student intervention

April 14, 2016

1 Project 2: Supervised Learning

Building a Student Intervention System

1.1 Classification vs Regression

Your goal is to identify students who might need early intervention - which type of supervised machine learning problem is this, classification or regression? Why?

Answer: I think this type of problem is classification, because the target data is discrete, students who passed and students who failed.

1.2 Exploring the Data

Let's go ahead and read in the student dataset first.

Student data read successfully!

Now, can you find out the following facts about the dataset? - Total number of students - Number of students who passed - Number of students who failed - Graduation rate of the class (%) - Number of features

1.3 Preparing the Data

In this section, we will prepare the data for modeling, training and testing.

1.3.1 Identify feature and target columns

It is often the case that the data you obtain contains non-numeric features. This can be a problem, as most machine learning algorithms expect numeric data to perform computations with.

```
In [4]: # Extract feature (X) and target (y) columns
        feature_cols = list(student_data.columns[:-1]) # all columns but last are features
        target_col = student_data.columns[-1] # last column is the target/label
        print "Feature column(s):-\n{}".format(feature_cols)
        print "Target column: {}".format(target_col)
        X_all = student_data[feature_cols] # feature values for all students
        y_all = student_data[target_col] # corresponding targets/labels
        print "\nFeature values:-"
        print X_all.head() # print the first 5 rows
Feature column(s):-
['school', 'sex', 'age', 'address', 'famsize', 'Pstatus', 'Medu', 'Fedu', 'Mjob', 'Fjob', 'reason', 'gu
Target column: passed
Feature values:-
  school sex
               age address famsize Pstatus
                                              Medu
                                                     Fedu
                                                                         Fjob
                                                              Mjob
0
      GP
           F
                18
                         U
                                GT3
                                           Α
                                                 4
                                                        4
                                                           at_home
                                                                      teacher
1
      GP
           F
                17
                         U
                                GT3
                                           Т
                                                 1
                                                        1
                                                           at_home
                                                                        other
2
      GP
           F
                15
                         U
                                LE3
                                           Τ
                                                 1
                                                        1
                                                           at_home
                                                                        other
3
      GP
           F
                15
                         U
                                GT3
                                           Τ
                                                 4
                                                        2
                                                            health
                                                                     services
           F
                                           Т
                                                 3
4
      GP
                16
                         IJ
                                GT3
                                                        3
                                                              other
                                                                        other
           higher internet
                              romantic
                                         famrel
                                                 freetime goout Dalc Walc health
    . . .
0
                                              4
                                                         3
                                                               4
                                                                     1
                                                                          1
                                                                                  3
               yes
                         no
                                    no
    . . .
1
                                              5
                                                         3
                                                               3
                                                                     1
                                                                          1
                                                                                  3
               yes
                                    no
                         yes
    . . .
2
                                                         3
                                                               2
                                                                                  3
                                              4
                                                                     2
                                                                          3
               yes
                         yes
                                    no
                                                         2
                                                               2
3
                                              3
                                                                     1
                                                                          1
                                                                                  5
               yes
                         yes
                                   yes
    . . .
                                                               2
                                                                          2
                                                                                  5
4
                                              4
                                                         3
                                                                     1
               yes
                         no
                                    no
  absences
0
         6
         4
1
2
        10
3
         2
4
         4
```

[5 rows x 30 columns]

1.3.2 Preprocess feature columns

As you can see, there are several non-numeric columns that need to be converted! Many of them are simply yes/no, e.g. internet. These can be reasonably converted into 1/0 (binary) values.

Other columns, like Mjob and Fjob, have more than two values, and are known as <u>categorical variables</u>. The recommended way to handle such a column is to create as many columns as possible values (e.g. Fjob_teacher, Fjob_other, Fjob_services, etc.), and assign a 1 to one of them and 0 to all others.

These generated columns are sometimes called <u>dummy variables</u>, and we will use the pandas.get_dummies() function to perform this transformation.

```
In [5]: # Preprocess feature columns
       def preprocess_features(X):
            outX = pd.DataFrame(index=X.index) # output dataframe, initially empty
            # Check each column
            for col, col_data in X.iteritems():
                # If data type is non-numeric, try to replace all yes/no values with 1/0
                if col_data.dtype == object:
                    col_data = col_data.replace(['yes', 'no'], [1, 0])
                # Note: This should change the data type for yes/no columns to int
                # If still non-numeric, convert to one or more dummy variables
                if col_data.dtype == object:
                    col_data = pd.get_dummies(col_data, prefix=col) # e.g. 'school' => 'school_GP', 's
                outX = outX.join(col_data) # collect column(s) in output dataframe
            return outX
       X_all = preprocess_features(X_all)
       print "Processed feature columns ({}):-\n{}".format(len(X_all.columns), list(X_all.columns))
Processed feature columns (48):-
['school_GP', 'school_MS', 'sex_F', 'sex_M', 'age', 'address_R', 'address_U', 'famsize_GT3', 'famsize_LE3
```

1.3.3 Split data into training and test sets

So far, we have converted all <u>categorical</u> features into numeric values. In this next step, we split the data (both features and corresponding labels) into training and test sets.

```
In [6]: from sklearn.cross_validation import train_test_split
    # First, decide how many training vs test samples you want
    num_all = student_data.shape[0]  # same as len(student_data)
    num_train = 300  # about 75% of the data
    num_test = num_all - num_train

# TODO: Then, select features (X) and corresponding labels (y) for the training and test sets
    # Note: Shuffle the data or randomly select samples to avoid any bias due to ordering in the da
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_all, y_all, test_size=num_test, train_siz
    print "Training set: {} samples".format(X_train.shape[0])
    print "Test set: {} samples".format(X_test.shape[0])
    # Note: If you need a validation set, extract it from within training data
```

Training set: 300 samples Test set: 95 samples

1.4 Training and Evaluating Models

Choose 3 supervised learning models that are available in scikit-learn, and appropriate for this problem. For each model:

• What are the general applications of this model? What are its strengths and weaknesses?

- Given what you know about the data so far, why did you choose this model to apply?
- Fit this model to the training data, try to predict labels (for both training and test sets), and measure the F1 score. Repeat this process with different training set sizes (100, 200, 300), keeping test set constant.

Produce a table showing training time, prediction time, F1 score on training set and F1 score on test set, for each training set size.

Note: You need to produce 3 such tables - one for each model.

1.4.1 Decision Tree Model

- Strengths:
 - It's easy to understand and to interpret.
 - The cost of predicting data is logarithmic in the number of data points used to train the tree.
 - Able to handle both numerical and categorical data.
- Weakness:
 - It's very sensitive to slight variations in the data.
 - It's easy to be overfit
- Why did you choose this model to apply?
 - Because we only have small number of data set and many features, the simple decision tree model could be the good choice.

```
In [7]: # Train a Decision Tree model
        import time
        def train_classifier(clf, X_train, y_train):
            start = time.time()
            clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
            end = time.time()
            return clf, end - start
        # Choose a model, import it and instantiate an object
        from sklearn import tree
        clf = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier()
        # Fit model to training data
        clf,_ = train_classifier(clf, X_train, y_train) # note: using entire training set here
        print clf
DecisionTreeClassifier(class_weight=None, criterion='gini', max_depth=None,
            max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=None, min_samples_leaf=1,
            min_samples_split=2, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0,
            presort=False, random_state=None, splitter='best')
In [8]: # Predict on training set and compute F1 score
        from sklearn.metrics import f1_score
        def predict_labels(clf, features, target):
            start = time.time()
            y_pred = clf.predict(features)
            end = time.time()
            return f1_score(target.values, y_pred, pos_label='yes'), end - start
```

```
train_f1_score, _ = predict_labels(clf, X_train, y_train)
        print "F1 score for training set: {}".format(train_f1_score)
F1 score for training set: 1.0
In [9]: # Predict on test data
        test_f1_score, _ = predict_labels(clf, X_test, y_test)
        print "F1 score for test set: {}".format(test_f1_score)
F1 score for test set: 0.713178294574
In [10]: # Train and predict using different training set sizes
         def train_predict(clf, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test):
             clf, time_training = train_classifier(clf, X_train, y_train)
             score_training, _ = predict_labels(clf, X_train, y_train)
             score_testing, time_predicting = predict_labels(clf, X_test, y_test)
             return score_training, time_training, score_testing, time_predicting
         df_DT = pd.DataFrame(columns=['Set Size', 'Training time', 'Prediction time',
                                       'F1 score for training set', 'F1 score for test set'])
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_all, y_all, test_size=num_test, train_si
         for num in xrange(50, 350, 50):
             score_training, time_training, score_testing, time_testing = train_predict(tree.DecisionTr
                                                                                         X_train[:num],
                                                                                         X_test, y_test)
             df_DT = df_DT.append({'Set Size':num,'Training time':time_training,
                             'Prediction time': time_testing,
                             'F1 score for training set': score_training,
                             'F1 score for test set': score_testing}, ignore_index=True)
In [11]: # Table of Decision Tree
         from IPython.display import display, HTML
         display(df_DT)
   Set Size Training time Prediction time F1 score for training set \
0
                  0.001025
                                   0.000407
        50
1
        100
                  0.001667
                                   0.000290
2
        150
                  0.003843
                                   0.000475
                                                                      1
3
        200
                  0.004811
                                   0.000311
4
        250
                  0.002747
                                   0.000391
                                                                      1
5
        300
                  0.002846
                                   0.000418
   F1 score for test set
0
                0.769231
1
                0.751880
2
                0.721311
3
                0.755906
4
                0.752000
5
                0.703125
```

1.4.2 SVM Model

• Strengths:

- Effective in high dimensional spaces.
- It has a regularisation parameter, which makes the user think about avoiding over-fitting.
- Uses a subset of training points in the decision function (called support vectors), so it is also memory efficient.

• Weakness:

- The biggest limitation of the support vector approach lies in choice of the kernel.
- A second limitation is speed and size, both in training and testing.
- It will not work well if there are a lof noise in data.
- Why did you choose this model to apply?
 - Because SVM could be effective in high dimensional spaces, this data set does have a lot of features.
 - Besides SVM could have better generalization than decision tree.

```
In [12]: # Train a SVM model
         from sklearn import svm
         clf, _ = train_classifier(svm.SVC(), X_train, y_train) # note: using entire training set here
         print clf
SVC(C=1.0, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coef0=0.0,
  decision_function_shape=None, degree=3, gamma='auto', kernel='rbf',
  max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
  tol=0.001, verbose=False)
In [13]: # Predict on training set and compute F1 score
         train_f1_score, _ = predict_labels(clf, X_train, y_train)
         print "F1 score for training set: {}".format(train_f1_score)
F1 score for training set: 0.865671641791
In [14]: # Predict on test data
         test_f1_score, _ = predict_labels(clf, X_test, y_test)
         print "F1 score for test set: {}".format(test_f1_score)
F1 score for test set: 0.802631578947
In [15]: df_SVC = pd.DataFrame(columns=['Set Size', 'Training time', 'Prediction time',
                                       'F1 score for training set', 'F1 score for test set'])
         for num in xrange(50, 350, 50):
             score_training, time_training, score_testing, time_testing = train_predict(svm.SVC(),
                                                                                         X_train[:num],
                                                                                         X_test, y_test)
             df_SVC = df_SVC.append({'Set Size':num,'Training time':time_training,
                             'Prediction time': time_testing,
                             'F1 score for training set': score_training,
                             'F1 score for test set': score_testing}, ignore_index=True)
In [16]: # Table of SVC
         display(df_SVC)
   Set Size Training time Prediction time F1 score for training set \
0
        50
                  0.001414
                                   0.000848
                                                              0.919540
        100
                  0.002251
                                   0.001439
                                                              0.862275
1
2
       150
                  0.035185
                                   0.001947
                                                              0.871369
```

3	200	0.007054	0.001959	0.850649
4	250	0.008978	0.003932	0.870466
5	300	0.011502	0.002788	0.865672
	F1 score for	r test set		
0		0.792208		
1		0.789809		
2		0.794872		
3		0.792208		
4		0.805195		
5		0.802632		

1.4.3 AdaBoost Model

- Strengths:
 - It has good generalization.
 - It can achieve similar classification results with much less tweaking of parameters or settings.
 - Versatile a wide range of base learners can be used with AdaBoost.
- Weakness:
 - It can be sensitive to noisy data and outliers.
 - Weak learner should not be too complex to avoid overfitting.
 - There needs to be enough data so that the weak learning requirement is satisfied.
- Why did you choose this model to apply?
 - Because we only have small number of data set and many features, the simple decision tree model could be the good choice for base estimator.
 - And AdaBoost could reach better generalization than Decision Tree does.

```
In [17]: # Train a AdaBoost model with Decision Tree as a base estimator
         from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
         clf,_ = train_classifier(AdaBoostClassifier(), X_train, y_train) # note: using entire trainin
         print clf
AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME.R', base_estimator=None,
          learning_rate=1.0, n_estimators=50, random_state=None)
In [18]: # Predict on training set and compute F1 score
         train_f1_score,_ = predict_labels(clf, X_train, y_train)
         print "F1 score for training set: {}".format(train_f1_score)
F1 score for training set: 0.873563218391
In [19]: # Predict on test data
         test_f1_score,_ = predict_labels(clf, X_test, y_test)
         print "F1 score for test set: {}".format(test_f1_score)
F1 score for test set: 0.814814814815
In [20]: # Train and predict using different training set sizes
         df_Ada = pd.DataFrame(columns=['Set Size', 'Training time', 'Prediction time',
                                       'F1 score for training set', 'F1 score for test set'])
         for num in xrange(50, 350, 50):
```

```
score_training, time_training, score_testing, time_testing = train_predict(AdaBoostClassif
                                                                                            X_train[:num],
                                                                                            X_test, y_test)
             df_Ada = df_Ada.append({'Set Size':num,'Training time':time_training,
                              'Prediction time': time_testing,
                              'F1 score for training set': score_training,
                              'F1 score for test set': score_testing}, ignore_index=True)
In [21]: # Table of AdaBoost
         display(df_Ada)
   Set Size
             Training time
                             Prediction time F1 score for training set
0
         50
                  0.258665
                                    0.009880
                                                                 1.000000
        100
                  0.182984
                                    0.009308
                                                                 0.979592
1
2
        150
                  0.243670
                                    0.016290
                                                                 0.940639
3
        200
                  0.183352
                                    0.009192
                                                                 0.851986
                                    0.009119
4
        250
                  0.179279
                                                                 0.847458
5
        300
                  0.185986
                                    0.009215
                                                                 0.873563
   F1 score for test set
0
                0.763889
                0.742424
1
2
                0.713178
3
                0.766917
4
                0.814286
5
                0.814815
```

1.5 Choosing the Best Model

- Based on the experiments you performed earlier, in 1-2 paragraphs explain to the board of supervisors what single model you chose as the best model. Which model is generally the most appropriate based on the available data, limited resources, cost, and performance?
- In 1-2 paragraphs explain to the board of supervisors in layman's terms how the final model chosen is supposed to work (for example if you chose a Decision Tree or Support Vector Machine, how does it make a prediction).
- Fine-tune the model. Use Gridsearch with at least one important parameter tuned and with at least 3 settings. Use the entire training set for this.
- What is the model's final F1 score?

1.5.1 Comparing Models

- Training time: AdaBoost > SVM > Decision Tree
- \bullet Predicting time: AdaBoost > SVM > Decision Tree
- F1 score for test set on size of 300: AdaBoost > SVM > Decision Tree

I would like to choose Adaboost for this case, because 1. The Fl scose of this model is the best based on the above results. 2. The training time could be acceptable, although it's highest in these three models. 3. Because our goal is to model the factors that predict how likely a student is to pass their high school final exam and take some intervention in advanced, we do have time to compute the result. So we should focus on the F1 score instead of computational cost.

1.5.2 How AdaBoost works

- AdaBoost is a type of "Ensemble Learning" where multiple classifiers are employed to build a stronger learning algorithm. AdaBoost works by choosing a base classifier (e.g. decision trees) and iteratively improving it by accounting for the incorrectly classified examples in the training set.
- Training:
 - First, we assign equal weights to all the training examples and choose a base classifier (e.g. decision trees). We can think of each weight as an importance weight that tells us how important the example is for our current learning task
 - Secondly, we apply the base classifier (e.g. decision trees) to the training set and increase the weights of the incorrectly classified examples. Then the next classifier will "pay more attention" to the incorrectly classified example during training, since now has a greater weight.
 - We iterate n times, each time applying base classifier on the training set with updated weights.
 The final model is the weighted sum of the n classifiers.

• Predicting:

- For n classifiers, each classifier computes their results and multiply by their own weights, then sum up.
- Then based on the sum, deciding how to label this data.
- In this way, classifiers that have a poor accuracy (high error rate, low weight) are penalized in the final sum.

```
In [22]: from sklearn.grid_search import GridSearchCV
         from sklearn.metrics import f1_score
         from sklearn.metrics import make_scorer
         from sklearn.cross_validation import StratifiedShuffleSplit
         param_grid = {"n_estimators": np.arange(3,20,2), "learning_rate": np.arange(0.5,0.8,0.02)}
         scoring_function = make_scorer(f1_score, pos_label='yes', average='binary')
         clf = GridSearchCV(AdaBoostClassifier(), param_grid=param_grid, scoring=scoring_function)
         clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
         training_score,_ = predict_labels(clf.best_estimator_, X_train, y_train)
         testing_score,_ = predict_labels(clf.best_estimator_, X_test, y_test)
         print "Best parameters: {}".format(clf.best_params_)
         print "F1 score for training set: {}".format(training_score)
         print "F1 score for test set: {}".format(testing_score)
Best parameters: {'n_estimators': 7, 'learning_rate': 0.6400000000000012}
F1 score for training set: 0.835820895522
F1 score for test set: 0.802721088435
```