

CS111 Chapter 1 Outline #1 & 2

- Four common problems in systems
 - Emergent properties
 - Evident only in the system as a whole rather than in individual components
 - Example: jury behavior, Millennium Bridge synchronized footsteps weakness
 - Propagation of effects
 - Small change “propagates” and causes other larger changes/problems
 - “No small changes in a large system”
 - Example: Changing wheel proportions in a car
 - Incommensurate scaling
 - Not all components of a system follow the same scale, so parts break
 - Known to limit the range of size or speed a system can handle
 - Example: Galileo’s giant, larger pyramids
 - Tradeoffs
 - Maximizing different things that might overlap/contradict
 - Waterbed effect: pushing a problem down causes another to arise
 - Example: Increasing circuit’s clock rate increases power consumption and timing risks
 - Binary classification: misclassifying a property that doesn’t have a direct measure via proxy
 - Example: Smoke detector, spam filter
- System (complex unity formed of diverse parts for a common plan or purpose)
 - A set of interconnected components for an expected behavior observed at the interface
 - Under discussion: system; not under discussion: environment; interactions: interface
 - Point of view for distinguishing system from components: purpose vs. granularity
 - Subsystem: system in one context but a component in another
 - Computer/information system stores, processes, or communicates information
- Signs of complexity
 - Lots of components
 - Lots of interconnections
 - Many irregularities
 - Long description (Kolmogorov complexity: measured by length of shortest specification)
 - Team of designers, implementers, maintainers
- Sources of complexity
 - Number of requirements, maintaining high utilization
 - Cascading and interacting requirements
 - Example: software update that requires higher version of something else, and so on
 - Principle of escalating complexity; more requirements → more complexity
 - More generality, requirement changes, or increased scale → more complexity
 - Solution: make local changes, or patches
 - Maintaining high utilization
 - Maximizing utilization (usage) of a rare or scarce resource
 - Example: single railroad that uses a switch to allow bidirectional travel
 - Law of diminishing returns: improving goodness requires more effort next time (complexity)
- Coping with complexity
 - Modularity
 - Analyze system as a collection of interaction subsystems, or modules
 - Divide-and-conquer of the system helps cut down debugging time
 - N statements will take N^2 debugging time
 - Modularization into K parts reduces debugging time by K
 - Abstraction
 - Separation of interface and specification from internals and implementation
 - Prevents unintentional or unnecessary interconnections
 - Robustness principle: tolerate inputs, be strict on outputs (fitting)
 - Safety margin principle: keep distance from edge to prevent drastic problems

- Shake-out: check all inputs and reject anything less than perfect
 - Production: accept any input that can be interpreted reasonably
- Layering
 - Module organization in which we build new mechanisms (upper) based on previously-complete mechanisms (lower) as modules
 - Example: high-level interpreter and machine language; a computer system's hardware/software
- Hierarchy
 - Assemble groups of subsystems to create larger subsystems, and so on for a tree-like structure
 - Example: large organizations, army
 - N components means a maximum of $N*(N-1)$ interactions; reduces potential interactions
- Naming modules
 - Choosing an implementation (or binding) can be delayed to maintain flexibility
 - Indirection: name a feature instead of implementing it
- Computer systems have slightly different problems than other systems
 - Complexity not limited by physical laws
 - Noise limits analog components, but digital ones have no noise
 - Robustness principle: range of accepted analog values > range of analog values of output when it means digital (static discipline)
 - Tolerant inputs, strict outputs
 - Software also has no speed limit
 - Abstractions help but are "leaky," as in overflow of an integer
 - Computer systems allow composition limited only by the designer's ability to understand it
 - Establish a self-limit
 - Rate of technological change is unprecedented
 - Prices of technology have hugely decreased
 - Incommensurate scaling rule: change in system parameter by 10 requires new design
 - Mistakes in rapidly changing designs cause complexity (due to less analysis/fine tuning)
 - By the time technology is user-friendly, that technology has been outpaced
 - Legal/judicial processes take forever to address new issues and technology
 - Communications today allow people to discover and adopt new technology
- Coping with complexity (again)
 - Modularity, abstraction, layering, and hierarchy aren't enough
 - Hard to choose the right one (for each of these)
 - Iteration
 - Build simple, working system, then evolve it in small steps towards its requirements
 - Small steps reduce risk of complexity; make system easy-to-change
 - Take small steps
 - Don't rush
 - Plan for feedback
 - Study failures
 - Iteration includes the risk of losing conceptual integrity
 - Bad-news diode: bad news spreads slower than good news, thus preventing fixes
 - Wrong modularity can be hard to change
 - Unyielding foundations rule: there are multiply modules that need to be changed
 - Designers may be reluctant to "waste time and effort" in a rework
 - Second-system effect: success can lead to overconfidence and a subsequent failure
 - Keep it simple (KISS)
 - Say no to complications, despite growing technology, competition, previous successes, etc.