

## CS32 Homework 4

**2. Explain in a sentence or two why the call to `map<Point, int>::insert` causes at least one compilation error.**

→The class `Point` doesn't have an equality or assignment operator. That operator is needed to assign the "Point" key to the newly-inserted node and to check if the "Point" keys match.

**3b. We introduced the function `listAllAuxiliary`. Why could you not solve this problem given the constraints in part a if you had to implement `listAll` (with one parameter) as the recursive function?**

→We needed a variable to keep track of the string path for the subdomains (that we've traveled thus far), the parameter variable `path` in this case. The void function has no other way of concatenating and storing the path string without that extra parameter.

**4a. What is the time complexity of this algorithm, in terms of the number of basic operators performed? Why?**

→There are three for-loops, each embedded in the other. They all have variables that begin from 0 and end at  $N$ , with an increment of 1. All the other actions are constants (though possibly multiplied by  $N$  in some cases) and they are ultimately dropped. Therefore the efficiency would be  $O(N^3)$  or big-O of  $N$ -cubed.

**4b. What is the time complexity of this algorithm? Why?**

→The idea of this second algorithm is similar to the first. The biggest difference comes in one of the for-loops, in which that variable begins from 0 and ends at the variable of the outer-for-loop. Essentially, that particular for-loop is only called about half of  $N$  times. Therefore, we can say that its efficiency is  $N \cdot (1/2)N \cdot N$ . However, since we drop coefficients and keep only the highest order, the efficiency would still be  $O(N^3)$ , or big-O of  $N$ -cubed.

**5. In terms of the number of linked list nodes visited during the execution of this function, what is its time complexity? Why?**

→The first part of determining the bigger/smaller map runs in constant time. Then we get to a for-loop which will run through about  $N$  times. During that for-loop, we call several functions (like the `get` function) that loops through presumably around  $N$  times again. These functions, however, are not embedded, so the coefficient is eventually dropped. The time complexity turns out to be  $O(N^2)$ , or big-O of  $N$ -squared.