Arthur de Robert   10/11/2017
M.Sc. UQAM/GERAD
Arthur.de.robert@gmail.com

UESMO
Urban Energy System Modeling using OSeMOSYS

Model Specificities.

OSeMOSYS is an open source energy system optimization model developed by KTH-Desa. OSeMOSYS is designed to find the most cost effective way to meet an energy demand through a system submitted to constraints. All information about the model is available at: http://www.osemosys.org/

First of all, I have to explain the concept of **urban form**. A city is divided into different blocks like suburbs, industrial areas, downtown, residential areas, etc, that have distinct building composition and energetic consumption profiles. Each type of Urban form can provide services such housing, employment, industrial activity, agricultural activity. In our model, we consider urban forms as technologies that consume energy, emit CO2 to provide housing related services.

The objective of this model would be to find the optimal urban land configuration to meet energetic related demand regarding a set of constraints. In order to do that, OSeMOSYS's code has been modified. This model focus on energy consumed in buildings and in the industrial and agricultural sector. This document outlines the specificities of our model and the modifications made to the code.

Model specificities

FUELS: There is 2 different type of fuels:
- Energy: electricity, Petroleum, Natural gas, BioFuel, Else (in energy units)
- Demand fuels: for now the only demand we consider is Housing (in habitant)

TECHNOLOGY: OSeMOSYS optimize energetic systems that are composed of different types of machine that transform energy from primaries to consumable form. In my model, there are two types of technologies;
- Urban form technologies: blocks of 1km^2 (built technologies) that consume energy to provide a housing service. This represents building energetic consumption.
- Importation technologies: that provides energy to the model.

EMISSIONS: CO2 are accounted from import technologies.

For import technologies, there are only outputs that are in energy units and variables costs represent energy prices.

Modifications to the code:

Salvage value, **Storage** and **Reserve Margin** are not relevant to our input and urban form technologies. All related parameters, variables and constraints have been removed.

The two following tables list the added parameters and variables.

New Parameters	Description
CapacityConversionOpportunity[r,t,tt,y]	To specify if a technology conversion is possible. =1 if the conversion of t into tt is possible. =0 otherwise.
ConversionRate[r,y]	Share of the maximum capacity available for conversion in each region and year.
ConversionCost [r,t,tt,y]	Cost for the conversion of one unit of t into tt.
TotalSpaceByRegion[r,y]	Total available space by region.
TagTechnologyWithSpace[r,t]	To specify which technology are convertible. =1 for urban form technologies. -0 for import technologies.
ActivityToCapacityShare[t]	Minimal share that activity can represent compared to capacity.

New Variables	Description
CapacityConversionGain[r,t,tt,y]	Gained capacity by t from tt.
CapacityConversionLoss[r,t,tt,y]	Lost capacity by t from tt.
TotalCapacityConversionGain[r,t,y]	Total gained capacity by t from conversion at a given year y.
TotalCapacityConversionLoss[r,t,y]	Total lost capacity by t from conversion at a given year y.
AccumulatedCapacityConversionGain[r,t,y]	Accumulated gained Capacity by t in conversion at year y.
AccumulatedCapacityConversionLoss[r,t,y]	Accumulated lost Capacity by t in conversion at year y.

Activity and capacity:

In my model, the capacity of each technology is equal to the surface (in km^2) that it occupies. And activity is equal to the surface (in km^2) that is used to meet a housing demand. So for a given technology if you have Capacity =100 and Activity=70 it means that you have 100 km 2 of this technology but only

70% of it is actually used for housing people. Capacity and activity are in the same units; km^2. To keep this model as realistic as possible, we want to avoid a situation where the activity of a technology would be too low compared to the capacity. Thereof, we have to add the following constraint:

$$\forall_{r,l,t,y} : \text{RateOfTotalActivity}_{r,t,l,y} \geq \text{TotalCapacityAnnual}_{r,t,y} * \text{CapacityFactor}_{r,t,l,y} *$$

$$\text{CapacityToActivityUn it}_{r,t} * \text{ActivityTo CapacityShare}_t$$

s.t. CAa4b_Constraint_Capacity{r in REGION, l in TIMESLICE, t in TECHNOLOGY, y in YEAR}:
RateOfTotalActivity[r,t,l,y]   >=   TotalCapacityAnnual[r,t,y]   *
CapacityFactor[r,t,l,y]*CapacityToActivityUnit[r,t]*ActivityToCapacityFactor[t];

Space:

There is a limited amount of space in each region of the model. The sum of Built technologies capacities must not be higher than the space in the region. Therefore we have to add the following constraint to the model.

$$\forall_{r,y} : \sum_t \text{TotalCapacityAnnual}_{r,t,y} * \text{TagTechnologyWithSpa ce}_{r,t} \leq \text{TotalSpaceByRegion}_{r,y}$$

Where TagTechnologyWithSpace$_{r,t}$ is a parameter that identifies technologies that is to take into consideration in the space accounting (is equal to 1 for every urban form technology, =0 for import technologies).

Capacity conversion:

Because of residual capacities, all the available space if fully occupied from the 1st to the last modeling year. Therefore it's impossible to build new capacity. However, it is made possible to the model to convert capacity from a certain technology to another technology. First, when an amount of technology is converted from t to tt the gained capacity of tt must be equal to the lost capacity of t.

$$\forall_{r,t,tt,y} : \text{CapacityLoss}_{r,t,tt,y} = \text{CapacityGain}_{r,tt,t,y}$$

Different conversions could occur during the same year for a given technologies and are summed as follow:

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \sum_{tt} \text{CapacityConversion Gain}_{r,t,tt,y} * \text{CapacityConversionOp portunity}_{r,tt,t,y}$$

$$= \text{TotalCapacityConvers ionGain}_{r,t,y}$$

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \sum_{tt} \text{CapacityConversion Loss}_{r,t,tt,y} * \text{CapacityConversionOp portunity}_{r,t,tt,y} =$$

$$\text{TotalCapacityConvers ionLoss}_{r,t,y}$$

CapacityConversionOpportunity$_{r,t,tt,y}$ =1 when the conversion from t to tt is allowed (t loses capacity and tt win capacity) and 0 otherwise.

When a certain amount of technology capacity units are converted at year t it should be accounted for all following years. Therefore technology conversion has to be accumulated:

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \sum_{yy \le y} \text{TotalCapacityConversionGain}_{r,t,y} = \text{AccumulatedCapacityConversionGain}_{r,t,y}$$

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \sum_{yy \le y} \text{TotalCapacityConversionLoss}_{r,t,y} = \text{AccumulatedCapacityConversionLoss}_{r,t,y}$$

For each region and year only a defined share of the total capacity is available for conversion:

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \text{TotalCapacityConversionLoss}_{r,t,y} = \text{TotalCapacityAnnual}_{r,t,y\text{-}1} * \text{ConversionRate}_{r,t}$$

Technology conversions also have to be taken into account in the capacity adequacy constraints. So the following constraint (s.t. CAa2_TotalAnnualCapacity):

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \text{AccumulatedNewCapacity}_{r,t,y} + \text{ResidualCapacity}_{r,t,y} = \text{TotalCapacityAnnual}_{r,t,y}$$

Has to be replaced by this new one:

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \text{AccumulatedNewCapacity}_{r,t,y} + \text{ResidualCapacity}_{r,t,y} + \text{AccumulatedCapacityConversionGain}_{r,t,y}$$
$$- \text{AccumulatedCapacityConversionLoss}_{r,t,y} = \text{TotalCapacityAnnual}_{r,t,y}$$

Where Capacity conversion losses and capacity conversion gains would be taken into account.

Capacity conversion pricing:

A cost is associated with the conversion of a technology from t to tt and is accounted as follow:

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \sum_{tt} (\text{CapacityConversionGain}_{r,t,tt,y} * \text{TransferCost}_{r,tt,t,y} * \text{CapacityConversionOpportunity}_{r,tt,t,y})$$
$$= \text{ConversionCostByTechnology}_{r,t,y}$$

Where ConversionCost is the price of converting a technology from tt to t. Note that conversion prices are accounted only for ConversionGain. This price has to be added the calcul of Total Discounted Cost By Technology. So the following constraints (s.t.TDC1_TotalDiscountedCostByTechnology) :

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : \text{DiscountedOperatingCost}_{r,t,y} + \text{DiscountedCapitalInvestment}_{r,t,y}$$
$$+ \text{DiscountedTechnologyEmissionPenalty}_{r,t,y} + \text{DiscountedSalvageValue}_{r,t,y}$$
$$= \text{TotalDiscountedCostByTechnology}_{r,t,y}$$

is replaced by

$$\forall_{r,t,y} : DiscountedOperatingCost_{r,t,y} + DiscountedCapitalInvestment_{r,t,y}$$
$$+ DiscountedTechnologyEmissionPenalty_{r,t,y} + ConversionCostByTechnology_{r,t,y}$$
$$= TotalDiscountedCostByTechnology_{r,t,y}$$

Note that DiscountedSalvageValue has been removed. And because there is no storage, the following constraint (s.t. TDC2_TotalDiscountedCost):

$$\forall_{r,y} : \sum_{t} TotalDiscountedCostByTechnology_{r,t,y} + \sum_{s} TotalDiscountedStorageCost_{r,s,y} = TotalDiscountedCost_{r,y}$$

Is replaced by this one:

$$\forall_{r,y} : \sum_{t} TotalDiscountedCostByTechnology_{r,t,y} = TotalDiscountedCost_{r,y}$$

Cab2 activity capacity share