## CS111 Chapter 11 Overview, Section 11.2 Outline #11

Chapter 11.2 (11-28 – 11-36), 11.4 (11-49 – 11-54), 11.6 (11-72 – 11-80)

- Authenticating Principles
  - o Guard asks questions
    - Who is the principal making the request? Is it actually from that person?
  - Separating trust from authenticating principles
  - Authenticating principals
    - Check real-world identity of principal
      - Physical property (voice recognition); something one has (magnetic cards), knows (PIN)
    - Use verification of identity
  - Cryptographic hash function can protect passwords
    - Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)
    - Computationally secure (infeasible to break into)
    - Work factor: quantifier used to measure computationally security
  - Design principle: minimize secrets
  - Dictionary attack used by brute-forcers (effective, since most passwords suck)
  - Protocol between user and service
    - Authenticates principal to guard
    - Authenticates service to principal
    - Password never travels the network
    - Password only used once per session
- Message Confidentiality
  - Encryption: plaintext → ciphertext
  - Decryption: ciphertext → plaintext
  - o ENCRYPT, DECRYPT are implemented using cryptographic transformations
    - Observers cannot translate the messages, even if intercepted
  - Public key and private key
    - Bob hands over public key to Alice, who uses it to encode her message
    - Only Bob has his own private key to decode a message encoded via public key
  - Must be able to withstands attacks like...
    - Ciphertext-only attack: adversary has ciphertext and encrypt/decrypt algorithms; he should not be able to use redundancies and patterns to reconstruct the plaintext
    - Known-plaintext attack: adversary has ciphertext and some of the plaintext; he should not be able to determine the key
    - Chosen-plaintext attack: adversary has ciphertext and chosen corresponding plaintext; he may convince someone to send an encrypted message of plaintext to his liking (adaptive attack)
      - Oracles, which encrypts inputs without question, might encourage adversary attacks
    - Chosen-ciphertext attack: adversary has ciphertext and observes plaintext when it's converted
  - o Confidentiality and Authentication
    - Confidentiality: Alice encrypts message
      - Unlikely, confidential info should have a guarantee of where it's from
    - Authentication: Alice signs message
      - Common, since a lot of data is public, but its origin is needed or helpful
    - For both, we must encrypt and sign the message (in this order, to cover up weaknesses)
      - Use different keys for authentication/encryption
      - Explicitness principle: specify who the message is from/for
- Authorization: Controlled Sharing
  - Separate programs that share sensitive data?
    - This restriction on sharing is impossible
    - Rather, use trusted and untrusted networks
    - Still not very convenient
  - Authorization Operations
    - Authorization: gains principal permission to operate on object

- Mediation: operation checks whether principal has operation permission on object
- Revocation: decision that removes previous permission from principal
- Simple Guard Model
  - Authorization matrix with principal rows and object columns
  - Discretionary access-control systems: object creator assigns permissions for that object
  - Non-discretionary: another chosen authority has that responsibility
  - Two instances
    - List systems: organized by column
      - Revocation is less disruptive than ticket system
      - Guard holts list of tokens corresponding to authorized principals
      - Tokens list (access-control list) dictates who has access
      - Requires searching a list, but revocation is easy
    - Ticket systems: organized by row
      - Guard holds ticket (capability) for its object, which it can give out/take back
      - o Tickets can get passed around (good and bad), but revocation is hard
    - Tokens and tickets must be shielded from forgery
    - Agency allows a switch between list and ticket systems
    - Protection groups: principals used by more than one user
      - Might be implemented using an ACL
      - Kind of like a permission group
  - UNIX principals identified by strings or integer names (UIDs)
  - GID is the principal for whom the process is running
  - Use principal of least privilege
  - Authentication users based on UID, GID, and password
  - Access Control Check
    - Superuser (UID 0) has permissions by default
    - Matching UID of owner and process prompts for ACL check
    - Matching GID of process and file prompts for ACL check
    - UID/GID don't match, so we check ACL for other matches
- Caretaker Model
- o Flow-Control Model