

Contents

1	Introduction to Topology	2
2	Bases for Topologies	7
3	Closed sets, closures, and dense sets	13
4	Countability	25
5	Sequence Convergence and Countability	33

1 Introduction to Topology

Problem 1.1

Fix $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$. Show explicitly that the interval (a, b) is open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. Show explicitly that the interval $[a, b)$ is not open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$.

Solution: Let $x \in (a, b)$. Take $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. Let $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$. If $y = x$, then $y \in (a, b)$. If $y < x < b$, we have that $x - y = |x - y| < \epsilon \implies x - y < x - a \implies y > a$. Hence $y \in (a, b)$ in this case. If $a < x < y$, we have that $y - x = |x - y| < \epsilon \implies y - x < b - x \implies y < b$. Hence $y \in (a, b)$ in this case. Thus, $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (a, b)$ proving that (a, b) is open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. \square

To show that $[a, b)$ is not open, consider an arbitrary open ball centred at $a \in [a, b)$. Note that for all $\epsilon > 0$, $a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \in B_\epsilon(a)$ but $a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \notin [a, b)$. Hence every open ball centered at a is not contained in $[a, b)$ which means that $[a, b)$ is not open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. \square

Problem 1.2

Let X be a set and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$. Show that the only topology on X that contains \mathcal{B} as a subset is the discrete topology.

Solution: Let \mathcal{T} be an arbitrary topology such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. I claim that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}(X)$, which by definition is the discrete topology. The forward direction follows by the definition of a topology since a topology is a collection of subsets. For the converse direction, let $A \subseteq X$. If $A = \emptyset$, $A \in \mathcal{T}$ since we know that \mathcal{T} is a topology. Otherwise, we can then write $A = \bigcap_{a \in A} \{a\}$ and hence A is a union of elements of \mathcal{B} and since $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, by the definition of a topology, $A \in \mathcal{T}$. Hence $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ as desired. \square

Problem 1.3

Fix a set X , and let $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$ be the co-finite and co-countable topologies on X , respectively.

- Show explicitly that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$ are both topologies on X .
- Show that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$.
- Under what circumstances does $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$?
- Under what circumstances does $\mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$?

Solution:

- For co-finite, we first note that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ by definition and $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ since $X \setminus X = \emptyset$ which is finite. Let $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$. If either are empty, the intersection is empty and thus remains in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$. Otherwise, say $U_1 = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $U_2 = X \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. Then $U_1 \cap U_2 = X \setminus (\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\})$ and hence is still in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$. Now consider a collection $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of open sets in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$. We can assume they are all non-empty since this will not change the value of

the union. So each U_λ is of the form $X \setminus V_\lambda$ where V_λ is finite. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X \setminus V_\lambda \\ &= X \setminus \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda \right)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the arbitrary intersection of finite sets remains finite (this is seen because the arbitrary intersection is a subset of any one of those finite sets) and hence, $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ remains open. Hence the cofinite topology is indeed a topology. \square

For the cocountable topology, the proof is the same. Since the union of 2 countable sets is still countable, finite intersection of open sets in the cocountable topology is open. Since arbitrary intersections of countable sets is countable, arbitrary unions remain open. Hence the cocountable topology is a topology. \square

- b) This just follows from the fact that all finite sets are countable. So if $X \setminus U$ is finite (i.e $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$), then $X \setminus U$ is countable and thus $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$. \square
- c) This occurs if and only if X is finite. For the converse direction, we see that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ because every subset of X is finite and countable. For the forward direction, consider the contrapositive. Let X be infinite and thus there exists a countable infinite (denumerable) subset C in X . Note that $X \setminus C$ is thus an element of the cocountable topology but not the cofinite topology. \square
- d) This occurs if and only if X is countable. For the converse direction, every subset is countable, and thus every subset of X has its complement countable, thus $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}} = \mathcal{P}(X) = \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$. For the forward direction, consider the contrapositive. Suppose X is uncountable and consider any $x \in X$. Note that $X \setminus \{x\}$ remains uncountable, and so $\{x\} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ but $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$ and hence $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}} \neq \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ as desired. \square

Problem 1.4

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ be an infinite set with the co-countable topology. Show that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$ is closed under countable intersections. Give an example to show that it need not be closed under arbitrary intersections.

Solution: Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be countably many sets in the co-countable topology. We can assume they are all non-empty since this will not change the value of the union. Thus they are of the form $U_n = X \setminus V_n$ where V_n is countable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we simply note that:

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X \setminus V_n \\ &= X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n \right)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the countable union of countable sets remain countable so the countable intersection above is still in the cocountable topology. \square

To show that arbitrary intersections need not remain in the cocountable topology, consider \mathbb{R} equipped with the cocountable topology. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \geq 0$, define $U_x = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}$ which is an element of the cocountable topology. Then consider the arbitrary intersection of these sets. We see that $\bigcap_{x \geq 0} U_x = \mathbb{R}_{<0}$. Note that

$\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ is not an element of the cocountable topology since its complement is the non negative real numbers which is not countable.

Problem 1.5

Let X be a nonempty set, and fix an element $p \in X$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{T}_p = \{U \subseteq X : p \in U\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

is called the point topology at p on X . Show that \mathcal{T}_p is a topology on X .

Solution: We have $p \in X$ so $X \in \mathcal{T}_p$ and that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_p$. For arbitrary unions, if $p \in U_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $p \in \bigcup U_\lambda$. Similarly, if $p \in U_1$ and $p \in U_2$, then $p \in U_1 \cap U_2$. Hence this is a topology. \square

Problem 1.6

Define the ray topology on \mathbb{R} as:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}} = \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$$

Show that \mathcal{T}_{ray} is a topology on \mathbb{R} . Be sure to think carefully about unions.

Solution: By definition, $\emptyset, \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}}$. For intersections, if the empty set is contained in the intersection, the intersection is the empty set. If \mathbb{R} is contained in the intersection of 2 open sets, the other set (which is open) will be the result and thus the intersection is open. Otherwise, consider $(a, \infty) \cap (b, \infty)$. Simply note that this is equal to $(\max(a, b), \infty)$ because $x > a$ and $x > b$ if and only if $x > \max(a, b)$. For unions, if \mathbb{R} is contained in the union the result is \mathbb{R} which is open. We can assume there are no empty sets in the union as that will not change the result. Now consider an arbitrary collection of intervals (a_λ, ∞) , and let $S = \{a_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. We consider 2 cases, S is bounded below and S is not bounded below.

In the first case, by the completeness of \mathbb{R} , we know that $\inf S$ exists, and I claim that $\bigcup (a_\lambda, \infty) = (\inf S, \infty)$. For the forward inclusion, if $x \in (a_{\lambda_0}, \infty)$ for some $a_{\lambda_0} \in S$, we have that $x > a_{\lambda_0} \geq \inf S$ and hence $x \in (\inf S, \infty)$. For the converse inclusion, if $x > \inf S$, by the definition of the greatest lower bound, there exists an $\inf S < a_{\lambda_0} < x$ (otherwise x would be a greater lower bound). Hence $x \in \bigcup (a_\lambda, \infty)$.

Now suppose that S is not bounded below. I claim then that $\bigcup (a_\lambda, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. The forward direction follows since each interval is a subset of \mathbb{R} . For the converse direction, given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, since S is not bounded below, there exists $a_{\lambda_0} \in S$ with $a_{\lambda_0} < x$ and thus $x \in \bigcup (a_\lambda, \infty)$ as desired.

Hence \mathcal{T}_{ray} is a topology. \square

Problem 1.7

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$ be a set with the property that for every $x \in A$, there is an open set $U_x \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $x \in U_x \subseteq A$. Show that A is open.

Solution: This simply follows by noting that $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$. Converse direction follows since each U_x is a subset of A forward direction follows because for all $x \in X$, $x \in U_x \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$. Since each U_x is open, A is open as it is the union of open sets. \square

Problem 1.8

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an injective (but not necessarily surjective) function. Is $\mathcal{T}_f := \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$ necessarily a topology on Y ? Is it necessarily a topology on the range of f ?

Solution: This is not necessarily a topology on Y . Let $Y = \mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ and let X be $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. Define the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$. We see that $f(U) = U \times \{0\}$ for all non empty sets $U \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. In particular, $Y \notin \mathcal{T}_f$ and so this is not a topology on Y . \square

It is a topology on the range of f . We have that $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $f(X) = \text{Range } f$ (and \emptyset and X are open in X). Note that since f is injective, we have that $f(U_1 \cap U_2) = f(U_1) \cap f(U_2)$. The forward inclusion is true for all functions since if $y = f(x)$ with $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$, then $y \in f(U_1)$ and $y \in f(U_2)$. For the reverse inclusion, say $y = f(x_1)$ and $y = f(x_2)$ with $x_i \in U_i$. Since f is injective, we have that $x_1 = x_2$ and hence they are both elements of U_1 and U_2 . Hence $y \in f(U_1 \cap U_2)$. Since $f(U_1 \cap U_2) = f(U_1) \cap f(U_2)$, we note that \mathcal{T}_f is closed under finite intersections as $U_1 \cap U_2$ will be open in X whenever U_1 and U_2 are both open in X .

Now consider arbitrary unions. We first prove that for arbitrary collection of sets $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, $f(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(U_\lambda)$. The forward direction follows because if $y = f(x)$ where $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$, then $x \in U_{\lambda_0}$ and so $y = f(x) \in f(U_{\lambda_0}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(U_\lambda)$. For the converse direction, if $y \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(U_\lambda)$, then $y = f(x)$ where $x \in U_{\lambda_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ and hence $y = f(x) \in f(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda)$. Hence \mathcal{T}_f is closed under arbitrary union since $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ will be open in X whenever each U_λ is open in X .

Hence $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ is indeed a topology on the range of f . \square

Problem 1.9

Let X be a set and \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be two topologies on X . Is $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ a topology on X ? Is $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ a topology on X ? If yes, prove it. If not, give a counterexample.

Solution: Unions of topologies are not necessarily topologies. Consider $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, X\}$. Note that $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, X\}$. This is not a topology since $\{1, 2\} = \{1\} \cup \{2\} \notin \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ but both of $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$ are in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$.

Intersections of topologies are topologies. Let X be a set and \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be arbitrary topologies. By definition, $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. Let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. By the definition of a topology, $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_2$. Hence, $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. If $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are all open sets in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$, then by the definition of a topology $\bigcup U_\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\bigcup U_\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_2$. Hence, $\bigcup U_\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ and we have that $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ is still a topology. \square

Problem 1.10

Let X be an infinite set. Show that there are infinitely many distinct topologies on X

Solution: Note that for any $x \in X$, $\mathcal{T}_x = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, X\}$ forms a topology on X . We see that $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}_x$ and that arbitrary unions and intersections stay in \mathcal{T}_x . Thus to get infinitely many distinct topologies, we just consider $\{\mathcal{T}_x : x \in X\}$. \square

Problem 1.11

Fix a set X , and let ϕ be a property that subsets A of X can have. For example, ϕ could be “ A is countable”, or “ A is finite”. ϕ could be “ A contains p ” or “ A doesn’t contain p ” for a fixed point $p \in X$. If $X = \mathbb{R}$, ϕ could be “ A is an interval” or “ A contains uncountably many irrational numbers less than π ”. Define

$$T_{\text{co-}\phi} = \{U \subseteq X : U = \emptyset, \text{ or } X \setminus U \text{ has } \phi\}$$

Under what assumptions on ϕ is $T_{\text{co-}\phi}$ a topology on X ? Which topologies we have seen so far can be described in this way, using which ϕ ?

Solution: First we note that $U \neq \emptyset \in T_{\text{co-}\phi}$ if and only if $U = X \setminus V$ where V satisfies ϕ (in particular $V = X \setminus U$). Finite intersections $(X \setminus V_1) \cap (X \setminus V_2)$ are of the form $X \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$, so we require that finite unions of sets satisfying ϕ still satisfy ϕ . Also $\bigcup X \setminus V_\lambda = X \setminus \bigcap V_\lambda$, so we require arbitrary intersections of sets satisfying ϕ to still satisfy ϕ . Finally since we want $X \in T_{\text{co-}\phi}$, we require that \emptyset satisfies ϕ . Cocountable, cofinite, and particular point topology all satisfy this where the properties are “is finite”, “is countable” and “does not contain p ”. We can also phrase the discrete topology in this way with the property “is a set”. We can phrase the trivial topology in this way by using the property “is the empty set”. The ray topology can be phrased as “is an interval of the form $(-\infty, a)$ or is equal to the emptyset”.

Problem 1.12

Let $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a collection of topologies on a set X , where I is some indexing set. Prove that there is a unique finest topology that is refined by all the \mathcal{T}_α . That is, prove that there is a topology \mathcal{T} on X such That

- a) \mathcal{T}_α refines \mathcal{T} for every $\alpha \in I$.
- b) If \mathcal{T}' is another topology that is refined by \mathcal{T}_α for every $\alpha \in I$, then \mathcal{T} is finer than \mathcal{T}'

Solution: We simply take $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{T}_\alpha$. We see that this is a subset of each \mathcal{T}_α which means that condition a) is satisfied. Also if \mathcal{T}' is a subset of each \mathcal{T}_α it is thus a subset of their intersection which satisfies condition b). It remains to be shown that this is actually still a topology.

We see that \emptyset, X are elements of each \mathcal{T}_α and is thus an element of \mathcal{T} . If $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, then $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$, so $U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in I$ and hence $U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. For unions, suppose $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a collection of sets in \mathcal{T} . They are thus contained in each \mathcal{T}_α . Since each \mathcal{T}_α is a topology, $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all α and hence the union is contained in \mathcal{T} as desired. \square

Problem 1.13

This extends Problem 1.8. Show that f being injective is necessary. That is given an example of a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and a non-injective function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that \mathcal{T}_f is a topology on the range of f and an example where it is not a topology.

Solution: For an example where \mathcal{T}_f is a topology consider $f : \mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ where f is the constant map that maps everything to 1. \mathcal{T}_f in this case is simply $\{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$ which is just the trivial topology on the range of f which is $\{1\}$.

For an example where \mathcal{T}_f is not a topology, let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, $f(2) = 1$ and $f(3) = 2$ (note that f is surjective here). Define the following topology on X : $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, X\}$. The resulting set for \mathcal{T}_f is $\{\emptyset, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, Y\}$. Note however that this is not a topology since $\{0, 1\} \cap \{0, 2\} = \{0\}$ but $\{0\} \notin \mathcal{T}_f$.

Problem 1.14

Working in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$:

- Show that every nonempty open set contains a rational number.
- Show that there is no uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint open subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Solution:

- Let U be a nonempty open set. Let $x \in U$. Since U is open, there exists an ϵ greater than 0 such that the interval $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq U$. By the density of the rationals, there exists a rational number q contained in the interval which is a subset of U as desired. \square
- Suppose for contradiction an uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint open subsets of \mathbb{R} exists. By part a), each of these open subsets would contain a rational number and since the subsets are all disjoint, each of these rational numbers would be distinct and we would end up with an uncountable collection of rational numbers. This is a contradiction since there are countably many rational numbers. \square

2 Bases for Topologies

Problem 2.1

Show explicitly that the collection $\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : a < b\}$ is basis, and that it generates the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

Solution: We can write \mathbb{R} as $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n, n+2)$ and thus \mathcal{B} covers \mathbb{R} . Suppose (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) intersect non trivially, say x is contained in the intersection. We know that there exists an $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $(x - \epsilon_1, x + \epsilon_1) \subseteq (a_1, b_1)$ and $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $(x - \epsilon_2, x + \epsilon_2) \subseteq (a_2, b_2)$. If we take $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, we then have that the interval $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$ is contained in both of $(x - \epsilon_1, x + \epsilon_1)$ and $(x - \epsilon_2, x + \epsilon_2)$. Hence it is contained in both of (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) . Thus \mathcal{B} is a basis.

To show that this generates the usual topology on \mathbb{R} we note by the definition of the usual topology, we have that if U open, for all $x \in U$ there exists an open interval centered at x that is contained in U (let's call this interval (a_x, b_x)). Then, we simply write $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} (a_x, b_x)$ and we have that every open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ can be written as the union of elements of \mathcal{B} and hence \mathcal{B} generates the usual topology of \mathbb{R} . \square

Problem 2.2

Show that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a < b\}$ is a basis for the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

Solution: Like in Problem 2.1, we write \mathbb{R} as $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n, n+2)$ and thus $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ covers \mathbb{R} . Now again suppose, (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) intersect non trivially (here $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$) at x . Problem 2.1 gives us that there exists an interval (a, b) containing x that is contained in both of these intervals. In particular $b < \min(b_1, b_2)$ and $a > \max(a_1, a_2)$. By the density of the rationals, there exists rational numbers q and r such that $b < r < \min(b_1, b_2)$ and $\max(a_1, a_2) < q < a$. Thus the interval (q, r) still contains x but is also still contained in both of (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) as desired. Hence, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a basis.

To show that this generates the usual topology on \mathbb{R} , it suffices to show that open intervals can be expressed as unions of intervals in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then by Problem 2.1, we have that every open set is a union of open intervals which are each a union of intervals in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By the density of the rationals, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a rational number q_n such that $a < q_n < \min(b, a + \frac{1}{n})$. Similarly, we also have that there exists r_n such that $\max(a, b - \frac{1}{n}) < r_n < b$. We then note that $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (q_n, r_n)$. The reverse inclusion follows because each $(q_n, r_n) \subseteq (a, b)$. For the forward inclusion, if $a < x < b$. We know that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < \min(x - a, b - x)$. In particular, this means that $x > a + \frac{1}{n} > q_n$ and $x < b - \frac{1}{n} < r_n$ and we have that $x \in (q_n, r_n)$. Hence every open interval can be expressed as a union of intervals in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which as discussed above implies that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generates the usual topology of \mathbb{R} . \square

Problem 2.3

Some exercises about the Sorgenfrey line. Recall the collection $\mathcal{B} = \{[a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : a < b\}$ is a basis which generates \mathcal{S} , the Lower Limit Topology. The space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S})$ is called the Sorgenfrey line.

- Show that every nonempty open set in \mathcal{S} contains a rational number.
- Show that the interval $(0, 1)$ is open in the Sorgenfrey line.
- More generally, show that for any $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$, (a, b) is open in the Sorgenfrey line.
- Is the interval $(0, 1]$ open \mathcal{S} ?
- Show that \mathcal{S} strictly refines the usual topology on \mathbb{R}
- Show that the real numbers can be written as the union of two disjoint, nonempty open sets in \mathcal{S}
- Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a < b\}$. Show that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is *not* a basis for the Lower Limit Topology

Solution:

- A non empty open set U in \mathcal{S} must contain an element of the basis, say $[a, b)$. Note that $(a, b) \subseteq [a, b) \subseteq U$ and by Problem 1.14, there exists a rational number in (a, b) and thus a rational number in U . \square
- This follows from the fact that we can write $(0, 1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\frac{1}{n}, 1)$. The reverse inclusion is true since each half open interval is contained in $(0, 1)$. The forward direction is true since for all $x \in (0, 1)$ there is a natural number n large enough so that $\frac{1}{n} < x$. \square
- We use the same construction, we write $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b)$ where if $a + \frac{1}{n} \geq b$, we say that $[a + \frac{1}{n}, b) = \emptyset$. The argument is the exact same as above.
- Not it is not open. Suppose for contradiction that the set is open. Then, we would be able to write $(0, 1]$ as a collection of unions of intervals of the form $[a, b)$. Say $(0, 1] = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [a_{\lambda}, b_{\lambda})$. This would imply that $1 \in [a_{\lambda_0}, b_{\lambda_0})$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$. In particular, we have that $1 < b_{\lambda_0}$. But if we take $r = \frac{1+b_{\lambda_0}}{2}$, we have that $a_{\lambda_0} \leq 1 < r < b_{\lambda_0}$. This would imply that $r \in [a_{\lambda_0}, b_{\lambda_0})$ and hence is an element of the set

on the right, however we see that $r > 1$ and thus $r \notin (0, 1]$. Thus we have a contradiction and $(0, 1]$ cannot be open. \square

- e) Since we showed every open interval is open in \mathcal{S} and we know from Problem 2.1 that the open intervals form a basis for $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, we thus have that every open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ can be represented as a union of elements in \mathcal{S} . Hence we have that \mathcal{S} refines $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. To show that it strictly refines it, we simply remark that $[0, 1) \in \mathcal{S}$ since it is a basis element, and Problem 1.1 tells us that this is not open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. \square
- f) We will write $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, 0) \cup [0, \infty)$. $(-\infty, 0)$ is open in \mathcal{S} since $(-\infty, 0) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [-n, 0)$. $[0, \infty)$ is open in \mathcal{S} since $[0, \infty) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [0, n)$. These 2 sets are clearly disjoint and non empty thus satisfying the conditions of the problems. \square
- g) I will show that $[\sqrt{2}, 5)$ cannot be written as the union of elements in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Suppose for contradiction that $[\sqrt{2}, 5) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [q_{\lambda}, r_{\lambda})$ for some collection of rational number intervals $[q_{\lambda}, r_{\lambda})$. We must have that each interval $[q_{\lambda}, r_{\lambda}) \subseteq [\sqrt{2}, 5)$. Thus $q_{\lambda} \geq \sqrt{2}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since these 2 sets are equal, we also have that $\sqrt{2} \in [q_{\lambda_0}, r_{\lambda_0})$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ (since $\sqrt{2} \in [\sqrt{2}, 5)$). Thus we have that $q_{\lambda_0} \leq \sqrt{2} \leq q_{\lambda_0}$ which implies that $q_{\lambda_0} = \sqrt{2}$, a contradiction. \square

Problem 2.4

Recall that the collection $\mathcal{B} = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is a basis for the discrete topology on a set X . If X is a finite set with n elements, then clearly \mathcal{B} also has n elements. Is there a basis with fewer than n elements that generates the discrete topology on X ?

Solution: This is not possible. Suppose such a basis \mathcal{B}' existed. Since \mathcal{B}' has less than n elements, there exists $x \in X$ such that $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{B}'$. Suppose now that $\{x\}$ can be written as the union of elements of \mathcal{B}' . Say $\{x\} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$. Then every element of \mathcal{C} must be a subset of $\{x\}$ which is not possible since $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{B}$ and we would have that each element of \mathcal{C} is the empty set. This a contradiction since the union of empty sets is empty. \square

Problem 2.5

Let $X = [0, 1]^{[0, 1]}$, the set of all functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Given a subset $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, let

$$U_A = \{f \in X : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A\}$$

Show that $\mathcal{B} = \{U_A : A \subseteq [0, 1]\}$ is a basis for a topology on X .

Solution: First let's show that \mathcal{B} covers X . We simply note that $U_{\emptyset} = X$ since the statement in the set definition is vacuously true (and hence the union of all the U_A will be equal to X). Suppose $U_A \cap U_B \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $f \in X$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B$. In particular, this tells us that $f \in U_{A \cup B}$. Finally note that $U_{A \cup B} \subseteq U_A \cap U_B$ since if $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A \cup B$, then $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B$. Hence \mathcal{B} is a basis as we have checked both conditions in the definition. \square

Problem 2.6

Let \mathcal{B} be a basis on a set X and let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the topology that it generates. Show that:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} = \bigcap \{ \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \mathcal{T} \text{ is a topology on } X \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \}$$

That is, show that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the intersection of all topologies that contain \mathcal{B} .

Solution: The reverse inclusion follows from the fact that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is itself a topology that contains \mathcal{B} so if U is an element of the intersection, $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$. For the forward inclusion, suppose $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let \mathcal{T} be an arbitrary topology of X containing \mathcal{B} . Since U is the union of elements in \mathcal{B} and each set in \mathcal{B} is in \mathcal{T} , by the definition of a topology we have that $U \in \mathcal{T}$ as desired. \square

Problem 2.7

Let $\{\mathcal{T}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ be a collection of topologies on a set X , where I is some indexing set. Prove that there is a unique coarsest topology that refines all the \mathcal{T}_{α} . That is, prove that there is a topology \mathcal{T} on X such that

- a) \mathcal{T} refines \mathcal{T}_{α} for every $\alpha \in I$.
- b) If \mathcal{T}' is another topology that refines \mathcal{T}_{α} for every $\alpha \in I$, then \mathcal{T} is coarser than \mathcal{T}'

Solution: Define $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$. Similar to Problem 2.6, define a set \mathcal{T} (which we will show is a topology) that is equal to the intersection of all topologies that contain \mathcal{S} . That is:

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcap \{ \tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) : \tau \text{ is a topology on } X \text{ and } \mathcal{S} \subseteq \tau \}$$

To show that this is a topology, we remark that arbitrary intersections of topologies is still a topology (we also need to show that the intersection is not empty, but this is satisfied by the discrete topology on X). First note that $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}$ since they are elements of each τ by the definition of a topology. If $\{U_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are all elements of \mathcal{T} , they are elements of each τ , and thus their union will still be an element of each τ , and is thus in \mathcal{T} as well. Similarly, if $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$, $U, V \in \tau$ for all τ containing \mathcal{S} and by the definition of a topology $U \cap V \in \tau$ for all τ and thus $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}$.

We have just shown that \mathcal{T} is a topology. Let's check the refinement conditions. We note that \mathcal{T} contains each \mathcal{T}_{α} since each $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ (since \mathcal{T} is an intersection of sets which all contain \mathcal{S}). If \mathcal{T}' is a topology that refines each \mathcal{T}_{α} , then we have that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$, but by the definition of \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{T}' will be contained in the intersection and hence $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$ i.e \mathcal{T} is coarser than \mathcal{T}' as desired. \square

Problem 2.8

Let \mathcal{B} be a basis for a set X and let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the topology generated by taking arbitrary unions of elements of \mathcal{B} . Define $\mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ in the following way:

$$\mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}} = \{U \subseteq X : \forall x \in U, \exists B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subseteq U\}$$

Show that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$

Solution: For the forward inclusion, let $U = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{C}} B$ where $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. If $x \in U$, then $x \in B_0$ for some

$B_0 \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Thus, U is an element of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$. For the converse inclusion, for each $x \in U$ let B_x denote the basis element such that $B_x \subseteq U$. Then, $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} B_x$ which is a union of basis elements. To see that this set equality holds, for the forward inclusion each $x \in U$ is an element of B_x and thus in an element of the right hand side. The converse inclusion follows because each B_x is contained in U . \square

Problem 2.9

Let $m, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \neq 0$. A set of the form $Z(m, b) = \{mx + b \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is called an arithmetic progression.

- Show that the collection \mathcal{B} of all arithmetic progressions is a basis on \mathbb{Z} . The topology $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ that \mathcal{B} generates is called the Furstenberg Topology.
- Show that every nonempty open set in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ is infinite.
- Let $U \in \mathcal{B}$ be a basic open set. Show that $\mathbb{Z} \setminus U$ is open.
- Show that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ is Hausdorff (i.e for any distinct integers m, n there are disjoint open sets U and V with $m \in U$ and $n \in V$).

Solution:

- We first note that $Z(1, 0) = \mathbb{Z}$ and thus the set of all arithmetic progressions cover \mathbb{Z} . Now suppose $n \in Z(m_1, b_1) \cap Z(m_2, b_2)$. We have that $n = m_1x_1 + b_1 = m_2x_2 + b_2$. Consider $Z(m_1m_2, n)$. Note that $n \in Z(m_1m_2, n)$ since $n = 0m_1m_2 + n$. Next note that $Z(m_1m_2, n) \subseteq Z(m_1, b_1) \cap Z(m_2, b_2)$. This is because if $y = m_1m_2x + n \in Z(m_1m_2, n)$, we have that $y = m_1m_2x + m_1x_1 + b_1 = m_1(m_2x + x_1) + b_1 \in Z(m_1, b_1)$ and $y = m_1m_2x + m_2x_2 + b_2 = m_2(m_1x + x_2) + b_2 \in Z(m_2, b_2)$. Hence \mathcal{B} is a basis on \mathbb{Z} . \square
- Note that every non empty open set must contain a basis element. Since every basis element has infinitely many elements, then each non empty open set must be infinite. \square
- Let's write $U = Z(m, b)$ where $m \neq 0$. If $m = 1$, note that $Z(m, b) = \mathbb{Z}$ and hence, $\mathbb{Z} \setminus U = \emptyset$ which is open. Otherwise we prove the following relationship:

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus Z(m, b) = \bigcup_{n=b+1}^{b+m-1} Z(m, n)$$

This is equivalent to showing:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{n=b}^{b+m-1} Z(m, n)$$

The converse inclusion is trivial since each $Z(m, n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. For the forward direction, let $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. We know that $y \in Z(m, y)$. By division algorithm, we have that $y - b = mq + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$. So we have that $y \in Z(m, b + r + mq)$. We now quickly remark that $y \in Z(m, b + r + mq) = Z(m, b + r)$. For the forward inclusion we have that $y = mx + b + r + mq \implies y = m(x + q) + b + r \in Z(m, b + r)$. For the reverse inclusion $y = mx + b + r \implies y = mx - mq + b + r + mq = m(x - q) + b + r + mq \in Z(m, b + r + mq)$. Hence we have that $y \in Z(m, b + r)$. Since we know that $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$, this means that $Z(m, b + r)$ is an element in the union above completing the proof of the above set equality. This set equality precisely tells us that $\mathbb{Z} \setminus Z(m, b)$ is a union of arithmetic progressions and thus is open. \square

- Let $m \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. WLOG $m < n$. Take $U = Z(n - m + 1, m)$ and $V = Z(n - m + 1, n)$. It is clear that $m \in U$ and $n \in V$ (take $x = 0$ in the definition). To show that sets are disjoint, suppose that

$(n - m + 1)x_1 + m = (n - m + 1)x_2 + n$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that the intersection is non empty. This implies that $(n - m + 1)(x_1 - x_2) = n - m$. Since $0 < n - m < n - m + 1$, this implies $x_1 - x_2 = 0$ as any other value for $x_1 - x_2$ would cause $|(n - m + 1)(x_1 - x_2)| > n - m + 1 > n - m$. Thus we have that $x_1 = x_2$ which contradicts the fact that they are distinct. Hence U and V must be disjoint completing the proof that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ is Hausdorff. \square

Problem 2.10

Show that the collection $\mathcal{S} = \{(-\infty, b) : b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subbasis that generates the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

Solution: We first show that if \mathcal{B}_1 is a base for a topology \mathcal{T} and if $\mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is such that $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2$, then \mathcal{B}_2 is a base for \mathcal{T} as well. This follows quite simply because if $G \in \tau$, there is $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$ such that $G = \cup\{B : B \in \mathcal{C}\}$. But we also have that \mathcal{C} is a subset of \mathcal{B}_2 , since $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2$. Thus \mathcal{B}_2 is also a base for \mathcal{T} .

Let \mathcal{B}' be the set of all finite intersections of \mathcal{S} . Let \mathcal{B} be the base for the topology from a). We note that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$. This is because an interval of the form (a, b) is equal to the intersection of the intervals $(-\infty, b)$ and (a, ∞) (from Problem 2.1 we know the set of all intervals form a base for the usual topology of \mathbb{R}). From above, we get that \mathcal{B}' is a base for topology from a), and hence \mathcal{S} is a subbase.

Problem 2.11

- Let \mathcal{S} be a collection of subsets of a set X that covers X . Show that \mathcal{S} is a subbasis on X .
- Give an example of a subbasis on \mathbb{R} that does not generate the usual topology on \mathbb{R}

Solution:

- Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all finite intersections of \mathcal{S} . Note that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ since we can take intersections with just one term. Thus \mathcal{B} covers X since \mathcal{S} covers X . Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Since B_1 and B_2 are both a finite intersection of elements in \mathcal{S} , then $B_1 \cap B_2$ is also a finite intersection of elements in \mathcal{S} , and hence $B_1 \cap B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, for any $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, we simply take $B_1 \cap B_2$ to be the neighbourhood of x that is contained in $B_1 \cap B_2$. Hence \mathcal{B} is a basis as desired. \square
- We simply take $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$. We note that the set of finite intersections we end up with the basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$. Then taking unions, we still end up with $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ which is the discrete topology (which is not the usual topology).

Problem 2.12

For a prime number p , let $S_p = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ is a multiple of } p\}$

- Show that $\mathcal{S} = \{S_p : p \text{ is prime}\} \cup \{\{1\}\}$ is a subbasis on \mathbb{N}
- Describe the open sets in the topology generated by \mathcal{S}

Solution:

- a) By Problem 2.11, it suffices to show that \mathcal{S} covers \mathbb{N} . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n = 1$, then $n \in \{1\}$ which is an element of \mathcal{S} . Otherwise, there exists a prime p that divides n , and hence $n \in S_p$ which is an element of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} covers \mathbb{N} it is a subbasis for \mathbb{N} . \square
- b) First I'll extend the notation S_n to be the multiples of n even when n is not prime. We note that $S_n \cup S_m$ are the multiples of the least common multiple of n and m . And so by taking finite intersections, we generate the basis $\mathcal{B} = \{S_n : n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\{1\}\}$. The resulting topology has open sets whose elements are the union of these basis elements. So open sets in the topology are simply the union of multiples of numbers.

Problem 2.13

Fix an infinite subset A of \mathbb{Z} whose complement $\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ is also infinite. Construct a topology on \mathbb{Z} which satisfies the following properties:

- a) A is open
- b) Singletons are never open
- c) The topology is Hausdorff

Solution: We know that since A and $\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ are subsets of \mathbb{Z} , they are countable (and thus countably infinite since they are infinite by assumption). Let f be a bijection from A to the set of even integers, and g a bijection from $\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ to the set of odd integers. Then construction a bijection $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{h}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Let $h = \tilde{h}^{-1}$. Let's equip the domain of h with the Furstenberg topology. By Problem 1.8, since h is bijective in this case, if we take $\mathcal{T}_h = \{h(U) : U \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}\}$, we get that \mathcal{T}_h is a topology on \mathbb{Z} . We note that A is the image of the even integers under h and note that the set of even integers is open in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ since they can be represented as $Z(2, 0)$. Hence the first condition is satisfied. The second condition is satisfied because Problem 2.9 tells us that non empty open sets in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ are all infinite, and if we take the image of these infinite set under a bijection, they will still be infinite. So open sets in this topology are never singletons. Finally to show Hausdorff, let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Problem 2.9, we know that there exists disjoint open sets U, V in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ such that $h^{-1}(a) \in U$ and $h^{-1}(b) \in V$. Now consider $h(U)$ and $h(V)$ in \mathbb{Z} equipped with \mathcal{T}_h . We have that $a \in h(U)$ and $b \in h(V)$. We also have that they are open by the definition of \mathcal{T}_h . Finally we note that they are disjoint because if $y \in h(U) \cap h(V)$, then $y = h(u)$ for some $u \in U$ and $y = h(v)$ for some $v \in V$. Thus by injectivity of h , we get that $u = v$, which in particular gives us that $u = v \in U \cap V$ which contradicts the fact that U and V are disjoint. Thus $h(U) \cap h(V) = \emptyset$ completing the proof that \mathcal{T}_h is Hausdorff. \square

3 Closed sets, closures, and dense sets

Problem 3.1

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and \mathcal{B} a basis for \mathcal{T} . Let $A \subseteq X$. Show that $x \in \overline{A}$ if and only if for every basic open set U containing x , $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$

Solution: The forward direction follows by the definition because every basic open set is an open set. For the converse direction, let $x \in X$ and V be an arbitrary (not necessarily basic) open set containing x . We know from equivalent definitions of a basis that there exists a basic open set U containing x that is contained in V . By assumption, $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and since $U \subseteq V$, we have that $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Problem 3.2

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, $A, B \subseteq X$. Is it necessarily true that $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$? Is it necessarily true that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$?

Solution: It is true that $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$. For the forward inclusion, let $x \in \overline{A \cup B}$ and suppose $x \notin \overline{A}$. Then, there exists U containing x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $x \in \overline{A \cup B}$, we have that $(U \cap A) \cup (U \cap B) = U \cap (A \cup B) \neq \emptyset$. But since $U \cap A = \emptyset$, this gives us that $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Since U was arbitrary, this gives us that $x \in \overline{B}$ as desired. For the reverse inclusion, we simply use the contrapositive. Suppose $x \notin \overline{A \cup B}$, there exists an open set U containing x such that $(U \cap A) \cup (U \cap B) = U \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. This gives us that $U \cap A = U \cap B = \emptyset$ and hence $x \notin \overline{A}$ and $x \notin \overline{B}$ as desired. Thus closure distributes over unions.

It is not true however that closures distribute over intersections. Consider $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ with $A = (0, 1)$ and $B = (1, 2)$. We have that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, so $\overline{A \cap B} = \emptyset$. However, $\overline{A} = [0, 1]$ and $\overline{B} = [1, 2]$, so $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = \{1\} \neq \emptyset = \overline{A \cap B}$. \square

Problem 3.3

Let $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, show that $\overline{A} = A \cup \{0\}$.

Solution: For the reverse inclusion, we know that $A \subseteq \overline{A}$. We also know that for any open set U around 0, we can take an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subseteq U$. Note that for any ϵ , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Thus the intersection $U \cap A$ is always non empty.

For the forward inclusion we approach via the contrapositive. Suppose $x \notin A \cup \{0\}$. If $x < 0$, take $\epsilon = |x|/2$ so that $B_\epsilon(x) \cap A = \emptyset$ because all values in $B_\epsilon(x)$ will take on strictly negative values. Now suppose $x > 0$ but $x \notin A$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal so that $\frac{1}{n} < x$ (we know such an n must exist by Archimedean property). So we have that $\frac{1}{n} < x < \frac{1}{n-1}$ and we know these are strict inequalities because $x \notin A$. So $x \in (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$, and by 1.1, we know that this is an open set and there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$. Note that this implies $B_\epsilon(x) \cap A = \emptyset$ since for all $k \leq n-1$ we have that $\frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{1}{n-1}$, and for $k \geq n$, we have that $\frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. So none of the values of A are contained in this interval. In both cases, we have constructed a $B_\epsilon(x)$ so that $B_\epsilon(x) \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $B_\epsilon(x)$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ but has an empty intersection with A , we have that $x \notin \overline{A} \cup \{0\}$ as desired. \square

Problem 3.4

Show the following facts in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. Let $a < b < c \in \mathbb{R}$,

- a) $\overline{\{a\}} = \{a\}$
- b) $\overline{[a, b]} = [a, b]$
- c) $\overline{(a, b) \cup (b, c)} = [a, c]$
- d) $\overline{[a, b]} = [a, b]$
- e) If $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence converging to $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$

Solution:

- a) Converse inclusion follows because $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ for all sets A . For the forward inclusion, we use the contrapositive. If $x \notin \{a\}$, i.e. $x \neq a$, we can take $\epsilon = |x - a|$, and thus $a \notin B_{\epsilon}(x) \implies \{a\} \cap B_{\epsilon}(x) = \emptyset$. Since $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ is an open set containing x , $x \notin \overline{\{a\}}$ as desired. \square

- b) For the converse inclusion if $x \in [a, b]$, we are done. So consider $x = b$. In this case, for any open set U containing B , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(b) \subseteq U$. We have that $b - \min(\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}) \in B_{\epsilon}(b) \cap [a, b]$ and thus the intersection $U \cap [a, b]$ is non empty for arbitrary open sets U containing b . This completes the reverse inclusion.

For the forward inclusion use the contrapositive. If $x < a$, then take $\epsilon = a - x$ and $B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. If $x > b$, take $\epsilon = x - b$ and $B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. In either case, $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ is an open set containing x that doesn't intersect with $[a, b]$, so $x \notin \overline{[a, b]}$ as desired. \square

- c) For the converse inclusion if $x \in (a, b) \cup (b, c)$, we are done. So we are left to consider $x \in \{a, b, c\}$ only. For a , any open set U containing it, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(a) \subseteq U$. Then $a + \min(\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}) \in (a, b) \cap B_{\epsilon}(a)$ and thus the intersection $U \cap (a, b) \cup (b, c)$ is non empty for arbitrary open sets U containing a . For b , any open set U containing it, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(b) \subseteq U$. Then $a + \min(\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{c-b}{2}) \in (b, c) \cap B_{\epsilon}(b)$ and thus the intersection $U \cap (a, b) \cup (b, c)$ is non empty for arbitrary open sets U containing b . For c , any open set U containing it, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(c) \subseteq U$. Then $c - \min(\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{c-b}{2}) \in (b, c) \cap B_{\epsilon}(c)$ and thus the intersection $U \cap (a, b) \cup (b, c)$ is non empty for arbitrary open sets U containing c . In all cases, we have that $x \in \overline{(a, b) \cup (b, c)}$

For the forward inclusion use the contrapositive. If $x < a$, then take $\epsilon = a - x$ and $B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap ((a, b) \cup (b - c)) = \emptyset$. If $x > c$, take $\epsilon = x - c$ and $B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap ((a, b) \cup (b - c)) = \emptyset$. In either case, $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ is an open set containing x that doesn't intersect with $(a, b) \cup (b, c)$, so $x \notin \overline{(a, b) \cup (b, c)}$ as desired. \square

- d) Reverse inclusion follows because $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ for all sets A . For the forward inclusion use the contrapositive. If $x < a$, then take $\epsilon = a - x$ and $B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. If $x > b$, take $\epsilon = x - b$ and $B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. In either case, $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ is an open set containing x that doesn't intersect with $[a, b]$, so $x \notin \overline{[a, b]}$ as desired. \square

- e) For the reverse inclusion, we only need to show that x is in the closure, the other elements are contained because of the fact that $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ in general. Let U be an arbitrary open set containing x . There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq U$. By the definition of convergence, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in B_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq U$. Hence the intersection $U \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is always not empty and hence $x \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ completing the reverse inclusion.

For the forward inclusion use the contrapositive. Suppose y is not an element of the sequence and is

not equal to x . Since limits are unique in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, the sequence doesn't converge to y . In particular, taking $\epsilon = |y - x|/2$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n \geq N$ implies that $x_n \in B_\epsilon(x)$. In particular, by the triangle inequality, this means that $n \geq N$ implies that $x_n \notin B_\epsilon(y)$ and that $x \notin B_\epsilon(y)$. For each $1 \leq k \leq N$, define $\epsilon_k = |y - x_k|/2 > 0$ (since $y \neq x_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$). So this construction gives us that $x_k \notin B_{\epsilon_k}(y)$. Finally let's take $\epsilon_0 = \min(\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N)$. We then have that $B_{\epsilon_0}(y)$ is an open set containing y that doesn't contain any elements of the sequence or x . Hence $y \notin \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ as desired. \square

Problem 3.5

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$. Show that

$$\overline{A} = \bigcap \{F \subseteq X : A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is closed}\}$$

Note that this tells us that if $A \subseteq B$, then $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$. This is because $x \in \overline{A}$ means that x is an element of every closed set that contains A , but every closed set that contains B also contains A , hence $x \in \overline{B}$.

Solution: For both inclusions, we prove via the contrapositive.

(\subseteq)

Suppose x is not an element of the set on the right hand side. Thus there exists a closed set F with $A \subseteq F$ such that $x \notin F$, i.e. $x \in X \setminus F$. $X \setminus F$ is an open set since F is closed and since $A \subseteq F$ we have that $A \cap (X \setminus F) = \emptyset$. Since we have found an open set containing x that doesn't intersect with A , $x \notin \overline{A}$. \square

(\supseteq)

Suppose $x \notin \overline{A}$. There exists an open set U containing x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. This implies that $A \subseteq X \setminus U$. Since U is open, $X \setminus U$ is closed, so this set is contained in the intersection on the right side of the equation. However, since $x \notin X \setminus U$ (since $x \in U$), we have that x cannot be an element of an intersection containing $X \setminus U$, and hence x is not an element of the right side. \square

Problem 3.6

Show that if (X, \mathcal{T}) is a topological space and $D \subseteq X$, show that D is dense if and only if for every nonempty open set $U \subseteq X$, $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Solution: For the forward implication, suppose $\overline{D} = X$. Let U be an arbitrary non empty open set. Then there exists $x \in U$. By assumption, we have that $x \in \overline{D}$. So by the definition of closure, $U \cap D \neq \emptyset$ as desired.

For the reverse implication. Suppose all nonempty open sets intersect non trivially with D . We then see that $\overline{D} = X$ since for arbitrary $x \in X$ and open sets U_x containing x , we get by our assumption that $U_x \cap D \neq \emptyset$ so $x \in \overline{D}$ as desired. \square

Problem 3.7

In an arbitrary topological space, is the union of two dense sets necessarily dense? What about the intersection of two dense sets? For both questions, prove it or give a counterexample

Solution: The union is necessarily dense. Suppose D_1 and D_2 are dense. We note that $D_1 \subseteq D_1 \cup D_2$ and since D_1 is dense, $D_1 \cup D_2$ is dense. In general if A is dense with $A \subseteq B$, then B is dense.

Intersections are not necessarily dense. In $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, we have that the set of rationals, and irrationals are both dense. However, their intersection is the empty set which is not dense. \square

Problem 3.8

Show that

$$\text{int}(A) = \bigcup \{U \subseteq X : U \subseteq A \text{ and } U \text{ is open}\}$$

Solution: For the forward inclusion, suppose $x \in \text{int}(A)$. Then there exists an open set U_x containing x with $U_x \subseteq A$ by definition. Thus, U_x is an element of the union on the right hand side. Since $x \in U_x$, then x is an element of the right side.

For the reverse inclusion, if x is an element of the union, there exists an open set U such that $x \in U$ and $U \subseteq A$. This is precisely the condition for $x \in \text{int } A$

Problem 3.9

Show for any topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and any $A \subseteq X$, that $\text{int}(A)$ is open

Solution: This follows from problem 3.8 since the interior is a union of open sets and is thus open. \square

Problem 3.10

Show that a subset A of a topological space X is open if and only if $A = \text{int}(A)$.

Solution: The reverse implication follows since we've already showed in problem 3.9 that the interior is open. For the forward implication, suppose A is open. Using the equivalent definition for the interior in problem 3.8, we see that $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A$ since it is a union of subsets of A . Also, we see that if A is open, then A is an element of the union and so $A \subseteq \text{int}(A)$. Hence $A = \text{int}(A)$ completing the proof. \square

Problem 3.11

Compute the interior and closures of the following sets in the given spaces:

- a) $(0, 1]$ in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$
- b) $(0, 1]$ in the Sorgenfrey line
- c) $(0, 1]$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{trivial}})$
- d) $(0, 1]$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}})$
- e) $(0, 1]$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}})$
- f) $(0, 1]$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$
- g) The set E of even numbers in $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$
- h) \mathbb{Q} in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$
- i) \mathbb{Q} in the Sorgenfrey line
- j) $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^2$
- k) $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^3$

Solution:

a) The closure is $[0, 1]$ via a similar proof to problem 3.4. The interior is $(0, 1)$. We note that $(0, 1)$ is open by problem 1.1 and that it is a subset of $[0, 1]$. Thus the interior must contain $(0, 1)$. However, $1 \notin \text{int}(0, 1]$ (so the interior cannot be $(0, 1]$) since every open set containing 1 will contain an element larger than 1 which is not in $(0, 1]$. Thus the interior must be $(0, 1)$. \square

b) Note that $(0, 1]$ is not open since at $x = 1$, for every basis element $[a, b)$ containing 1, $b > 1$ and thus we have that $a \leq 1 < \frac{1+b}{2} < b$ which implies $[a, b)$ is never contained in $(0, 1]$. Similarly, we have that $(0, 1]$ is not closed since 0 is in the complement, and for every basis element $[a, b)$ containing 0, it will always intersect non trivially with $(0, 1]$ and thus not be contained in the complement. Hence the complement is not open so $(0, 1]$ is not closed. Note that in this paragraph, I am implicitly using the equivalent definition of being open in a topology generated by a basis as defined in problem 2.8

Since $(0, 1)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ and by problem 2.3 we know that the Sorgenfrey line refines the the usual topology of \mathbb{R} , so $(0, 1)$ is open in the Sorgenfrey line. Since $(0, 1)$ is open, while $(0, 1]$ is not, thus $\text{int}(0, 1] = (0, 1)$. Similarly, $[0, 1]$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ and thus is closed in the Sorgenfrey line as well. This gives us that $\overline{(0, 1]} = [0, 1]$ \square

c) The only open/closed sets in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{trivial}}$ are \emptyset and \mathbb{R} . By using 3.8, the only open set contained by $(0, 1]$ is \emptyset so the interior is \emptyset . The only closed set containing $(0, 1]$ is \mathbb{R} , so the closure is \mathbb{R} by problem 3.5. \square

d) In the discrete topology every set is both open and closed. So the interior and closure of $(0, 1]$ is itself. \square

e) In \mathcal{T}_{ray} , open sets are of the form (a, ∞) (or the empty set and \mathbb{R}), and so closed sets are of the form $(-\infty, b]$. Note that there does not exist a nonempty open set that is contained in $(0, 1]$ and hence the interior is equal to \emptyset . Note that $(0, 1] \subseteq (-\infty, 1]$ and $(-\infty, 1]$ is closed, so the closure must be a closed subset of $(-\infty, 1]$. However, every proper closed subset of $(-\infty, 1]$ is of the form $(-\infty, b]$ for $b < 1$ so

$1 \notin (-\infty, b]$. This implies that the closure must be equal to $(-\infty, 1]$ since $(0, 1]$ itself must be a subset of its closure. \square

- f) The closed sets in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ are the finite sets and \mathbb{R} itself. Since $(0, 1]$ is infinite, there are no finite sets that contain it, so the only closed set containing it is \mathbb{R} . Thus, by problem 3.5, the closure must be \mathbb{R} . Since the complement of $(0, 1]$ is infinite, every subset of $(0, 1]$ will contain infinitely many values and so every non empty subset will not be open in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$. The only open subset of $(0, 1]$ is \emptyset . Thus by problem 3.8, the interior will be equal to \emptyset . \square
- g) We can generalize the above argument to say that if X is a set equipped with the co-finite topology and $A \subseteq X$ is such that A is infinite and $X \setminus A$ is infinite, then the closure of A is X and the interior of A is \emptyset . If A is infinite, there are no finite (i.e closed) sets that contain it except for X . So by problem 3.5, the closure of A must be X . If $X \setminus A$ is infinite, every subset of A will contain infinitely many elements in its complement (since it must contain $X \setminus A$). Thus the only open set contained in A is the empty set, which by problem 3.8 tells us that the interior is \emptyset . So in this case, the set of even numbers is infinite and so is the complement (odd integers), and thus the interior is \emptyset and closure is X . \square
- h) We've shown in problem 1.14 that every open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ intersects non trivially with \mathbb{Q} . Furthermore, problem 3.6 tells us that this implies that \mathbb{Q} is dense, and hence $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$. Next, we show that there are no nonempty open subsets of \mathbb{Q} . Suppose such an open subset U existed. Let $q \in U$. Let V be an arbitrary open set containing q . Since U is open there must be open interval $(q - \epsilon, q + \epsilon) \subseteq U$. However this open interval intersects non trivially with the irrationals by problem 1.14. This contradicts the fact that U is contained in the rationals. Hence the only non empty open subset contained in \mathbb{Q} is \emptyset which by problem 3.8 we have that the interior is \emptyset . \square
- i) \mathbb{Q} is still dense in the Sorgenfrey Line because every open set U contains a basis element of the form $[a, b)$ which will always contain a rational number. Hence $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$. We can always follow the same proof as for $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ to show that interior is empty because any open set must contain an interval $[a, b)$ (which is a basis element). Since $(a, b) \subseteq [a, b)$, we have that this interval must contain an irrational number. The proof continues in the same way and we get that the interior is \emptyset . \square
- j) $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ is still dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^2$ since given a non empty open set U , say it contains a point (x, y) . There exists an open ball such that $B_\epsilon(x, y) \subseteq U$ ($\epsilon > 0$). Consider the interval $(x - \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}, x + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \in \mathbb{R}$, by density of rationals (problem 1.14), there is a rational number q_1 in this interval. Similarly, there exists a rational number q_2 in the interval $(y - \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}, y + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}})$. Then, $d((q_1, q_2), (x, y)) = \sqrt{|q_1 - x|^2 + |q_2 - y|^2} < \sqrt{\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2} = \epsilon$. So $(q_1, q_2) \in U \cap \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ and hence $\overline{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}^2$.
- A similar justification will allow us to show that the interior is empty. Suppose a non empty open subset U of $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ existed. Say $(x, y) \in U$. There exists an open ball such that $B_\epsilon(x, y) \subseteq U$ ($\epsilon > 0$). Consider the interval $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$, there exists an irrational number z in this interval. Then $d((z, y), (x, y)) = |z - x| < \epsilon$, so $(z, y) \in B_\epsilon(x, y) \subseteq U$. However, $(z, y) \notin \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$. So there cannot be a non empty open subset of $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ implying that the interior is the empty set. \square

Problem 3.12

Let A be a subset of a topological space X . Show that $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \cap \overline{X \setminus A} = \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$.

Solution: The first equality $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \cap \overline{X \setminus A}$ follows exactly from the definition. $x \in \partial(A)$ if and only if every open set intersects non trivially with A and $X \setminus A$ which is equivalent to x being an element of \overline{A} and $\overline{X \setminus A}$.

To complete the proof we show $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in \partial(A) &\iff \text{for all open sets } U_x \text{ containing } x, U_x \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } U_x \cap (X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset \\
 &\iff \text{for all open sets } U_x \text{ containing } x, U_x \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } U_x \not\subseteq A \\
 &\iff x \in \overline{A} \text{ and } x \notin \text{int}(A) \\
 &\iff x \in \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3.13

Let A be a subset of a topological space X . Show that $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial(A)$ and $\text{int}(A) = A \setminus \partial(A)$.

Solution:

a) $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial(A)$

We know that $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ and that $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \cap \overline{X \setminus A}$ by problem 3.12, so we also have that $\partial(A) \subseteq \overline{A}$. Thus the reverse inclusion has been shown. For the forward inclusion, again from problem 3.12, we have that $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A) \implies \overline{A} \subseteq \partial(A) \cup \text{int}(A) \subseteq \partial(A) \cup A$ as desired ($\text{int}(A)$ is contained in A since it is a union of subsets of A). \square

b) $\text{int}(A) = A \setminus \partial(A)$

We know that $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A$ as mentioned above. We also have from problem 3.12 that $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$ so $x \in \text{int}(A) \implies x \notin \partial(A)$. This completes the forward inclusion. For the reverse inclusion, if $x \in A$ and $x \notin \partial(A)$, there exists a neighbourhood of U_x of x such that $U_x \cap A = \emptyset$ or $U_x \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$. Since $x \in A$, we know that $U_x \cap A \neq \emptyset$, so we must have that $U_x \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$. This is equivalent to $U_x \subseteq A$ and hence $x \in \text{int}(A)$.

Problem 3.14

Let A be a subset of a topological space X . Show that $X = \text{int}(A) \sqcup \partial(A) \sqcup \text{int}(X \setminus A)$

Solution: First for showing equality of the union, suppose $x \in X$ with $x \notin \text{int}(A)$ and $x \notin \text{int}(X \setminus A)$. Then for all open sets U_x containing x , $U_x \not\subseteq A$ which is equivalent to $U_x \cap (X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$ and $U_x \not\subseteq (X \setminus A)$ which is equivalent to $U_x \cap A \neq \emptyset$. These 2 combined precisely are the definition of $x \in \partial(A)$.

For disjointness, $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A$ and $\text{int}(X \setminus A) \subseteq X \setminus A$ so $\text{int}(A)$ and $\text{int}(X \setminus A)$ are disjoint since A and $X \setminus A$ are disjoint. $\partial(A)$ and $\text{int}(A)$ are disjoint since by problem 3.12, $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$ so if $x \in \text{int}(A)$, $x \notin \partial(A)$ so there cannot be an element in their intersection. Finally, we note that $\partial(A) = \partial(X \setminus A)$ because the definition is symmetric when we replace A with $X \setminus A$. We then get that $\text{int}(X \setminus A)$ is disjoint with $\partial(X \setminus A) = \partial(A)$. We have shown all 3 sets are pairwise disjoint and thus the union is indeed a disjoint union. \square

Problem 3.15

A subset A of a topological space X is called regular open if $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = A$. Regular open sets play an important role in set theoretic topology.

- Show that in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, any open interval (a, b) is regular open
- Let A be a subset of a topological space X . Is it true that $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = \overline{\text{int}(A)}$? If not, is there containment one way or the other?
- Show that the intersection of two regular open sets is again regular open (in any topological space)
- Is the union of two regular open sets again regular open? Prove it or give a counterexample.
- Given a subset A of a topological space X , let $A^\perp = X \setminus \overline{A}$. Show that a set A is regular open if and only if $(A^\perp)^\perp = A$.

Solution:

- We know that $\overline{(a, b)} = [a, b]$ by 3.4. The interior of $[a, b]$ is (a, b) . It suffices to show that a and b both cannot be in the interior, and since we know that the interior must contain all open sets, it follows that the interior is (a, b) . Note that every open set U containing a , contains an open interval $B_\epsilon(a) \subseteq U$. We see that this is not contained in (a, b) since $a - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ is not in (a, b) . Similarly for b , any open set V containing b contains an open interval $B_\epsilon(b) \subseteq V$ which is not contained in (a, b) since $b + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ is not in (a, b) . \square

- We note that this equality doesn't necessarily hold because $\text{int}(\overline{A})$ is a open set while $\overline{\text{int}(A)}$ is a closed set and in a lot of topological spaces, there are very few clopen sets. A concrete counter example will be (a, b) in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. In part a), we showed that $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = (a, b)$. We can also see that $\overline{\text{int}(A)} = [a, b]$ since $A = \text{int}(A)$ as (a, b) is open. This example also shows us that the reverse inclusion is false.

The forward implication is not true either. Recall from problem 3.11 if we take \mathbb{R} equipped with the co-finite topology, and take $A = (0, 1]$ (or any infinite subset whose complement is infinite), $\text{int}(A) = \emptyset$ and $\overline{A} = \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = \emptyset$ and $\overline{\text{int}(A)} = \mathbb{R}$. So we don't necessarily have that, $\text{int}(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{\text{int}(A)}$. \square

- Suppose A and B are regular open sets. We have that $A \cap B = \text{int}(\overline{A}) \cap \text{int}(\overline{B})$ by definition of regular open sets. We seek to show that $A \cap B = \text{int}(\overline{A \cap B})$. For the forward inclusion we note that $A \cap B \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$. Thus, taking the interior of both sides and we get that $\text{int}(A \cap B) \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{A \cap B})$ (In general if $C \subseteq D$, then $\text{int}(C) \subseteq \text{int}(D)$ because if $U \subseteq C$, then $U \subseteq D$). Since A and B are both open, we have that $A \cap B$ is open and thus $\text{int}(A \cap B) = A \cap B$ (problem 3.10). Hence $A \cap B = \text{int}(A \cap B) \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{A \cap B})$.

For the converse inclusion we first remark that in general, the interior distributes over intersection, i.e if $\text{int}(C \cap D) = \text{int}(C) \cap \text{int}(D)$. The forward direction follows from the fact that $C \cap D$ is a subset of both C and D so $\text{int}(C \cap D) \subseteq \text{int}(C)$ and $\text{int}(C \cap D) \subseteq \text{int}(D)$. For the reverse inclusion we note that if $x \in \text{int}(C)$ and $x \in \text{int}(D)$, there exists open sets U_1 and U_2 containing x such that $U_1 \subseteq C$ and $U_2 \subseteq D$. Then if we take $U_1 \cap U_2$, it is still open and we have that $U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq C \cap D$ and so $x \in \text{int}(C \cap D)$. Also note that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ because $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$, and so $\overline{A \cap B}$ is a subset of both \overline{A} and \overline{B} (problem 3.5). Again, we can take the interior of both sides which tells us that $\text{int}(\overline{A \cap B}) \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{A} \cap \overline{B}) = \text{int}(\overline{A}) \cap \text{int}(\overline{B}) = A \cap B$. The first equality follows from the fact that interior splits over intersections, and the second because A and B are regular open sets. This completes the proof of the converse direction and the set equality. \square

- d) The union of regular open sets is not necessarily open. In $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, take the intervals $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 2)$ which are regular open by a). The union is $(0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$. This however is not regular open as its closure is $[0, 2]$ (by problem 3.4) whose interior is $(0, 2) \neq (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$.
- e) It suffices to show that $(A^\perp)^\perp = \text{int}(\overline{A})$ for all subsets A . Unravelling the definition, $(A^\perp)^\perp = X \setminus \overline{(X \setminus \overline{A})}$. Note that $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = X \setminus \overline{(X \setminus \overline{A})} \iff X \setminus \text{int}(\overline{A}) = \overline{(X \setminus \overline{A})}$. Now note the following chain of equivalences to see this equality:

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin \overline{A} &\iff \text{For all neighbourhoods } U_x \text{ of } x, U_x \not\subseteq \overline{A} \\ &\iff \text{For all neighbourhoods } U_x \text{ of } x, U_x \cap (X \setminus \overline{A}) \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff x \in X \setminus \overline{A} \end{aligned}$$

As discussed, this set equality implies that A is regular open if and only if $(A^\perp)^\perp = A$. \square

Problem 3.16

Let A be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n with its usual topology. Show that $x \in \overline{A}$ if and only if there exists a sequence of elements of A that converges to x .

Solution: For the forward direction, let $x \in \overline{A}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $x \in \overline{A}$, $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. So for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose an $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A$ forming a sequence of elements in A (invoking the axiom of choice). Note that $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to x because for all $\epsilon > 0$, choose N such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$, and for $n \geq N$, $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \subseteq B_{\frac{1}{N}}(x) \subseteq B_\epsilon(x)$.

For the converse direction, suppose $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in A that converges to $x \in X$. Let U be an arbitrary neighbourhood of x . There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq U$. Since the sequence converges to x , there exists a $x_{n_0} \in B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq U$. Since the sequence x_n is contained in A , the intersection $U \cap A$ is non-empty (it contains x_{n_0}) and hence $x \in \overline{A}$. \square

Problem 3.17

We have already learned that \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} with its usual topology. Is $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ dense? How about if you remove finitely many points from \mathbb{Q} ? Is there an infinite set of points you can remove from \mathbb{Q} that leaves the resulting set dense?

Solution: I show that if we remove finitely many points from \mathbb{Q} , the resulting set is still dense. Suppose $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. Consider $\mathbb{Q} \setminus A$. Let U be an arbitrary non empty open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. Let $x \in U$, and thus there exists an interval $I = (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq U$ (where $\epsilon > 0$). If $A \cap I = \emptyset$, then we get that $I \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$ since we know the interval must contain a rational number and that rational number cannot be in A . Now suppose $A \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Define $m = \max A \cap I$ (we can take this maximum because A is finite). Consider the interval $(m, x + \epsilon) \subseteq I$. This interval must contain a rational number q , and note that $q \notin A$ because that would contradict the maximality of m . Thus we have that $I \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$ since it contains this rational number q . In both cases, we have that $I \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$ and since $I \subseteq U$, this means that $U \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$. Since U was arbitrary, $\mathbb{Q} \setminus A$ is dense. This also tells us that $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ is dense.

We can indeed remove infinitely many sets and still have the resulting set be dense. Consider $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Let U be an arbitrary non empty open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. Let $x \in U$, and thus there exists an interval $I = (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq U$ (where $\epsilon > 0$). If $\mathbb{N} \cap I = \emptyset$, then we get that $I \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \neq \emptyset$ since we know the interval must contain a rational number and that rational number cannot be a natural number. Now suppose $\mathbb{N} \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Define

$m = \min \mathbb{N} \cap I$ (we can take this minimum because \mathbb{N} is well ordered under the usual ordering, and so this subset of \mathbb{N} must contain a minimal element). Consider the interval $(x - \epsilon, m) \subseteq I$. This interval must contain a rational number q , and note that $q \notin \mathbb{N}$ because that would contradict the minimality of m . Thus we have that $I \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \neq \emptyset$ since it contains this rational number q . In both cases, we have that $I \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \neq \emptyset$ and since $I \subseteq U$, this means that $U \cap (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \neq \emptyset$. Since U was arbitrary, $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is dense. \square

Problem 3.18

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let D_1 and D_2 be dense open subsets of X . Prove that $D_1 \cap D_2$ is dense and open. Give an example in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ to show that this does not extend even to countably infinite intersections. That is, give an example of a collection $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of dense open subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ is not open (as you will soon see, such an intersection must be dense).

Solution: Let D_1, D_2 be dense and open. Their intersection is open by the definition of a topology. To show that they are dense, let U be an arbitrary non empty subset of X . Since D_1 is dense, $U \cap D_1$ is non empty. Since U and D_1 are both open, $U \cap D_1$ is open. Since D_2 is dense, $U \cap D_1 \cap D_2$ is not empty and hence $D_1 \cap D_2$ is dense.

Let $D_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{n}\}$. Problem 3.4, gives us that singletons are equal to their closures and are thus closed, so D_n is open for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is dense because every non empty open set in \mathbb{R} must contain at least 2 distinct elements (well they contain infinitely many but this is enough) since they aren't singletons, and we see that this implies that it must intersect with \mathbb{R} with only 1 element removed. Note however that $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ is not open because $0 \in S$, but for every open set U containing 0, there is an interval $B_{\epsilon}(0) \subseteq U$, but we know that there exists $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ and so every open set will contain an element not in S and thus S is not open. \square

Problem 3.19

Recall the Furstenberg topology $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ on \mathbb{Z} (problem 2.9), introduced in the exercises from the previous section. To remind you, this is the topology on \mathbb{Z} generated by the basis consisting of all infinite arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{Z} . Earlier, you proved that every nonempty open subset in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$ is infinite. You also proved that for every basic open set U in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{Furst}}$, $\mathbb{Z} \setminus U$ is open. We now know this is the same as saying every basic open subset is closed. You are going to use this topology to give a slick, elegant proof that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

- a) Using the notation from when this topology was first introduced, show that:

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\} = \bigcup_{p \text{ is prime}} Z(p, 0)$$

- b) Assume for the sake of contradiction that there are only finitely many primes. Deduce from this assumption that $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ is closed.
- c) Find a contradiction resulting from the previous part, and conclude that there must be infinitely many primes.

Solution:

- a) For the reverse inclusion, note that $Z(p, 0) = \{np : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Note that no scalar multiple of a prime is equal to 1 or -1 which completes the reverse inclusion. For the forward inclusion, if $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ we consider 2 cases, $n = 0$ or otherwise. If $n = 0$, note that $0 = 7(0)$, so $0 \in Z(7, 0)$ and since 7 is prime n is an element of the right hand side. Now suppose n is non zero, by prime factorization, there

exists a prime p such that $p \mid n$, i.e $n = pk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $n \in Z(p, 0)$ and thus is an element of the right hand side. In both cases we see that the forward inclusion stands. \square

- b) As mentioned in the problem statement, we've shown that every basic open set is closed. Thus, if there are finitely many primes, we have expressed $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ as the union of finitely many closed sets, and by the definition of a topology, this means that it must be closed. \square
- c) As mentioned in the problem statement, we've shown that all non empty open sets are infinite. If $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ is closed, then $\{-1, 1\}$ must be open. This is a contradiction as this set is not infinite, hence there must be infinitely many primes. \square

Problem 3.20

Prove that if $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a (countable) collection of dense open subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ is dense. Give an example in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ to show that this result does not extend to arbitrary (i.e uncountable) intersections.

Solution: Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open. We know that $U \cap D_1$ is open and non empty. Let $x_1 \in U \cap D_1$. Since this is open, there exists an $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \subseteq U \cap D_1$. If we take $\delta_1 = \min(\epsilon_1, 1)$, we have the following chain of equivalences

$$B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1)} \subseteq B_{\delta_1}(x_1) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \subseteq U \cap D_1$$

We now proceed inductively and show that for all $n \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose x_n and $\delta_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$ that satisfies the following properties:

- a) $\overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1})}$
- b) $\overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq U \cap D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_n$

For the base case of $n = 2$, we know that $B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \cap D_2$ is non empty and open (since $B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1)$ is open, and D_2 is dense and open). Let x_2 be an element of this intersection. There exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2) \subseteq B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \cap D_2$. Taking $\delta_2 = \min(\epsilon, \frac{1}{2})$ we see that the properties above are satisfied:

- a) $\overline{B_{\delta_2/2}(x_2)} \subseteq B_{\delta_2}(x_2) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2) \subseteq B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \cap D_2 \subseteq B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1)}$
- b) $\overline{B_{\delta_2/2}(x_2)} \subseteq B_{\delta_2}(x_2) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2) \subseteq B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \cap D_2 \subseteq (U \cap D_1) \cap D_2$. (Since $B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1) \subseteq U \cap D_1$)

The inductive step is quite similar. Let $n \geq 3$, and assume such a choice of x_{n-1} and δ_{n-1} exists. We know that $B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1}) \cap D_n$ is non empty and open since D_n is dense and both sets are open. Let x_n be contained in this intersection. Since it is open, there exists $\epsilon_n > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon_n}(x_n) \subseteq B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1}) \cap D_n$. Taking $\delta_n = \min(\epsilon_n, \frac{1}{n})$, we see that the desired properties are satisfied:

- a) $\overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq B_{\delta_n}(x_n) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_n}(x_n) \subseteq B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1}) \cap D_n \subseteq B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1}) \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1})}$
- b) $\overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq B_{\delta_n}(x_n) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_n}(x_n) \subseteq B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1}) \cap D_n \subseteq (U \cap D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_{n-1}) \cap D_n$. (Since $B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1}) \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_{n-1}/2}(x_{n-1})} \subseteq U \cap D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_{n-1}$ by our induction hypothesis).

This completes the construction of a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. We note that this sequence is Cauchy. Let $\epsilon > 0$, take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2N} < \epsilon$. Suppose $m > n \geq N$. Note that by our construction above,

$\overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_m/2}(x_m)}$. Thus $x_n \in \overline{B_{\delta_m/2}(x_m)}$. Thus $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{\delta_m}{2} < \delta_m < \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Since the sequence is Cauchy, by the completeness of \mathbb{R} (under the usual metric topology) it converges to a number $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now show that $x \in U \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$. To show that $x \in U$, we note that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \in \overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1)}$. In particular, the sequence is contained entirely in the closed set $\overline{B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1)}$. Thus by problem 3.16, $x \in \overline{B_{\delta_1/2}(x_1)} \subseteq U$. Now let's show that $x \in D_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This simply follows from the fact that for all $m \geq n$, $x_m \in \overline{B_{\delta_m/2}(x_m)} \subseteq \overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)}$. So if we consider the tail of the sequence, i.e. $(x_m)_{m=n}^{\infty}$, this will still converge to the same limit x and is contained entirely in the closed set $\overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)}$, thus $x \in \overline{B_{\delta_n/2}(x_n)} \subseteq D_n$. Hence x is an element of $U \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$ and since this set is not empty for arbitrary open sets U , $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$ is dense. \square .

To show that this theorem doesn't extend to arbitrary intersections. We simply define for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $D_x = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}$ (which is dense and open by the same justification as problem 3.18). Then, $\bigcap_{x \in \mathbb{R}} D_x = \emptyset$ and thus cannot be dense.

4 Countability

Problem 4.1

Construct an explicit bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (ie. give a formula for such a bijection).

Solution: In this solution, we are taking \mathbb{N} to not include 0. Define f as below:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Note that the range of f is indeed contained in \mathbb{Z} since when n is even, $-\frac{n}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and when n is odd $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is indeed in \mathbb{Z} as well. For surjectivity, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $k \geq 0$, then $2k+1$ is a odd natural number and $f(2k+1) = k$. If $k < 0$, $-2k$ is an even natural number and $f(-2k) = k$. For injectivity, suppose $f(m) = f(n)$. If $f(m) = f(n) = 0$, we must have that $m = n = 1$, since $-\frac{k}{2} \neq 0$ for even natural numbers k and $\frac{k-1}{2} = 0$ if and only if $k = 1$. If $f(m) = f(n)$ are both positive, then we have that m and n are both odd ($-\frac{n}{2}$ is always negative for even natural numbers), and we get that $\frac{m-1}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2} \implies m = n$. If $f(m) = f(n)$ are both negative, then m and n are both even (since $\frac{k-1}{2}$ is non negative for odd natural numbers k), and we then get that $-\frac{m}{2} = -\frac{n}{2} \implies m = n$. Thus f is a bijection. \square

Problem 4.2

Let A be an infinite set, and let C be a fixed denumerable set. Prove the following equivalence:

- (1) There exists a bijection $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (i.e A is denumerable)
- (2) There exists an injection $i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- (3) There exists a surjection $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$
- (4) There exists a bijection $f : A \rightarrow C$
- (5) There exists an injection $i : A \rightarrow C$
- (6) There exists a surjection $s : C \rightarrow A$

Solution: Let $h : C \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a bijection which exists because C is denumerable. We first quickly remark that (1) \iff (4), (2) \iff (5) and (3) \iff (6) because we can compose the functions f, i, s with h or its inverse as needed and still maintain that they are bijective/injective/surjective respectively. Thus it suffices to prove that the first 3 are equivalent. Also (1) \implies (2) is immediate because the bijection f is an injection.

(2) \implies (3)

Fix $a_0 \in A$. Define $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ by $s(n) = i^{-1}(n)$ if $n \in i(A)$, otherwise $s(n) = a_0$. This is a surjection because for $a \in A$, $s(i(a)) = a$. \square

(3) \implies (1)

Define the map $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $h(a) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s(n) = a\}$. The fact that s is surjective means that the set is non empty for all $a \in A$ so that $h(a)$ actually takes on a value. Note that h is injective because if $h(a) = h(b)$, then $a = s(h(a)) = s(h(b)) = b$. Thus h is a bijection from A to $h(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Since $h(A)$ is a subset of \mathbb{N} , it must be countable, and thus A is countable as well. Since A is infinite, A is denumerable and thus a bijection exists. \square

Problem 4.3

Let A be a countable set. Prove that $\text{Fin}(A) := \{X \subseteq A : X \text{ is finite}\}$ is countable.

Solution: If A is finite, say with n elements, we know that $\text{Fin}(A)$ has 2^n elements (i.e is also finite). Say A is denumerable, and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection. Define $g : \text{Fin}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ in the following way. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let p_i denote the i th smallest prime. For an arbitrary $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \text{Fin}(A)$, reorder so that $f(x_1) < \dots < f(x_n)$ (we know all values are distinct since f is a bijection) and define $g(X) = p_1^{f(x_1)} \dots p_n^{f(x_n)}$. We simply note that g is injective because if $g(X) = g(Y)$, we first note that they must X and Y must have the same number of elements, otherwise $g(X)$ and $g(Y)$ would have differing number of prime factors (and thus can't be equal). Then we have that $p_1^{f(x_1)} \dots p_n^{f(x_n)} = p_1^{f(y_1)} \dots p_n^{f(y_n)}$, which by prime factorization tells us that $f(x_i) = f(y_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and since f is a bijection we get that $x_i = y_i$ for all i and hence $X = Y$. Since we have found an injection from $\text{Fin}(A)$ into \mathbb{N} , by problem 4.2, $\text{Fin}(A)$ is countable.

Problem 4.4

Let A and B be countable sets. Prove that $A \times B$ is countable. Then show that the Cartesian product of finitely many countable sets is countable.

Solution: By problem 4.2, there exists injections $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Define $h : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $h(a, b) = 2^{f(a)}3^{g(b)}$. Note that h is injective because if $2^{f(a_1)}3^{g(b_1)} = 2^{f(a_2)}3^{g(b_2)}$, we get by prime factorization that $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ and $g(b_1) = g(b_2)$ and since f and g are both injective $(a_1, b_1) = (a_2, b_2)$, hence h is injective. Since we have found an injection from $A \times B$ into \mathbb{N} , by problem 4.2, $A \times B$ is countable.

For finite cartesian products, we can either apply induction or define h with the first n primes (if it is a cartesian product of n sets). \square

Problem 4.5

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_n be denumerable, mutually disjoint sets and $f_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A_n$ witnesses that A_n is countable, prove that $g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ defined by $g(n, i) = f_n(i)$ is a bijection. Conclude from this that a countable union of countable sets is countable.

Solution: For injectivity suppose $g(n_1, i_1) = g(n_2, i_2)$. Note that $g(n_1, i_1) = f_{n_1}(i_1) \in A_{n_1}$ and $g(n_2, i_2) = f_{n_2}(i_2) \in A_{n_2}$. By assumption A_{n_1} and A_{n_2} are disjoint if $n_1 \neq n_2$, thus we must have that $n_1 = n_2$. This then gives us that $f_{n_1}(i_1) = f_{n_1}(i_2)$ (where $n = n_1 = n_2$). Since f_n is a bijection, we have that $i_1 = i_2$. Thus g is injective.

For surjectivity, let $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. Say $x \in A_{n_0}$. Since f_{n_0} is a bijection, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(n_0, i) = f_{n_0}(i) = x$ and hence g is surjective.

Now suppose $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable collection of not necessarily disjoint sets. We then simply define $C_n = B_n \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i < n} B_i\right)$. Then the C_n are mutually disjoint and hence by above $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$ is countable. Note however, that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$. The converse inclusion is obvious because $C_n \subseteq B_n$ for all n . For the forward inclusion, if x is an element of the left set, let $n_0 = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in B_n\}$. Then $x \in C_{n_0}$ and hence is an element of the right set. Thus $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is countable. \square

Problem 4.6

Show that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is countable, and conclude that $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ is second countable.

Solution: Simply note that we can construct a bijection $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by mapping the ordered pair (a, b) to the interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. This is clearly surjective. I would also say it's clearly injective but for completion we note that if $a_1 \neq a_2$ or $b_1 \neq b_2$, then the intervals (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) are not equal. If $a_1 \neq a_2$, WLOG assume $a_1 < a_2$, and take a real number r such that $a_1 < \min(b_1, a_2)$. Then $r \in (a_1, b_1)$ but $r \notin (a_2, b_2)$. If $b_1 \neq b_2$, WLOG assume $b_1 < b_2$, choose r such that $\max(a_2, b_1) < r < b_2$ and we get that $r \in (a_2, b_2)$ but $r \notin (a_1, b_1)$. Note that \mathbb{Q} is countable and by problem 4.4 we get that $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ and thus $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is countable. We know by 2.2, that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ and since it is countable, we have that $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ is second countable. \square

Problem 4.7

A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to have the countable chain condition (usually we just say “ (X, \mathcal{T}) is ccc”) if there are no uncountable collections of mutually disjoint open subsets of X . In problem 1.14, we showed that $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ is ccc. Prove that any separable space is ccc.

Solution: Let D be a countable dense subset of X . Suppose for contradiction there was an uncountable collection $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of mutually disjoint open subsets of X . Since D is dense, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a $d_\lambda \in D \cap U_\lambda$. By assumption the U_λ are disjoint so if $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, $d_{\lambda_1} \neq d_{\lambda_2}$. Note that we get an uncountable set $\{d_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ which is a subset of D . This is a contradiction as subsets of countable sets must be countable. Hence, there are no uncountable collections of mutually disjoint open subsets of X . \square

Problem 4.8

Prove the uncountable pigeonhole principle. That is, if A is an uncountable set and A_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are mutually disjoint subsets of A such that $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, show that at least one of the A_n must be uncountable.

Solution: This simply follows from problem 4.5. If all of the A_n were countable, we would get that A is countable, hence at least one of them must be uncountable. \square

Problem 4.9

Show that $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n : x \in \mathbb{Q}^n \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is countable, and conclude that $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$ is second countable.

Solution: We quickly remark that \mathcal{B} is countable by constructing a surjection onto $\mathbb{Q}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ (and finite cartesian products of countable sets are countable by problem 4.4). Given $B_\epsilon(x)$ (where x has rational coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n)), map it to $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \epsilon)$. This is surjective since for any element $(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, we take $x = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\epsilon = y_{n+1}$. Thus this collection is countable. Unfortunately, it is yet to be shown that this is a basis so whooped it's problem 2.2 round 2:

We first show that $\mathcal{B}' = \{B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$. We see that it covers \mathbb{R}^n since we can set $x = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let ϵ vary across all real numbers. To show the second condition of a basis, consider $B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2)$. We already know that open balls in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$ are open, thus this intersection is open. Hence, for all $x \in B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2)$, there exists $B_{\epsilon_3}(x)$ such that $x \in B_{\epsilon_3}(x) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2)$. So this shows that \mathcal{B}' is a basis. To show that it generates $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$, let U be an arbitrary open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$. For each $x \in U$, by the definition of the usual topology, there exists an open ball B_x such that $x \in B_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$. We then write $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} B_x$ which is a union of elements in \mathcal{B}' .

Now we have to show that \mathcal{B} is a basis. It covers \mathbb{R}^n for the same reasoning as \mathcal{B}' . For the second condition, given $x \in B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2)$ (where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$), we know that there exists $B_{\epsilon_3}(x)$ such that $B_{\epsilon_3}(x) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2)$, however we have no guarantee that x has rational coordinates or that ϵ_3 is rational. Let q be a rational number in $(0, \epsilon_3)$ (such a q exists by problem 1.14). Say $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. For each

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $y_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $y_i \in (x_i - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3n}}, x_i + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3n}})$. Then note that $\|x - y\| < \frac{q}{3}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3n}} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{q}{3} \end{aligned}$$

We now see that $B_{\frac{2q}{3}}(y) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_3}(x) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \cap B_{\epsilon_2}(x_2)$. This follows by the triangle inequality, if $d(z, y) < \frac{2q}{3}$, then $d(z, x) \leq d(y, z) + d(y, x) < \frac{2q}{3} + \frac{q}{3} = q < \epsilon_3$. Hence we have shown that \mathcal{B}' is a basis (but not yet shown that it generates $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$: ()

To show that it generates $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$, it suffices to show that every element of \mathcal{B}' can be written as a union of elements in \mathcal{B} . First let's quickly remark that the proof in the above paragraph justifies in general that for any open ball $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a point with rational coordinates $y \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ and rational number $q > 0$, such that $B_{\frac{2q}{3}}(y) \subseteq B_\epsilon(x)$. Furthermore, note that in the above proof, $x \in B_{\frac{2q}{3}}(y)$ since $d(x, y) < \frac{q}{3}$. Then let $B_\epsilon(x)$ be an arbitrary open ball. Since it is open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$, for all $z \in B_\epsilon(x)$, there exists an open ball B_z contained in $B_\epsilon(x)$. By the justification above, for each z , there exists a rational number q_z and vector $y^{(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ with $B_{q_z}(y^{(z)}) \subseteq B_z$. Then, we write $B_\epsilon(x) = \bigcup_{z \in B_\epsilon(x)} B_{q_z}(y^{(z)})$. And since every open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$ can be written as a union of elements of \mathcal{B}' and every element of \mathcal{B}' can be written as a union of elements in \mathcal{B} , we have that every open set in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$ can be written as a union of elements in \mathcal{B} , and hence \mathcal{B} generates the usual topology of \mathbb{R}^n . Since \mathcal{B}' is countable, $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}^n$ is second countable. \square

Problem 4.10

In problem 2.3, we showed that the collection $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of intervals $[a, b)$ with rational endpoints is not a basis for the Sorgenfrey line. Take this a step further by proving that there is no countable basis for the Sorgenfrey line. That is, prove that the Sorgenfrey line is not second countable.

Solution: Suppose for contradiction that $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable basis for the Sorgenfrey line. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be the collection of elements of \mathcal{B} that are bounded below (Note that \mathcal{C} is countable as it is a subset of a countable set). Define $A = \{\inf B : B \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (each infimum exists by the completeness of \mathbb{R}). Note that A is a countable as it is the range of the infimum function applied to each element of \mathcal{C} (i.e there is a surjection of a countable set onto it and hence it is countable by problem 4.2). Since A is a countable subset of \mathbb{R} , there exists $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$. Consider $U = [q, q + 5)$ which is open in the Sorgenfrey Line. Since we are assuming that \mathcal{B} is a basis, U must be equal to the union of elements in \mathcal{B} . Say $U = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{D}} B$ where $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. First note that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ (i.e all the sets are bounded below) because U is bounded below. Furthermore, for all $B \in \mathcal{D}$, we must have $\inf B \geq q$. Otherwise, if $\inf B < q$ for some $B \in \mathcal{D}$, we get that there exists $x \in B$ (and thus is an element of the right) with $x < q$, but this would imply that $x \notin U$ which contradicts the fact that the 2 sets are equal. Hence $\inf B \geq q$ for all $B \in \mathcal{D}$. However, q was chosen so that $q \notin A$, so $q \neq \inf B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, so this becomes a strict inequality, i.e $\inf B > q$ for all $B \in \mathcal{D}$. However, if this is the case, we would have that $q \notin B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{D}$, but $q \in U$ and so $U \neq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{D}} B$ for all possible subcollections \mathcal{D} . Hence \mathcal{B} cannot be a basis implying that the Sorgenfrey has no countable basis and is thus not second countable. \square

Problem 4.11

Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is countable. Show that there exists a real number x such that $A \cap (x + A) = \emptyset$. (Here, $x + A = \{x + a : a \in A\}$.)

Solution: Define $D = \{a - b : a, b \in A\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Note that D is countable because it is the image of the function $f : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f(a, b) = a - b$. Since A is countable, $A \times A$ is countable by problem 4.4, and since f is a surjection onto D from $A \times A$, D is countable by 4.2. Since D is a countable subset of \mathbb{R} , there exists $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus D$. Note then that $A \cap (q + A) = \emptyset$. Suppose $y \in A$ and $y = q + a$ for some $a \in A$. Then, $q = y - a$ which implies that $q \in D$, but q was chosen such that $q \notin D$. Thus $A \cap (q + A) = \emptyset$. \square

Problem 4.12

Show that the set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ of all functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is uncountable

Solution: We simply use a diagonalization argument. Suppose for contradiction that $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable collection of all functions in $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Define $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows. We simply take $g(n) = 1$ if $f_n(n) = 0$ and $g(n) = 0$ if $f_n(n) = 1$. Then $g \neq f_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since $g(n) \neq f_n(n)$. Hence $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ cannot be represented as a countable collection and is thus uncountable. \square

Problem 4.13

Let $f_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be a fixed collection of functions. Construct a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(k)}{f_n(k)} = \infty$$

(In words, construct a function g that increases faster than all of the f_n 's.)

Solution: For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $g(k) = 2^k \cdot \max\{f_i(k) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Now let's show that the limit condition is satisfied. Fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Since $2^k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, there exists n_0 such that $k \geq n_0 \implies 2^k \geq M$. Next, by the definition of g , for all $k \geq n$, $g(k) \geq 2^k f_n(k)$. So if $k \geq \max(n, n_0)$, we have that $\frac{g(k)}{f_n(k)} \geq \frac{2^k f_n(k)}{f_n(k)} = 2^k > M$ as desired. \square

Problem 4.14

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be functions. Define the set $B(f, g) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : f(k) = g(k)\}$. That is, $B(f, g)$ is the set of numbers on which f and g agree. Construct a family $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions $f_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with the property that for any $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an f_n in the family such that $|B(f_n, g)| > N$.

Solution: For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define a set $A_m = \{f_{(c_1, \dots, c_m)} : (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m\}$ where $f_{(c_1, \dots, c_m)} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is

defined as below:

$$f_{(c_1, \dots, c_m)}(k) = \begin{cases} c_k & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By problem 4.4, \mathbb{N}^m is countable for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so A_m is countable as well (since it is indexed by \mathbb{N}^m). Concretely, the bijection maps (c_1, \dots, c_m) to $f_{(c_1, \dots, c_m)}$. By problem 4.5, if we take $A = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$, this is still a countable collection of functions. We now quickly remark that this collection satisfies the conditions of the problem. Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Then we take $f_{(g(1), \dots, g(N), g(N+1))} \in A_{N+1}$ and we have by the construction of $f_{(g(1), \dots, g(N), g(N+1))}$ that it agrees with g for all $1 \leq k \leq N+1$ as desired which implies that $|B(f_{(g(1), \dots, g(N), g(N+1))}, g)| > N$ as desired. \square

Problem 4.15

We have already proved that $(0, 1)$ is uncountable and that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is uncountable. Prove that these two sets are of the same cardinality. That is, construct a bijection $f : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ as explicitly as possible.

Solution: Since Schroder-Bernstein theorem isn't proved in this course (surprisingly), I will prove it and count that bijection as my "explicit" bijection.

Lemma. Let X be a set. Suppose $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies the condition that whenever $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, then $\varphi(A) \subseteq \varphi(B)$. Then there exists a fixed point $T \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\varphi(T) = T$.

Proof. Define the set T as below:

$$T = \bigcup \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) : A \subseteq \varphi(A)\}$$

We now quickly show that $\varphi(T) = T$. We first note that $T \subseteq \varphi(T)$. This is because if $x \in T$, then there exists $A_0 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $x \in A_0$ and $A_0 \subseteq \varphi(A_0)$ (so $x \in \varphi(A_0)$). We also have that $A_0 \subseteq T$, so by the assumption of φ being "increasing", we get that $\varphi(A_0) \subseteq \varphi(T)$. So $x \in \varphi(T)$. Since we have that $T \subseteq \varphi(T)$, this also gives us that $\varphi(T) \subseteq \varphi(\varphi(T))$, and so $\varphi(T)$ is a set in the union in the definition of T . Hence $\varphi(T) \subseteq T$ as well and thus $T = \varphi(T)$. \square

Theorem. Let X and Y be sets. If $|X| \leq |Y|$ and $|Y| \leq |X|$, then $|X| = |Y|$

Proof. Suppose X and Y are sets and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an injection and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is an injection. Define $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ by $\varphi(A) = X \setminus g[Y \setminus f(A)]$. We show that φ is increasing. Suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ with $A \subseteq B$:

$$\begin{aligned} A \subseteq B &\implies f(A) \subseteq f(B) \\ &\implies Y \setminus f(B) \subseteq Y \setminus f(A) \\ &\implies g[Y \setminus f(B)] \subseteq g[Y \setminus f(A)] \\ &\implies \varphi(A) = X \setminus g[Y \setminus f(A)] \subseteq X \setminus g[Y \setminus f(B)] = \varphi(B) \end{aligned}$$

Let $T \subseteq X$ be the fixed point that is guaranteed by the lemma above. So we have that $T = X \setminus g[Y \setminus f(T)]$, which is equivalent to $X \setminus T = g[Y \setminus f(T)]$. Then define the function (which is a bijection) $h : X \rightarrow Y$ as:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in T \\ g^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus T \end{cases}$$

We first note that h is properly defined because $X \setminus T = g[Y \setminus f(T)]$ is in the domain of g^{-1} . To see injectivity, let $x \neq y \in X$. If x and y are either both in T or both not in T , $h(x) \neq h(y)$ since f and g^{-1} will both be

injections. Now WLOG, suppose $x \in T$ and $y \notin T$. Then $h(x) = f(x) \in f(Y)$, but $h(y) = g^{-1}(y) \in Y \setminus f(T)$ and so $h(x) \neq h(y)$. Hence h is injective. For surjectivity, let $y \in Y$. If $y \in f(T)$, then $y = f(x)$ for some $x \in T$, and hence $y = h(x)$. If $y \in Y \setminus f(T)$, then $x = g(y) \in X \setminus T$ and hence $h(x) = g^{-1}(x) = y$. Thus h is surjective. Hence h is a bijection between X and Y . \square

Now that Schroder-Bernstein has been proven, let's find injections from $(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (0, 1)$. Define $f : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ where $A \mapsto 0.a_1a_2\dots$ (in base 10 representation) where $a_n = 1$ if $n \in A$ and $a_n = 2$ otherwise. This is injective because any 2 different (base 10) decimal representations that equate to the same real number must contain a tail of 0s or 9s, which doesn't occur in the range of f so the differing decimal representations are indeed different real numbers. For an injection from $(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, we first define $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ where $g(r) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : q < r\}$. This is injective because if $r_1 \neq r_2$, then WLOG assume $r_1 < r_2$ then there exists a rational number q_0 such that $r_1 < q_0 < r_2$ (problem 1.14). Hence $g(r_1) \neq g(r_2)$. Since $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$, we quickly note that $|\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})| = |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|$, because if $h : \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection, then consider $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ where $\tilde{h}(A) = \{h(a) : a \in A\}$ which is a bijection since h is a bijection. Thus we can compose g with \tilde{h} to get an injection from $(0, 1)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Given these injections, we can construct a bijection from $(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ using Schroder-Bernstein. \square

Problem 4.16

The following is a beautifully slick proof that the real numbers are uncountable.

We define a game for two players. Ahead of time, fix a subset $A \subseteq [0, 1]$.

Player 1 starts by choosing a number $a_1 \in (0, 1)$. Player 2 responds by choosing a number $b_1 \in (a_1, 1)$. The process then repeats inside the interval $[a_1, b_1]$: Player 1 chooses a number $a_2 \in (a_1, b_1)$, and Player 2 chooses a number $b_2 \in (a_2, b_1)$. The game continues in this way for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$: at stage $n + 1$, Player 1 chooses a number $a_{n+1} \in (a_n, b_n)$, and Player 2 responds by choosing a number $b_{n+1} \in (a_{n+1}, b_n)$.

At the end of the game, the two players have created a sequence of nested, closed intervals $C_n = [a_n, b_n]$. The sequence $\{a_n\}$ is increasing by construction and bounded above (by 1, for example), and so it converges by the Monotone Sequence Theorem. Let a be its limit. We say that Player 1 wins the game if $a \in A$, and Player 2 wins the game if $a \notin A$. Prove that if A is countable, then Player 2 has a winning strategy. Then prove as an immediate corollary that $[0, 1]$ is uncountable.

Solution: First we prove a quick claim that $a < b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we show that $a \leq b_n$. Suppose for contradiction that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a > b_n$. Consider $\epsilon = \frac{a-b_n}{2} > 0$. By the definition of convergence, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_m - a| < \frac{a-b_n}{2} \implies a_m > a - \frac{a-b_n}{2} = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b_n}{2} > \frac{b_n}{2} + \frac{b_n}{2} = b_n$. Thus we have reached that $a_m > b_n$ which contradicts the fact that the intervals $[a_i, b_i]$ are nested (i.e. $a_i < b_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$). Thus we have that $a \leq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We then quickly note that we can't have $a = b_n$ either because $b_n > b_{n+1}$ and so we get that $a > b_{n+1}$ a contradiction. Thus $a < b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For the remainder of this question, let \mathbb{N} include 0. Now assume A is countable and let $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ be a surjection (which exists by problem 4.2). Define the following strategy for Player 2. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the interval (a_i, b_i) (and define $a_0 = 0$ and $b_0 = 1$). Note that if $A \cap (a_i, b_i) = \emptyset$, then Player 2 has already won since $a < b_i$ and $a \geq a_{i+1} > a_i$ (since the Monotone sequence theorem states that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$). Hence $a \in (a_i, b_i)$ and thus is not an element of A . Thus let's always assume that $A \cap (a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Define $n_i = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : h(n) = (a_i, b_i)\}$. Since h is surjective and $A \cap (a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$, n_i always exists. Then have Player 2 choose $b_{i+1} = h(n_i)$. We first observe that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $n_{i+1} > n_i$ since (a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) is a strict subset of (a_i, b_i) . Thus we have that $n_i \geq i$.

Now suppose for contradiction that this strategy is not a winning strategy for Player 2 and there exists

$\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}$ such that $a \in A$. Say, $a = h(N)$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We must also have that $a = h(N) \in (a_N, b_N)$ (which is discussed above for arbitrary $i \in \mathbb{N}$). Note that in this case $n_N \geq N$ as shown in the above paragraph, but we also have that since n_N is defined as the minimum such n with $h(n) \in A \cap (a_n, b_n)$, and we have that $a = h(N) \in A \cap (a_N, b_N)$, the construction of the strategy gives us that $b_{N+1} = a$. However, this is a contradiction as $a < b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, this is a winning strategy for Player 2.

It quickly follows that $[0, 1]$ is uncountable because if it were countable, then Player 2 would have a winning strategy but any limit of a sequence of elements in $[0, 1]$ lies in $[0, 1]$ by problem 3.16 (and because $[0, 1]$ is closed and is thus equal to its closure). Thus Player 1 will always win contradicting the fact that Player 2 has a winning strategy. \square

5 Sequence Convergence and Countability

Problem 5.1

Prove that every Hausdorff space is T_1 , and that every T_1 space is T_0 .

Solution: If X is Hausdorff, and $x \neq y \in X$, we have that there exists disjoint open U_x and U_y with $x \in U_x$ and $y \in U_y$. In particular, $(x \in U_x \text{ and } y \notin U_x)$ and $(y \in U_y \text{ and } y \notin U_x)$, hence X is T_1 .

Let X be T_1 . Let $x \neq y \in X$. Then there exists U_x such that $x \in U_x$ and $y \notin U_x$. Hence X is T_0 . \square

Problem 5.2

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a T_1 topological space, and let $x \in X$. Show the constant sequence x, x, x, x, \dots converges to x and to no other point.

Solution: First let's show convergence. Let U be an arbitrary open neighbourhood containing x . Take $N = 7$, and we have that $n \geq N \implies x_n = x \in U$ as desired.

To show that the limit is unique, let $y \neq x \in X$. Since X is T_1 , there exists an open set U_y such that $y \in U_y$ but $x \notin U_y$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n = x \notin U_y$ and hence x_n cannot converge to y . Thus the sequence converges to x and the limit is unique. \square

Problem 5.3

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Prove that the following are equivalent.

- (1) X is T_1
- (2) Every constant sequence in X converges only to its constant value.
- (3) For all $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is closed
- (4) Every finite subset of X is closed.
- (5) For every subset $A \subseteq X$, $A = \bigcap \{U \subseteq X : U \text{ is open and } A \subseteq U\}$.

Solution: (1) \implies (2)

This is problem 5.2.

(2) \implies (3)

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary, we show that $X \setminus \{x\}$ is open. Let $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ (i.e. $y \neq x$). By assumption, the constant sequence $x_n = (x, x, \dots)$ doesn't converge to y . By definition of sequence convergence, there exists a set U_y and an $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $x_N \notin U_y$. Since $x_n = x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this gives us that $x \notin U_y$. Hence $U_y \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$. Since y was arbitrary, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is open and thus $\{x\}$ is closed.

(3) \implies (1)

Let $x \neq y \in X$. By assumption $X \setminus \{x\}$ and $X \setminus \{y\}$ are open. Since $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ and the set is open, there exists an open set $U_y \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ containing y . We get that $y \in U_y$ and $x \notin U_y$. Since $x \in X \setminus \{y\}$ and the set is open, there exists an open set $U_x \subseteq X \setminus \{y\}$ containing x . We get that $x \in U_x$ and $y \notin U_x$. Hence X is T_1 .

(3) \implies (4)

A finite subset of X is the finite union of the singletons of its elements, i.e. $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\}$. By assumption each $\{x_i\}$ is closed and the finite union of closed sets is closed.

(4) \implies (5)

The forward inclusion is obvious because if $x \in A$, then x is an element of every open set that contains A (and thus is an element of the intersection of all such sets). For the converse inclusion let's use the contrapositive. Suppose $x \notin A$. By assumption, finite sets and thus singletons are closed, so $U = X \setminus \{x\}$ is open. In particular, U is an element of the intersection on the right and we have that $x \notin U$ and thus x is not an element of the intersection as desired.

(5) \implies (3)

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Take $A = X \setminus \{x\}$ and by assumption, we have that A is the intersection of all the open sets that contain A . Note that there are only 2 sets that contain A , X and A itself. If A is not open then the only open set containing $X \setminus \{x\}$ is X and we get that $X = X \setminus \{x\}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $X \setminus \{x\}$ must be open and thus $\{x\}$ is closed.

So looks like we showed (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (1) and (3) \implies (4) \implies (5) \implies (3) completing the proof of equivalence. \square

Problem 5.4

Let X be uncountable. Show that $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ is not first countable.

Solution: Fix an $x \in X$. Suppose for contradiction that x has a countable local basis. Let's say the local basis is $\mathcal{B} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where each $U_i = X \setminus C_i$ for some countable set $C_i \subseteq X$. Define $C = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$. Note that C is a countable union of countable sets making it countable by problem 4.5. Note that for all $y \neq x \in X$, we have that $X \setminus \{y\}$ is an open set containing x and thus contains a local basis element. We have that $X \setminus C_{i_0} \subseteq X \setminus \{y\} \implies \{y\} \subseteq C_{i_0} \subseteq C \implies y \in C$. Since this can be done for arbitrary $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$, we get that $X \setminus \{x\} \subseteq C$ which states that an uncountable set is a subset of a countable set, a contradiction. Thus, no countable local basis for x can exist and thus X is not first countable. \square

Problem 5.5

Let X be a finite set. Show that the only T_1 topology on X is the discrete topology.

Solution: We first remark that the discrete topology is T_1 since every set is open and thus for all $x \in X$, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is open which implies $\{x\}$ is closed. Since arbitrary $\{x\}$ are closed, $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}})$ is T_1 by problem 5.3. Now let \mathcal{T} be an arbitrary T_1 topology on X . Problem 5.3 also gives us that every finite subset of X is closed. Since X itself is finite, this implies that every subset is closed and hence every subset is open meaning that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ as desired. \square .

Problem 5.6

Let X be a set and let \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be two distinct topologies on X such that $\mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$. If (X, \mathcal{T}_1) is Hausdorff, does that imply that (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is Hausdorff? What about the other direction? In both cases, prove it or give a counterexample.

Solution: If \mathcal{T}_1 is Hausdorff, then \mathcal{T}_2 is Hausdorff. Let $x \neq y \in X$. Since \mathcal{T}_1 is Hausdorff, there exists disjoint open sets $U_x, U_y \in \mathcal{T}_1$ with $x \in U_x$ and $y \in U_y$. Note that we also have that $U_x, U_y \in \mathcal{T}_2$ which tells us that \mathcal{T}_2 is Hausdorff.

If \mathcal{T}_2 is Hausdorff, \mathcal{T}_1 need not be Hausdorff. Take $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{T}_2 = \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_{\text{trivial}}$ (and we have that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{trivial}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$). The discrete topology is Hausdorff because we can take $U_x = \{x\}$ and $U_y = \{y\}$. The trivial topology is not Hausdorff since if we take $x = 1$ and $y = 2$, the only open set that contains x is \mathbb{R} and the same is true for y . Thus the only possible choice of U_x and U_y is \mathbb{R} for both and they are not disjoint. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{\text{trivial}}$ is not Hausdorff. \square

Problem 5.7

Show that every second countable topological space is both separable and first countable.

Solution: First let's show that second countability implies first countability. Let \mathcal{B} be a countable basis for a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) . Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Consider $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : x \in B\}$. We note that this is a local basis because for all open sets U containing x , $U = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{C}} B$ for some $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. In particular, one of the basis elements in the union must contain x , let's call it B_0 . We then have that $B_0 \subseteq U$ and that $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}_x$ by construction. Hence, \mathcal{B}_x is a local basis for x and since x was arbitrary, (X, \mathcal{T}) is first countable.

To show that every second countable space is separable, let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable basis. Invoking the axiom of choice (I probably should cite usages more frequently), for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $d_i \in B_i$ and construct the set $D = \{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. D is countable as it is indexed by the natural numbers, and we have that D is dense because for all open sets U , U contains a basis element B_i and hence $d_i \in D \cap U$ (i.e. $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$). D is a countable dense subset and thus X is separable. \square

Problem 5.8

Fill in the table :)

Solution:

Space	T_0	T_1	T_2	Sep.	1st C.	2nd C.	ccc
$\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$	T (5.1)	T (5.1)	T	T (5.7)	T (5.7)	T (4.6)	T (4.7)
$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$	T (5.1)	T	F	T	F (5.4)	F (5.7)	T
$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$	T (5.1)	T	F	F	F (5.4)	F (5.7)	T
$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}})$	T (5.1)	T (5.1)	T	F	T	F	F
$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}})$	T	F	F (5.1)	T	T (5.7)	T	T
$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_p)$	T	F	F (5.1)	T	T	F	T
Sorgenfrey Line	T (5.1)	T (5.1)	T (2.3 + 5.6)	T (2.3)	T	F (4.10)	T (4.7)
$(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$	T (5.1)	T	F	T (5.13)	T (5.7)	T	T (5.13)
$(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$	T (5.1)	T (5.1)	T	T (5.13)	T	T (5.13)	T (5.13)
$(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}})$	T (5.1)	T (5.1)	T	T (5.13)	T	T (5.13)	T (5.13)

- $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$ is Hausdorff because given $x \neq y$, take $\epsilon = \frac{|y-x|}{3}$ and then $B_\epsilon(x)$ and $B_\epsilon(y)$ are disjoint open subsets separating x and y .
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ are T_1 because given $x \neq y$, $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{y\}$ contain x but not y and $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}$ contains y but not x and both these sets are open in both topologies. The same argument works for $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ are not T_2 . We note that any 2 points cannot be separated. Take $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose U_x contains x and U_y contains y and that they are disjoint. We know that $U_x = \mathbb{R} \setminus V_x$ and $U_y = \mathbb{R} \setminus V_y$ where V_x, V_y are finite/countable. Then, $\mathbb{R} \setminus V_x \cap \mathbb{R} \setminus V_y = \emptyset \implies \mathbb{R} = V_x \cup V_y$ and we cannot express an uncountable set as the union of 2 finite/countable sets. Note that this also proves that both of these topologies are ccc, since the only collection of mutually disjoint non empty open sets can only contain a single set. The same argument works for $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ is not separable. Suppose for contradiction that a countable dense set D . Note that $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus D$ is open and that $U \cap D = \emptyset$. By problem 3.6, this implies that D is not dense a contradiction.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$ is separable. In fact, every countable subset is dense. Let C be an arbitrary countable subset. Let $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus F$ be an arbitrary open set in the cofinite topology. If $U \cap C = \emptyset$, this implies that $C \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus U = F$ which says that a countable set is a subset of a finite set, a contradiction.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ on any set X is Hausdorff because given $x \neq y \in X$, $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are disjoint open sets separating the 2 points.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ on any set X is first countable because $\{x\}$ is always a local basis at x ($\{x\} \subseteq U$ for all sets U containing x).
- $\mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ on a set X is separable if and only if X is countable. This is because since every set is closed, every set is equal to its closure and thus the only dense set is X . So whether or not this dense set is countable is based on if X is countable.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ on a set X is ccc if and only if X is countable. If X is countable, then every uncountable collection must intersect at one point by pigeonhole principle. If X is uncountable, then $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is an uncountable collection of disjoint open sets.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\text{discrete}}$ on a set X is second countable if and only if X is countable. If X is countable, $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is a countable basis. If X is uncountable, each singleton $\{x\}$ must be a union of elements in the basis. The only 2 subsets of $\{x\}$ are \emptyset and $\{x\}$, so $\{x\}$ must be in the basis. Since this is true for all $x \in X$, we get uncountable many elements in any basis.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}})$ is not T_1 because if we take $x = 0$, and $y = 1$, every open set containing x will contain y as well. It is T_0 however because if $x \neq y$, WLOG take $x < y$ and $U_y = (\frac{x+y}{2}, \infty)$. Then $y \in U_y$ and $x \notin U_y$.

- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}})$ is separable. Not only is \mathbb{Q} dense, but \mathbb{N} is dense as well. Since every open set U is of the form (a, ∞) , there exists a natural number in U and thus the intersection is not empty.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}})$ is second countable because we take the (countable) basis $\mathcal{B} = \{(q, \infty) : q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. This clearly covers \mathbb{R} , and if $(q_1, \infty), (q_2, \infty) \in \mathcal{B}$, their intersection is just $(\max(q_1, q_2), \infty)$ which is still an element of \mathcal{B} , and thus \mathcal{B} is a basis. To show that it generates \mathcal{T}_{ray} simply note that $(a, \infty) = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq a}} (q, \infty)$.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ray}})$ is ccc because every 2 open sets intersect, and thus the only possible collection of disjoint nonempty open contains a single element (and is thus countable).
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_p)$ is T_0 . Given $x \neq y$, WLOG assume $y \neq p$. Then consider $U_x = \{x, p\}$ (which is open in \mathcal{T}_p). Since $y \neq x$ and $y \neq p$, $y \notin U_x$, and we have that $x \in U_x$ as desired. Note however that it is not T_1 . Consider the points p , and any $x \neq p \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that every open set containing x will also contain p by the definition of \mathcal{T}_p and thus it is not T_1 .
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_p)$ is separable because $\{p\}$ is a countable dense set. Indeed every open set intersects with $\{p\}$ by the definition of \mathcal{T}_p .
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_p)$ is first countable because $\{\{x, p\}\}$ is a countable local basis at an arbitrary point x . $\{x, p\}$ indeed is open by the definition of \mathcal{T}_p , and any open set U containing x will contain p and thus $\{x, p\} \subseteq U$ as desired.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_p)$ is not second countable because for all $x \neq p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{p, x\}$ is open while $\{x\}$ is not open, so for $\{p, x\}$ to be written as a union of basis elements, $\{p, x\}$ must be an element of the basis. Since this is true for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{p\}$, we must have an uncountable basis.
- $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_p)$ is ccc because every open set intersects at p so there are no uncountable collections of disjoint open sets.
- The Sorgenfrey Line is first countable because we can take the local basis at x to be $\mathcal{B}_x = \{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, x \in (a, b)\}$ (recall that open intervals are open in the Sorgenfrey Line as shown in problem 2.3). Given any open set U containing x , there is a basis element (a, b) containing x contained in U . We then know that there exists an interval with rational endpoints nested inside (a, b) thus this is a countable local basis.
- $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$ is second countable. Note that $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ is countable by problem 4.3. Note that there is a bijection from $f : \text{Fin}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ where a set A is mapped to $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$. Thus $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ is countable. Note that any topology is itself a basis that generates itself. Thus $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ is a countable basis for $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}}$ in this case and hence $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$ is second countable.
- $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ is Hausdorff because in this topology singletons are open since $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n\}$ is countable. Singletons being open also gives us that the space is first countable because $\{\{n\}\}$ forms a local basis for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem 5.9

A subset A of a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a G_δ set if it equals a countable intersection of open subsets of X . In other words, $A \subseteq X$ is a G_δ set if there exist open sets U_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$. Show that in a first countable T_1 space, $\{x\}$ is a G_δ set for every $x \in X$.

Solution: Let $x \in X$. Since X is first countable, let $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable local basis. We then quickly show that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$. The forward direction follows by the definition of a local basis since $x \in B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the converse inclusion, let $p \in B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose for contradiction that $p \neq x$. Since X is first countable, there exists an open set U_x such that $x \in U_x$ and $p \notin U_x$. By the definition of a local basis, there exists B_{n_0} such that $B_{n_0} \subseteq U_x$. We reach a contradiction since $p \in B_{n_0}$, but p is not

an element of U_x . Hence $p \in B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $p = x$ completing the proof of the set equality. Finally, by the definition of a local basis, each B_n is open, and thus $\{x\}$ is G_δ .

Problem 5.10

A topological space is called a G_δ space if every closed set is G_δ . Give an example of a space that is not a G_δ space.

Solution: Consider $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$. $\{0\}$ is a closed set by the definition of the cofinite topology. Suppose for contradiction that $\{0\}$ is the intersection of countably many open sets. Say $U_i = \mathbb{R} \setminus F_i$ are the open sets (and F_i are finite). Then we get that $\{0\} = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R} \setminus F_i \implies \{x\} = \mathbb{R} \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i) \implies \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}$. We get that an uncountable set is a countable union of finite sets which is a contradiction by problem 4.5. Hence $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-finite}})$ is not a G_δ space. \square

Problem 5.11

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a first countable topological space. Show that every $x \in X$ has a countable nested local basis. That is, for every $x \in X$, show that there is a local basis $B_x = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \supseteq \dots$

Solution: Let $x \in X$, $\mathcal{B}'_x = \{B'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable basis. To get a nested local basis, simply take $B_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n B'_i$. It then follows that $B_n = B_{n-1} \cap B'_n$ which implies that $B_n \supseteq B_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Each B_n remains open because finite intersections of open sets are still open. And $x \in B_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ because $x \in B'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Finally, every open set U containing x contains a B_n because there exists B'_n contained in U and $B_n \subseteq B'_n$. \square

Problem 5.12

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a first countable topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$. Prove that $x \in \overline{A}$ if and only if there is a sequence of elements of A converging to x .

Solution: For the forward inclusion, let \mathcal{B}_x be a nested local basis for x (given by problem 5.11). Then by the axiom of choice, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose an $x_n \in B_n \cap A$ ($B_n \cap A \neq \emptyset$ since $x \in \overline{A}$ and B_n is open). Note that $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to x . Given an arbitrary open set U containing x , by the definition of a local basis, there exists a set $B_{n_0} \subseteq U$. Since this is a nested local basis, for all $n \geq n_0$, $x_n \in B_n \subseteq B_{n_0} \subseteq U$ and hence the sequence converges to x .

For the converse inclusion let U be an arbitrary open set containing x . By the definition of sequence convergence, there exists $x_{n_0} \in U$. Since the sequence is contained in A , we have that $x_{n_0} \in U \cap A$ and thus $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all open sets U containing x . Hence, $x \in \overline{A}$. \square

Problem 5.13

Is every countable topological space X (ie. one in which the underlying set is countable) separable? What about ccc? Can a countable space be first countable but not second countable?

Solution: Every countable space X is separable because X itself is a countable dense set. It is also ccc because if there were an uncountable collection of disjoint non empty open sets, their union will be an uncountable subset of X which contradicts the fact that X is countable. Finally we show that a first countable topological space with countably many elements is also second countable. Given local bases \mathcal{B}_x for all x , take $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{B}_x$. This is a countable union of countable sets and is thus countable by problem 4.5. It covers X since each x is an element of a set in \mathcal{B}_x . Finally, given $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ that intersect non trivially. Let $p \in B_1 \cap B_2$. This is an open neighbourhood of p and thus there exists a set in $\mathcal{B}_p \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ containing p contained in $B_1 \cap B_2$ by the definition of a local base. Thus \mathcal{B} is indeed a basis. To show that it generates the topology, let U be open and let $x \in X$. Then by the definition of a local basis, there exists $B_x \in \mathcal{B}_X \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ containing x that is contained in U . Thus by 2.8, \mathcal{B} generates this topology. \square

Problem 5.14

Construct a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) which is countable (ie. X is countable) but not first countable.

Solution: