>>> Understanding VADER

>>> Using LIME to interpret black-box models

Name: Arturo Soberón Date: May 18, 2022

[-]\$ \_

>>> Table of Contents

- ${\tt 1. \ Complexity-Interpretability \ trade-off}$
- 2. VADER for NLP
- 3. LIME
- 4. Battlefield 2042
- 5. Next steps

#### >>> Black-Box Models

High-complexity Machine Learning models are capable of making really accurate predictions. Sadly, this gain in accuracy is often accompanied by a loss in interpretability.

A black-box model is a software that receives an input, returns an output and everything that happens in between is a mystery.

Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations (LIME) makes many modifications to a given observation  $(x_0)$  and fits an interpretable model on these new data points to explain the decision making process of a black-box model.

# >>> VADER

VADER is a Natural Language Processing (NLP) model that receives a text and, among other things, replies with a score that measures its negativity. 1

$$x_o \to f(\cdot) \to y_0$$

As with most NLP models, VADER serves requests as a black-box model.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hutto et al., 2014

[2. VADER for NLP]\$ \_

# >>> Example

For example, the text:

Dear Dice,

This game sucks. The specialists are trash. This trailer was a lie. I want my money back.

receives a negative score of 0.112.

What drove this black-box model to make this prediction?

- \* Does the word *Dear* reduce the negative score?
- \* Do the words sucks and trash increase the negative score?

[2. VADER for NLP]\$ \_ [5/11]

# >>> LIME Procedure

LIME uses simple *surrogate* models to explain *individual* predictions of a black-box Machine Learning model.

\* LIME does not train a simple global model Given a data point  $x_0$  and a predicted value  $f(x_0)$ , LIME makes many slight variations to  $x_0$  to test what happens to the predictions made by the complex model.<sup>2</sup>

$$(x_0, f(x_0)) \to \{(x_0^1, f(x_0^1)), ..., (x_0^n, f(x_0^n))\}$$

LIME then fits an interpretable model to the data such that

$$\min_{g} \mathcal{L}(f, g, \pi_x) + \Omega(g)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ribeiro et al., 2016

### >>> Pseudo-code

- 1. Select data point of interest  $x_0$
- 2. Feed  $x_0$  to  $f(\cdot)$  to obtain  $y_0$
- 3. Make multiple modifications around  $x_{\mathrm{0}}$
- 4. Get the predictions from the perturbed data set
- 5. Fit a simple model to this data set
- 6. Explain  $f(x_0)$  by interpreting the local model

For NLP models, LIME takes out words from the original text  $x_0$  to create the perturbed data set.

[7/11] [3. LIME]\$ \_

### >>> Battlefield 2042

I mined a few thousand comments from Battlefield 2042's launch trailer. I chose to study Battlefield 2042 because it was a highly-anticipated yet poorly-received game.

One of the biggest games of the year on one of the most popular digital stores in the world on one of the biggest gaming platforms in the world [...] isn't able to keep up with Farming Simulator 22.3

Due to the game's popularity and poor reception by the general public, the comment section is large and overwhelmingly negative, making it a good case study for this project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Kotaku, 2021

>>> Example

Given  $x_0$ :

How tf they managed to screw this up?

We create the following perturbed data set:										
How	tf	they	managed	to	screw	this	up?	weight	$\mathtt{score}^0$	$\mathtt{score}^1$
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0.750	0.167	0.167
1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0.875	0.167	0.000
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.875	0.167	0.149
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.875	0.167	0.149
1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0.750	0.167	0.167

By fitting

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{p=1}^{8} \beta_p x_{ip})^2 + 0.1 \sum_{p=1}^{8} |\beta_p|$$

we get the following explanation at  $x_0$ :

Word	Coef
How	0.43
tf	0.43
they	0.00
managed	0.11
to	0.00
screw	1.62
this	0.00
up?	0.00

# >>> Next Steps

- 1. Tune  $\lambda$
- 2. Formalize code for LIME procedure
  - \* Remove n-grams?
- 3. Generalize results for all 5K comments?
- 4. Written document
- 5. Web app?