Foundations of Machine Learning Linear Regression and Perceptron

Mahesan Niranjan

School of Electronics and Computer Science University of Southampton

July 2021

- Data: $\{\mathbf{x}_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$ Input: $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{R}^p$; target / output f_n real valued
- Model: $f = \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + w_0$ Output linear function of input (including a constant w_0)
- Work in (p + 1) dimensional space to avoid treating w_0 separately

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Data: $\{y_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$
- Model: $f = y^t a$
- p + 1 unknowns held in vector a

- Data: $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$ Input: $\boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathcal{R}^p$; target / output f_n real valued
- Model: $f = \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + w_0$ Output linear function of input (including a constant w_0)
- Work in (p + 1) dimensional space to avoid treating w_0 separately

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Data: $\{y_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$
- Model: $f = y^t a$
- p + 1 unknowns held in vector a

- Data: $\{\mathbf{x}_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$ Input: $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{R}^p$; target / output f_n real valued
- Model: $f = \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + w_0$ Output linear function of input (including a constant w_0)
- ullet Work in (p+1) dimensional space to avoid treating w_0 separately

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Data: $\{y_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$
- Model: $f = y^t a$
- p + 1 unknowns held in vector a

- Data: $\{\mathbf{x}_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$ Input: $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{R}^p$; target / output f_n real valued
- Model: $f = \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + w_0$ Output linear function of input (including a constant w_0)
- Work in (p+1) dimensional space to avoid treating w_0 separately

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Data: $\{y_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$
- Model: $f = y^t a$
- p + 1 unknowns held in vector a

- Data: $\{\mathbf{x}_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$ Input: $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{R}^p$; target / output f_n real valued
- Model: $f = \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + w_0$ Output linear function of input (including a constant w_0)
- ullet Work in (p+1) dimensional space to avoid treating w_0 separately

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Data: $\{y_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$
- Model: $f = \mathbf{y}^t \mathbf{a}$
- p + 1 unknowns held in vector a

- Data: $\{\mathbf{x}_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$ Input: $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{R}^p$; target / output f_n real valued
- Model: $f = \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + w_0$ Output linear function of input (including a constant w_0)
- ullet Work in (p+1) dimensional space to avoid treating w_0 separately

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Data: $\{y_n, f_n\}_{n=1}^N$
- Model: $f = \mathbf{y}^t \mathbf{a}$
- p + 1 unknowns held in vector a

- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ y_n^t a f_n \}^2$
- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) f_n \right\}^2$
- To find the best a we minimize E − differentiate with respect to each of the unknowns in a and set to zero.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_i} = 2\sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\} (y_{ni})$$

- There are (p + 1) derivatives (with respect to each a_i)
- Equating them to zero gives (p+1) equations in (p+1) unknowns

•
$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ y_n^t a - f_n \}^2$$

•
$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\}^2$$

 To find the best a we minimize E – differentiate with respect to each of the unknowns in a and set to zero.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_i} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\} (y_{ni})$$

- There are (p + 1) derivatives (with respect to each a_i)
- Equating them to zero gives (p+1) equations in (p+1) unknowns

- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ y_n^t a f_n \}^2$
- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) f_n \right\}^2$
- To find the best a we minimize E differentiate with respect to each of the unknowns in a and set to zero.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_i} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\} (y_{ni})$$

- There are (p + 1) derivatives (with respect to each a_i)
- Equating them to zero gives (p+1) equations in (p+1) unknowns

- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ y_n^t a f_n \}^2$
- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) f_n \right\}^2$
- To find the best a we minimize E differentiate with respect to each of the unknowns in a and set to zero.

•

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_i} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\} (y_{ni})$$

- There are (p + 1) derivatives (with respect to each a_i)
- Equating them to zero gives (p+1) equations in (p+1) unknowns

- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ y_n^t a f_n \}^2$
- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) f_n \right\}^2$
- To find the best a we minimize E differentiate with respect to each of the unknowns in a and set to zero.

•

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_i} = 2\sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\} (y_{ni})$$

- There are (p+1) derivatives (with respect to each a_i)
- Equating them to zero gives (p+1) equations in (p+1) unknowns

- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ y_n^t a f_n \}^2$
- $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) f_n \right\}^2$
- To find the best a we minimize E differentiate with respect to each of the unknowns in a and set to zero.

•

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_i} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{(p+1)} a_j y_{nj} \right) - f_n \right\} (y_{ni})$$

- There are (p+1) derivatives (with respect to each a_i)
- Equating them to zero gives (p+1) equations in (p+1) unknowns

Solution to Regression

• (p + 1) simultaneous equations to solve: i^{th} row, j^{th} column shown

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- f: N × 1 vector of outputs
- Error $E = ||Y a f||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{a}}E = 2\mathbf{Y}^t(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$Y^t Y a = Y^t f$$

 $a = (Y^t Y)^{-1} Y^t f$

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- $f: N \times 1$ vector of outputs
- Error $E = ||Y a f||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}E = 2\boldsymbol{Y}^t(\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$Y^t Y a = Y^t f$$

 $a = (Y^t Y)^{-1} Y^t f$

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- $f: N \times 1$ vector of outputs
- Error $E = || \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{f} ||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}E = 2\boldsymbol{Y}^t(\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$Y^t Y a = Y^t f$$

 $a = (Y^t Y)^{-1} Y^t f$

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- $f: N \times 1$ vector of outputs
- Error $E = || \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{f} ||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}E = 2\boldsymbol{Y}^t(\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$Y^t Y a = Y^t f$$

 $a = (Y^t Y)^{-1} Y^t f$

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- $f: N \times 1$ vector of outputs
- Error $E = || \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{f} ||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}E = 2\boldsymbol{Y}^t(\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$Y^t Y a = Y^t f$$

 $a = (Y^t Y)^{-1} Y^t f$

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- $f: N \times 1$ vector of outputs
- Error $E = ||Y a f||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}E = 2\boldsymbol{Y}^t(\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$$

 $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$

- $Y: N \times (p+1)$ matrix n^{th} row is y_n^t
- $f: N \times 1$ vector of outputs
- Error $E = || \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{f} ||^2$
- Homework: Verify the error written like this is the same as the one we wrote out in lengthy algebra.
- Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}E = 2\boldsymbol{Y}^t(\boldsymbol{Y}\!\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{f})$$

Equating the gradient to zero gives

$$\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$$

 $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$

- Gradient vector: $\nabla_{\mathbf{a}}E = 2\mathbf{Y}^t(\mathbf{Ya} \mathbf{f})$
- Steepest descent algorithm:

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - \eta \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

Second order (Newton's) method

Initialize
$$\boldsymbol{a}$$
 at random Update $\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} = \boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \, \nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{E}$ Until Convergence

- Gradient vector: $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}} E = 2 \boldsymbol{Y}^t (\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{f})$
- Steepest descent algorithm:

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - \eta \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

Second order (Newton's) method

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - {\pmb H}^{-1} \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

- Gradient vector: $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}} E = 2 \boldsymbol{Y}^t (\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{f})$
- Steepest descent algorithm:

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - \eta \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

Second order (Newton's) method

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - {\pmb H}^{-1} \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

- Gradient vector: $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}} E = 2 \boldsymbol{Y}^t (\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{f})$
- Steepest descent algorithm:

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - \eta \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

Second order (Newton's) method

Initialize
$${\pmb a}$$
 at random Update ${\pmb a}^{(k+1)} = {\pmb a}^{(k)} - {\pmb H}^{-1} \, {\pmb \nabla}_{\pmb a} {\pmb E}$ Until Convergence

Gradient and Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Error $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n^2$
- True gradient:

$$\nabla_{a}E = 2\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \mathbf{y}_{n}^{t}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f}_{n} \right\} (\mathbf{y}_{n})$$

Gradient computed on nth data:

$$\nabla_a e_n = 2 \left\{ \mathbf{y}_n^{\mathsf{t}} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f}_n \right\} (\mathbf{y}_n)$$

Gradient and Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Error $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n^2$
- True gradient:

$$\nabla_{a}E = 2\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \mathbf{y}_{n}^{t}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f}_{n} \right\} (\mathbf{y}_{n})$$

Gradient computed on nth data:

$$abla_a e_n = 2 \left\{ \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f}_n \right\} (\mathbf{y}_n)$$

Gradient and Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Error $E = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n^2$
- True gradient:

$$\nabla_{a}E = 2\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \mathbf{y}_{n}^{t} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f}_{n} \right\} (\mathbf{y}_{n})$$

• Gradient computed on *n*th data:

$$abla_a e_n = 2 \left\{ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}} \right\} \left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} \right)$$

- Pseudo inverse solution: $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$
- This can be ill conditioned, so we could regularize by

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \left(\boldsymbol{Y}^t \boldsymbol{Y} + \gamma \boldsymbol{I} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}^t \boldsymbol{f}$$

where γ is a small constant.

We achieve precisely this by minimizing an error of the form

$$||\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}||^2+\gamma||\mathbf{a}||^2$$

- Homework: Differentiate this error and derive the regularized solution
- Sparse solutions are obtained by regularizing with an l_1 norm (sum of absolute values of \boldsymbol{a} , i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^{p} |a_j|$); See Lab 4.

- Pseudo inverse solution: $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$
- This can be ill conditioned, so we could regularize by

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$$

where γ is a small constant.

We achieve precisely this by minimizing an error of the form

$$||\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}||^2+\gamma||\mathbf{a}||^2$$

- Homework: Differentiate this error and derive the regularized solution
- Sparse solutions are obtained by regularizing with an l_1 norm (sum of absolute values of \mathbf{a} , i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^{p} |a_j|$); See **Lab 4**.

- Pseudo inverse solution: $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$
- This can be ill conditioned, so we could regularize by

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$$

where γ is a small constant.

We achieve precisely this by minimizing an error of the form

$$|| \mathbf{Ya} - \mathbf{f} ||^2 + \gamma ||\mathbf{a}||^2$$

- Homework: Differentiate this error and derive the regularized solution
- Sparse solutions are obtained by regularizing with an l_1 norm (sum of absolute values of \boldsymbol{a} , i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^{p} |a_j|$); See **Lab 4**.

- Pseudo inverse solution: $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$
- This can be ill conditioned, so we could regularize by

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$$

where γ is a small constant.

We achieve precisely this by minimizing an error of the form

$$||\mathbf{Ya} - \mathbf{f}||^2 + \gamma ||\mathbf{a}||^2$$

- Homework: Differentiate this error and derive the regularized solution
- Sparse solutions are obtained by regularizing with an l_1 norm (sum of absolute values of a, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{p} |a_i|$); See **Lab 4**.

- Pseudo inverse solution: $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$
- This can be ill conditioned, so we could regularize by

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{Y} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{f}$$

where γ is a small constant.

We achieve precisely this by minimizing an error of the form

$$||\mathbf{Ya} - \mathbf{f}||^2 + \gamma ||\mathbf{a}||^2$$

- Homework: Differentiate this error and derive the regularized solution
- Sparse solutions are obtained by regularizing with an l_1 norm (sum of absolute values of \boldsymbol{a} , i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^{p} |a_j|$); See **Lab 4**.

Perceptron

A suitable performance measure

- Number of misclassified examples as measure of error Piecewise constant (cannot differentiate)
- Suitable error measure:

$$E_P = -\sum \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a}$$

- Summation taken over misclassified examples
- We started with $y_n^t a > 0$ for positive class and $y_n^t a < 0$ for the negative class; we then switch the signs of negative class examples and required $y_n^t a > 0$ for all the training data; so for the misclassified examples $-\sum y_n^t a$ should be as small as possible.

Perceptron

A suitable performance measure

- Number of misclassified examples as measure of error Piecewise constant (cannot differentiate)
- Suitable error measure:

$$E_P = -\sum \boldsymbol{y}_n^t \boldsymbol{a}$$

- Summation taken over misclassified examples
- We started with $y_n^t a > 0$ for positive class and $y_n^t a < 0$ for the negative class; we then switch the signs of negative class examples and required $y_n^t a > 0$ for all the training data; so for the misclassified examples $-\sum y_n^t a$ should be as small as possible.

Perceptron

A suitable performance measure

- Number of misclassified examples as measure of error Piecewise constant (cannot differentiate)
- Suitable error measure:

$$E_P = -\sum \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a}$$

- Summation taken over misclassified examples
- We started with $y_n^t a > 0$ for positive class and $y_n^t a < 0$ for the negative class; we then switch the signs of negative class examples and required $y_n^t a > 0$ for all the training data; so for the misclassified examples $-\sum y_n^t a$ should be as small as possible.

A suitable performance measure

- Number of misclassified examples as measure of error Piecewise constant (cannot differentiate)
- Suitable error measure:

$$E_P = -\sum \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a}$$

- Summation taken over misclassified examples
- We started with $\mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} > 0$ for positive class and $\mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} < 0$ for the negative class; we then switch the signs of negative class examples and required $\mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} > 0$ for all the training data; so for the misclassified examples $-\sum \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a}$ should be as small as possible.

A suitable performance measure

- Number of misclassified examples as measure of error Piecewise constant (cannot differentiate)
- Suitable error measure:

$$E_P = -\sum \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a}$$

- Summation taken over misclassified examples
- We started with $\mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} > 0$ for positive class and $\mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} < 0$ for the negative class; we then switch the signs of negative class examples and required $\mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a} > 0$ for all the training data; so for the misclassified examples $-\sum \mathbf{y}_n^t \mathbf{a}$ should be as small as possible.

Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\textit{E}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\textit{a}}} = -\sum \boldsymbol{\textit{y}}_{n}$$

- Gradient algorithm: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + \sum \mathbf{y}_n$
- Stochastic gradient algorithm:

$$a^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + y_n$$

• Note what y_n is. It is an item of data that is taken at random and happens to be misclassified by the current value of a at iteration k.

Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{a}} = -\sum \,\boldsymbol{y}_n$$

- Gradient algorithm: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + \sum \mathbf{y}_n$
- Stochastic gradient algorithm:

$$a^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + y_n$$

• Note what y_n is. It is an item of data that is taken at random and happens to be misclassified by the current value of a at iteration k.

Learning rule

Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathit{E}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathit{a}}} = -\sum \boldsymbol{\mathit{y}}_{n}$$

- Gradient algorithm: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + \sum \mathbf{y}_n$
- Stochastic gradient algorithm:

$$a^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + y_n$$

• Note what y_n is. It is an item of data that is taken at random and happens to be misclassified by the current value of a at iteration k.

Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = -\sum \mathbf{y}_n$$

- Gradient algorithm: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + \sum \mathbf{y}_n$
- Stochastic gradient algorithm:

$$a^{(k+1)} = a^{(k)} + y_n$$

 Note what y_n is. It is an item of data that is taken at random and happens to be misclassified by the current value of a at iteration k.

- Learning Rule: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} + \mathbf{y}(k)$ where $\mathbf{y}(k)$ is a misclassified input.
- Training criterion
 - We start with requiring $a^t y(k) \le 0$, depending on the example belonging to class 1 or class 2.
 - If we switch the signs of examples of class 2, we require
 a^t y(k) > 0 for all k.
- On misclassified data $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) < 0$
- If \hat{a} is a solution (separable data), for all k, $\hat{a} y(k) > 0$
- We prove convergence by showing: $||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$ for this update rule. *i.e.* the learning rule brings the guess closer to a valid solution.

- Learning Rule: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} + \mathbf{y}(k)$ where $\mathbf{y}(k)$ is a misclassified input.
- Training criterion
 - We start with requiring $a^t y(k) \le 0$, depending on the example belonging to class 1 or class 2.
 - If we switch the signs of examples of class 2, we require
 a^t y(k) > 0 for all k.
- On misclassified data $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) < 0$
- If \hat{a} is a solution (separable data), for all k, $\hat{a} y(k) > 0$
- We prove convergence by showing: $||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$ for this update rule. *i.e.* the learning rule brings the guess closer to a valid solution.

- Learning Rule: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} + \mathbf{y}(k)$ where $\mathbf{y}(k)$ is a misclassified input.
- Training criterion
 - We start with requiring $a^t y(k) \le 0$, depending on the example belonging to class 1 or class 2.
 - If we switch the signs of examples of class 2, we require $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) > 0$ for all k.
- On misclassified data $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) < 0$
- If \hat{a} is a solution (separable data), for all k, $\hat{a} y(k) > 0$
- We prove convergence by showing: $||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$ for this update rule. *i.e.* the learning rule brings the guess closer to a valid solution.

- Learning Rule: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} + \mathbf{y}(k)$ where $\mathbf{y}(k)$ is a misclassified input.
- Training criterion
 - We start with requiring $a^t y(k) \le 0$, depending on the example belonging to class 1 or class 2.
 - If we switch the signs of examples of class 2, we require $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) > 0$ for all k.
- On misclassified data $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) < 0$
- If \hat{a} is a solution (separable data), for all k, $\hat{a} y(k) > 0$
- We prove convergence by showing: $||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$ for this update rule. *i.e.* the learning rule brings the guess closer to a valid solution.

- Learning Rule: $\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} + \mathbf{y}(k)$ where $\mathbf{y}(k)$ is a misclassified input.
- Training criterion
 - We start with requiring $a^t y(k) \le 0$, depending on the example belonging to class 1 or class 2.
 - If we switch the signs of examples of class 2, we require $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) > 0$ for all k.
- On misclassified data $\mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y}(k) < 0$
- If \hat{a} is a solution (separable data), for all k, $\hat{a} y(k) > 0$
- We prove convergence by showing: $||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$ for this update rule. *i.e.* the learning rule brings the guess closer to a valid solution.

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

• For perceptron criterion, the magnitude of \boldsymbol{a} is not relevant (only the direction is). Hence for some scalar α , we wish to show

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$$

From the update formula

$$\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{y}(k)$$

Taking magnitudes

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 = ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 + 2(\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}})^t \boldsymbol{y}(k) + ||\boldsymbol{y}(k)||^2$$

ullet If we drop the negative term ${m a}^{(k)}{}^t{m y}(k)$ from RHS, the equality becomes an inequality

$$||\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}||^2 < ||\mathbf{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}||^2 - 2\alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^t \mathbf{y}(k) + ||\mathbf{y}(k)||^2$$

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

• For perceptron criterion, the magnitude of \boldsymbol{a} is not relevant (only the direction is). Hence for some scalar α , we wish to show

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$$

From the update formula

$$\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{y}(k)$$

Taking magnitudes

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 = ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 + 2(\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}})^t \boldsymbol{y}(k) + ||\boldsymbol{y}(k)||^2$$

ullet If we drop the negative term ${m a}^{(k)}{}^t{m y}(k)$ from RHS, the equality becomes an inequality

$$||\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}||^2 < ||\mathbf{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}||^2 - 2\alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^t \mathbf{y}(k) + ||\mathbf{y}(k)||^2$$

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

• For perceptron criterion, the magnitude of \boldsymbol{a} is not relevant (only the direction is). Hence for some scalar α , we wish to show

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$$

From the update formula

$$\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}} = \, \boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}} + \, \boldsymbol{y}(k)$$

Taking magnitudes

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 = ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 + 2(\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}})^t \boldsymbol{y}(k) + ||\boldsymbol{y}(k)||^2$$

ullet If we drop the negative term ${m a}^{(k)}{}^t{m y}(k)$ from RHS, the equality becomes an inequality

$$||\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}||^2 < ||\mathbf{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}||^2 - 2\alpha \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^t \mathbf{y}(k) + ||\mathbf{y}(k)||^2$$

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

• For perceptron criterion, the magnitude of \boldsymbol{a} is not relevant (only the direction is). Hence for some scalar α , we wish to show

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2$$

From the update formula

$$\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \, \widehat{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{y}(k)$$

Taking magnitudes

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 = ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 + 2(\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}})^t \boldsymbol{y}(k) + ||\boldsymbol{y}(k)||^2$$

ullet If we drop the negative term ${\pmb a}^{(k)}{}^t{\pmb y}(k)$ from RHS, the equality becomes an inequality

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 - 2\alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}^t \boldsymbol{y}(k) + ||\boldsymbol{y}(k)||^2$$

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

- Of the three terms on the right hand side, we know $\hat{a}^t y(k) > 0$, because \hat{a} is assumed to be a solution.
- If we select

$$\beta^2 = \max_{i} ||\mathbf{y}_i||^2$$
$$\gamma = \min_{i} \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^t \mathbf{y}_i$$

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 - \beta^2$$

- (Note the inequality remains true when the right hand side is replaced by a quantity larger than what it previously was.)
- Every correction takes the guess closer to a true solution.
- From an initialization $a^{(1)}$, we will find a solution in at most $k_0 = \frac{||a(1) \alpha \hat{a}||^2}{\beta^2}$ updates.

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

- Of the three terms on the right hand side, we know $\hat{a}^t y(k) > 0$, because \hat{a} is assumed to be a solution.
- If we select

$$\beta^2 = \max_{i} ||\boldsymbol{y}_i||^2$$
$$\gamma = \min_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}^t \boldsymbol{y}_i$$

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 - \beta^2$$

- (Note the inequality remains true when the right hand side is replaced by a quantity larger than what it previously was.)
- Every correction takes the guess closer to a true solution.
- From an initialization $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$, we will find a solution in at most $k_0 = \frac{\|\mathbf{a}^{(1)} \alpha \hat{\mathbf{a}}\|^2}{\beta^2}$ updates.

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

- Of the three terms on the right hand side, we know $\hat{a}^t y(k) > 0$, because \hat{a} is assumed to be a solution.
- If we select

$$\beta^2 = \max_{i} ||\boldsymbol{y}_i||^2$$
$$\gamma = \min_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}^t \boldsymbol{y}_i$$

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 - \beta^2$$

- (Note the inequality remains true when the right hand side is replaced by a quantity larger than what it previously was.)
- Every correction takes the guess closer to a true solution.
- From an initialization $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$, we will find a solution in at most $k_0 = \frac{\|\mathbf{a}(1) \alpha \hat{\mathbf{a}}\|^2}{\beta^2}$ updates.

Convergence of the learning rule (cont'd)

- Of the three terms on the right hand side, we know $\hat{a}^t y(k) > 0$, because \hat{a} is assumed to be a solution.
- If we select

$$\beta^2 = \max_{i} ||\boldsymbol{y}_i||^2$$
$$\gamma = \min_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}^t \boldsymbol{y}_i$$

$$||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k+1)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 < ||\boldsymbol{a}^{(k)} - \alpha \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}||^2 - \beta^2$$

- (Note the inequality remains true when the right hand side is replaced by a quantity larger than what it previously was.)
- Every correction takes the guess closer to a true solution.
- From an initialization $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$, we will find a solution in at most $k_0 = \frac{\|\mathbf{a}^{(1)} \alpha \hat{\mathbf{a}}\|^2}{\beta^2}$ updates.

Summary

- Linear regression
 - Solution as pseudo inverse
 - Solution by gradient descent
 - Regularization
- Perceptron
 - Setting up a suitable error function
 - Convergence of the algorithm