

Problem 1

Let S and T be subspaces of V . Prove that:

- a) $S + T = L(S \cup T)$
- b) $S \cap (S + T) = S$
- c) $S + T = T + S$
- d) If $S \subseteq T$, then $S + T = T$

Proof. a) $S + T = L(S \cup T)$

Let $A \in S + T \implies A = \vec{s} + \vec{t}$ where $\vec{s} \in S, \vec{t} \in T \implies \vec{s}, \vec{t} \in S \cup T$. $\vec{s} + \vec{t}$ is a linear combination of vectors in $S \cup T$, and therefore, $\vec{s} + \vec{t} = A \in L(S \cup T)$ by the defn. of linear span. Thus, $S + T \subseteq L(S \cup T)$

Let $A \in L(S \cup T)$. This implies that A can be represented as linear combination of vectors in $S \cup T$: $A = r_1 \vec{a}_1 + r_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + r_k \vec{a}_k$, where $\vec{a}_i \in S \cup T$. $\vec{a}_i \in S$ or $\vec{a}_i \in T$ or both, by the defn. of union. Hence, denote those $\vec{a}_i \in S$ as \vec{s}_i , $\vec{a}_i \in T$ as \vec{t}_i and $\vec{a}_i \in S, T$ as \vec{t}_i as well. $A = r_1 \vec{a}_1 + r_2 \vec{a}_2 + \dots + r_k \vec{a}_k = r_{s1} \vec{s}_1 + r_{t1} \vec{t}_1 + \dots + r_{sn} \vec{s}_n + r_{tm} \vec{t}_m$. Because S is a subspace of V , and, therefore, a vector space, and, therefore, closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication, $(r_{s1} \vec{s}_1 + \dots + r_{sn} \vec{s}_n) \in S$. Similarly, for T , $(r_{t1} \vec{t}_1 + \dots + r_{tm} \vec{t}_m) \in T$. Thus, $A = (r_{s1} \vec{s}_1 + \dots + r_{sn} \vec{s}_n) + (r_{t1} \vec{t}_1 + \dots + r_{tm} \vec{t}_m) = (\vec{s} + \vec{t}) \in S + T$ by the definition of the sum of subspaces. Thus, $L(S \cup T) \subseteq S + T$.

$S + T \subseteq L(S \cup T)$ and $L(S \cup T) \subseteq S + T$. This implies $S + T = L(S \cup T)$

b) $S \cap (S + T) = S$

Let $A \in S \cap (S + T) \implies A \in S$ by the defn. of intersection. Thus, $S \cap (S + T) \subseteq S$.

Let $A \in S$. T is a subspace of V , and, therefore, $\vec{0} \in T$. Thus, $A + \vec{0} \in S + T$ by the definition of the sum of the subspaces. $A + \vec{0} = A$ by Axiom 3. Therefore, $A \in S + T$. $A \in S + T$ and $A \in S \implies A \in S \cap (S + T)$ by the definition of the intersection. Thus, $S \subseteq S \cap (S + T)$

$S \cap (S + T) \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq S \cap (S + T)$. This implies $S \cap (S + T) = S$.

c) $S + T = T + S$

$S + T$ is a set of $\vec{s} + \vec{t}$ with $\vec{s} \in S$ and $\vec{t} \in T$ by defn.

$T + S$ is a set of $\vec{t} + \vec{s}$ with $\vec{t} \in T$ and $\vec{s} \in S$ by defn.

T and S are subspaces, and vector addition is commutative by Axiom 1.

Therefore, $T + S$ is a set of $\vec{t} + \vec{s} = \vec{s} + \vec{t}$ with $\vec{t} \in T$ and $\vec{s} \in S$ by defn.

This matches our definition of $S + T$. Thus, $S + T = T + S$.

d) Let $A \in S + T$. Then $A = \vec{s} + \vec{t}$ with $\vec{s} \in S$ and $\vec{t} \in T$ by defn. $S \subseteq T$. Therefore, if $\vec{s} \in S$, $\vec{s} \in T$ by defn. of a subset. $\vec{s} \in T$ and $\vec{t} \in T$. Thus, $\vec{s} + \vec{t} = A \in T$, as T is a subspace of V , and, therefore, a vector space, and, therefore, closed under vector addition. Thus, $S + T \subseteq T$

Let $A \in T$. S is a subspace of V , and, therefore, $\vec{0} \in S$. Thus, $\vec{0} + A \in S + T$ by the definition of the sum of the subspaces. $\vec{0} + A = A$ by Axiom 3. Therefore, $A \in S + T$. Thus, $T \subseteq S + T$.

$S + T \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq S + T$. This implies $S + T = T$.

□

Problem 2

Which of the following sets of vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 are linearly dependent? Which are linearly independent? $E = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, $F = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, $G = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, $H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, $K = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Solution:

$$E) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}. \text{ Therefore, by the definition, } \mathbf{E} \text{ is linearly dependent.}$$

$$F) r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + r_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}.$$

For the third term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 0 + r_3 * 0 = 0 \implies r_1 = 0$. For the second term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 0 = 0 * 1 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 0 = 0 \implies r_2 = 0$. For the first term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 1 = 0 * 1 + 0 * 1 + r_3 * 1 = 0 \implies r_3 = 0$. However, by the definition, it has to be non-trivial linear combination. Therefore, **F is linearly independent.**

$$G) r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + r_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

For the third term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 0 + r_3 * 1 = 0 \implies r_1 + r_3 = 0$. For the second term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 0 = 0 \implies r_1 + r_2 = 0$. For the first term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 1 = 0 \implies r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 0$. We know from the second term that $r_1 + r_2 = 0$. Thus, we have $0 + r_3 = 0 \implies r_3 = 0$. We know from the third term that $r_1 + r_3 = 0 = r_1 + 0 \implies r_1 = 0$. Thus, we get $r_1 = 0, r_2 = 0, r_3 = 0$. However, by the definition, it has to be non-trivial linear combination. Therefore, **G is linearly independent.**

$$H) r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + r_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

For the third term we have $r_1 * 0 + r_2 * 0 + r_3 * 1 = 0 \implies r_3 = 0$. For the second term we have $r_1 * 0 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 2 = 0 \implies r_2 + 2r_3 = 0 \implies r_2 = 0$. For the first term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 0 + r_3 * 1 = 0 \implies r_1 + r_3 = 0 \implies r_1 = 0$. However, by the definition, it has to be non-trivial linear combination. Therefore, **H is linearly independent.**

$$K) r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + r_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

For the first term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 0 + r_3 * 0 = 0 \implies r_1 = 0$. For the second term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 1 + r_3 * 0 = 0 \implies r_1 + r_2 = 0 \implies r_2 = 0$. For the third term we have $r_1 * 1 + r_2 * 0 + r_3 * 1 = 0 \implies r_1 + r_3 = 0 \implies r_3 = 0$. Thus, we get $r_1 = 0, r_2 = 0, r_3 = 0$. However, by the definition, it has to be non-trivial linear combination. Therefore, **K is linearly independent.**

Problem 3

Let $E = \{(1, 1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, -1, 0, 1)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^5$, and let $L(E) = U$. Find $F \subseteq E$ such that $L(F) = U$ and F is linearly independent.

Solution: $(2, 1, -1, 0, 1) = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1) + (1, 1, 0, 1, 1) - (0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. This means $(2, 1, -1, 0, 1)$ is linearly dependent on a set $\{(1, 1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1, 1)\}$. Therefore, let $F = E \setminus \{(2, 1, -1, 0, 1)\}$. Then we have $L(F) = L(E) = U$ by Theorem 2.

Now let's prove that F is linearly independent. Assume not. Then we have:

$$r_1(1, 1, 0, 0, 1) + r_2(1, 1, 0, 1, 1) + r_3(0, 1, 1, 1, 1) = \vec{0} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

$$((r_1+r_2), (r_1+r_2+r_3), r_3, (r_2+r_3), (r_1+r_2+r_3)) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \text{ We get } r_3 = 0. \quad r_2+r_3 = 0 \implies r_2 = 0.$$

$$r_1 + r_2 = 0 \implies r_1 = 0. \text{ However, by the definition, it has to be non-trivial linear combination.}$$

Therefore, F is linearly independent.

Problem 4

Suppose that $E \subseteq F$ are sets of vectors in V , a vector space. Prove that if F is linearly independent, so is E .

Proof. Assume not. Assume F is linearly independent, but E is linearly dependent. By the defn, $\exists A_1, \dots, A_k \in E$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ (not all zeros), such that $a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_k A_k = \vec{0}$.

$E \subseteq F \implies A_1, \dots, A_k \in F$. Denote those vectors that are in F but not in E as B_1, \dots, B_k . Then we have $a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_k A_k + 0B_1 + \dots + 0B_k = (a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_k A_k) + 0(B_1 + \dots + B_k) = \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}$. This implies that F is linearly dependent (by the definition), which contradicts our initial assumption. Therefore, if F is linearly independent, so is E .

□

Problem 5

Suppose that $E \subseteq F$ are sets of vectors in V , a vector space. Prove that if E is linearly dependent, so is F .

Proof. By the defn, $\exists A_1, \dots, A_k \in E$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ (not all zeros), such that $a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_k A_k = \vec{0}$. Denote those vectors that are in F but not in E as B_1, \dots, B_k . Then we have $a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_k A_k + 0B_1 + \dots + 0B_k = (a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_k A_k) + 0(B_1 + \dots + B_k) = \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}$. This implies that F is linearly dependent by the definition. □