# Logic Synthesis & Verification, Fall 2021 National Taiwan University

## Programming Assignment 2

800:81-00:11 \text{\subset} \text{\s

Submission Guidelines. Please send a pull request to the branch named with your student ID during the submission periods. Please develop your code under "src/ext-lsv" (For those who develop your PAl in "ext\_<your\_student\_ID>", please move your code to "src/ext-lsv" and remove "ext\_<your\_student\_ID>").

## I [OR Bi-Decomposition of Functions]

(%001)

Overview. Write a procedure in ABC that decides whether each circuit PO f(X) is OR bi-decomposable. Integrate this procedure into ABC, so that after reading in a circuit by the command "read", running the command "lsv-or-bidec" would invoke your code.

Preliminaries. A function f(X) is OR bi-decomposable under a variable partition of its support  $X = \{X_A | X_B | X_C\}$  if f can be written as  $f(X) = f_A(X_A, X_C) \lor f_B(X_B, X_C)$ . A variable partition is non-trivial if  $X_A \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_B \neq \emptyset$ . In the following, only non-trivial variable partition is of concern. Proposition I states the sufficient and necessary condition for a function f(X) to be OR bi-decomposable under a given support partition.

**Proposition 1.** A function f(X) can be written as  $f_A(X_A, X_C) \vee f_B(X_B, X_C)$  for some function  $f_A$  and  $f_B$  if and only if the Boolean formula  $f(X_A, X_B, X_C) \wedge \neg f(X_A, X_B, X_C)$  is unsatisfiable, where  $X_A$ ,  $X_B$  are renamed versions of  $X_A$ ,  $X_B$ , respectively.

Proposition I assumes a given variable partition  $X = \{X_A | X_B | X_C\}$ . To automate the process of finding a variable partition, two controlling variables  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$  are introduced, for each  $x_i \in X$ . Consider the formula,

$$(1) \qquad , ({}_{i}^{\alpha} \otimes \vee ({}_{i}^{\alpha} x \equiv {}_{i}^{\alpha} x)) \bigwedge_{i}^{\beta} \wedge ({}_{i}^{\alpha} X) \mathcal{V} \vdash \wedge ({}_{i}^{\alpha} \otimes \vee ({}_{i}^{\alpha} x \equiv {}_{i}^{\alpha} x)) \bigvee_{i}^{\beta} \wedge ({}_{i}^{\alpha} X) \mathcal{V} \vdash \wedge (X) \mathcal{V} \vdash$$

where  $x_i^i \in X^i$  and  $x_i^n \in X^n$  are renamed versions of  $x_i \in X$ . Note that  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1)$  indicates  $x_i \in X_C$ ,  $x_i \in X_B$ ,  $x_i \in X_A$ , and  $x_i$  can be in either of  $X_A$  and  $X_B$ , respectively.

Your goal is to find an unit assumption on the controlling variables  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  that makes formula 1 unsatisfiable, i.e. a way of partitioning X so that f is

#### 2 Programming Assignment 2

OR bi-decomposable under this variable partition. Under an unsatisfiable unit assumption, the SAT solver will return a final conflict clause consisting of only the controlling variables. Every literal in the final conflict clause is of positive phase because the conflict arises from a subset of the controlling variables set to 0. It reveals that setting the variables in the final conflict clause to 0 is sufficient to make formula 1 unsatisfiable. For example, the final conflict clause  $(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_3)$  indicates that setting  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_3 = 0$  is sufficient for unsatisfiability, so settting  $\beta_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$  doesn't affect the unsatisfiability, which suggests the variable partition  $x_1 \in X_C$ ,  $x_2 \in X_B$ ,  $x_3 \in X_A$ .

To avoid finding a trivial partition, you can initially specify two distinct variables  $x_a, x_b$  and force  $x_a \in X_A, x_b \in X_B$ . That is, set the unit assumption  $(\alpha_a, \beta_b) = (1,0), (\alpha_b, \beta_b) = (0,1)$  and  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (0,0)$ , for  $i \neq a,b$ . This is called a seed variable partition. If formula 1 is unsatisfiable under a seed partition, then the corresponding bi-decomposition is successful. Otherwise, if the seed partition fails, you should try another one. For a given function f(X) with |X| = n, the existence of non-trivial OR bi-decomposition can be checked with at most  $(n-1)+\ldots+1=n(n-1)/2$  different seed partitions. For more details, you may refer to [1] https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4555896.

Output Format. The format of your printing message is as follows.

```
PO <po1-name> support partition: 1
210200001101
PO <po2-name> support partition: 0
PO <po3-name> support partition: 1
212111000
```

Print lines of "PO <po-name>..." according to the order of Abc\_NtkForEachPo(). For each PO, use "Abc\_NtkCreateCone()" to extract the cone of the PO and its support set. In each line of "PO <po-name> support partition:", print the names of POs returned by function Abc\_ObjName(). Print "0" after "support partition: " if there is no valid non-trivial partition; print "1" if there is a valid non-trivial partition, and print the partition you find in the next line.

We use an integer string to represent the variable partition. Let 0 represents  $x \in X_C$ , 1 represents  $x \in X_B$ , 2 represents  $x \in X_A$ . For example, the string 212111000 indicates that the first support variable is in  $X_A$ , the second in  $X_B$ , the third in  $X_A$ , ..., the last in  $X_C$ .

### References

R.-R. Lee, J.-H. R. Jiang, and W.-L. Hung. Bi-decomposing large Boolean functions via interpolation and satisfiability solving. In ACM/IEEE Design Automation Conference, pages 636–641, 2008.