost
General Form	$P_a \times Q_a$	$P_s \times Q_a$	$P_s \times Q_s$

For materials we stick with the term "Price" and "Quantity"

Direct Materials Variance

Total Variance	Actual DM	Flexible	Standard DM
	Cost	Budget	Cost
$\overline{(Q_a \times P_a) - (P_s \times Q_s)}$	$P_a \times Q_a$	$P_s \times Q_a$	$P_s \times Q_s$

Total Variance	Price Variance	Quantity Variance
$ \overline{(Q_a \times P_a) - (P_s \times Q_s)} [Q_a(P_a - P_s)] + [P_s(Q_a - Q_s)] $	$P_a \times Q_a - P_s \times Q_a$ $Q_a(P_a - P_s)$	$P_s \times Q_a - P_s \times Q_s$ $P_s(Q_a - Q_s)$

Incentive Effects of Variances:

- Rewarding purchasing managers for favorable direct materials price variances creates an incentive for them to buy large quantities when price discounts are offered for high-volume purchases.
- Penalizing production managers for unfavorable labor efficiency variances encourages keeping labor busy producing more.

Incentive Effects of Variances:

- Mitigation of inventory building incentive
 - Charge purchasing department for cost of holding inventory.
 - Just-in-time (JIT) purchasing and production policies

A note on JIT:

- Mangerial accountants and consultants love JIT
- Toyota (and the whole Japanese Auto industry) is an often cited example.
- The 2011 Tohoku and Miyagi Earthquakes disrupted supply chains which lead to careful restructuring, and decreased reliance on pure just-in-time production.

A note on JIT:

- Nonetheless, JIT was still widely used and COVID 2019 disrupted these supply chains.
- The Invasion of Ukraine by the Russian military also disrupted supply chains.
- In all of these cases excess inventory proved immensely valuable.

Overhead Variance

Overhead Variance: Terms

- Overhead variances are slightly more complex, because in addition to predicting price and quantity we also have to predict overhead consumption (the overhead rate).
- This is a 'meta' prediction in the sense that it depends on several other predictions:
 - Consumption of the overhead
 - Use of the underlying driver
- So when we observe an overhead variance, there are more things to explore.

Overhead Variance: Volume

- BV: Budgeted volume
 - (also known as denominator volume)
 - Estimated at the beginning of the year and used for calculating the overhead rate
- SV: Standard volume
 - (also known as earned or allowed volume)
 - (Output units completed) × (Standard input hours per output unit)
 - Volume used to apply overhead to work-in-process inventory
- AV: Actual volume
- Actual hours or other input resource used during period

Overhead Variance: Volume Estimates

- Estimated budget volume influences overhead rate.
 - Increasing budgeted volume (denominator) while holding total budgeted dollars constant (numerator) decreases the overhead rate.
- Expected volume to set budget

- Adjust expectation based on number of units forecast for next year.
- Rises and falls with business cycle
- Normal volume to set budget
 - Forecast of long-run average annual production
 - Does not change over business cycle

Flexible and Static Overhead Budgets:

For the sake of a simple example assume that the Toronto Engine Plant exists and has the following attributes:

	Forecast
Fixed Overhead (FOH)	\$1,350,000
Variable Overhead (VOH)	\$14
Budgeted Volume (BV)	
(the driver is DLH)	67,500 hours

Remember that this "budgeted volume" is different than the "standard volume" though this distinction isn't particularly clear given the way that we named things in the direct variances.

Flexible Overhead Budget (BOH_{Flex})

- Flexible overhead budget is the formula for budget forecast.
- $BOH_{Flex} = FOH + (VOH \times BV)$
- $BOH_{Flex} = \$1,350,000 + (\$14 \times BV)$

Remember that Flexible Budgets are always formulas.

(Static) Overhead Budget

- Estimate budgeted overhead (BOH) dollars using a specific forecasted volume number (BV) and the flexible overhead budget formula.
- $BOH = FOH + (VOH \times BV)$
- $BOH = \$1,350,000 + (\$14 \times 67,500hours)$
- BOH = \$2,295,000

Overhead Rate:

- This is the same sort of overhead rate that we've been thinking about with all of our allocations.
- Overhead rate is the total budgeted overhead dollars for the year divided by the budgeted volume for the year.

$$OHR = (BOH/BV) = (FOH/BV) + VOH$$

OHR = (\$2, 295, 000/67, 000hours) = \$1, 350, 000/67, 000hours + \$14 OHR = 34\$\$

The Overhead Rate Consists of Estimated:

- Fixed overhead \$ per input hour (FOH / BV), and
- Variable overhead \$ per input hour (VOH)

We need volume information!

Budgeted Volume

Budgeted Volume (Using Expected Volume)-Toronto Engine Plant's Cylinder Boring Department

Product	Expected Production	Standard Hours per Block	Budgeted Volume
4-cylinder blocks	25,000 blocks	0.50	12,500
6-cylinder blocks	40,000 blocks	0.70	28,000
8-cylinder blocks	30,000 blocks	0.90	27,000
Total Budgeted volume	95,000 blocks		67,500

Actual and standard volumes:

Product	Actual Production	Standard Hours per Block	Standard Volume	Actual Volume
4-cylinder blocks	27,000 blocks	0.50	13,500	14,200
6-cylinder blocks	41,000 blocks	0.70	28,700	29,000
8-cylinder blocks	28,000 blocks	0.90	25,200	25,000
Total Standard volume (SV)	96,000 blocks		67,400	
Actual volume (AV)				68,200

Volumes:

Budgeted	Standard	Actual
67,500	67,400	68,200

Overhead Allocated or Absorbed

- To allocate overhead we use the overhead rate and the standard volume.
- Standard Volume = Units of output \times Standard input per output
 - SV = 67,400 machine hours for 96,000 blocks
- - Overhead absorbed = $\$34 \times 67,400$ machine hours = \$2,291,600

Actual Overhead Cost:

\$2,300,000

Total Overhead Variance

• Overhead variances occur when the actual overhead incurred does not equal the overhead absorbed or allocated.

Total Overhead Variance

 $\label{eq:costs} \mbox{Total Overhead Costs - Overhead Absorbed}$

$$AOH - (OHR \times SV) = AOH - (OHR \times SV)$$

2,300,000 - 2,291,600 = 8,400

Interpretation: - Overhead is 'Underabsorbed', if actual > absorbed - Overhead is 'Overabsorbed', if actual < absorbed

Decompose Overhead Variance

Decompose Overhead Variance

Total Overhead Variance = Actual Overhead - Overhead Absorbed

- $\bullet\,$ Overhead spending variance = Actual overhead Flexible budget at actual volume
- OSV = AOH FB@AV
- Overhead efficiency variance = Flexible budget at actual volume Flexible budget at standard volume
- OEV = FB@AV FB@SV
- OVV = FB@SV OA

Decompose Overhead Variance

TOV	=	AOH			-			OA
OSV	=	AOH	_	FB@AV				
OEV	=			FB@AV	-	FB@SV		
OVV	=					FB@SV	-	OA

More detailed definitions:

TOV =	АОН	-		$OHR \times SV$
OSV = OEV = OVV =	AOH -	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm FOH+(VOH\times AV)} \\ {\rm FOH+(VOH\times AV)} \end{array}$	FOH+(VOH×SV) FOH+(VOH×SV)	$OHR \times SV$