

# **Credit Card Default Prediction**

Low-Level Design

K. Arunkumar 17/11/2023

#### Contents

#### **Abstract**

Introduction

What is Low-Level design document?

Scope

### 2. Technical Specification

Dataset

**Dataset Overview** 

Input Schema

**Predicting Credit Default** 

Logging

Deployment

- 3. Architecture
- 4. Architecture Description

**Data Description** 

**Data Exploration** 

Feature Engineering

Train/Test Split

**Model Building** 

Save the Model

Cloud Setup & Pushing the App to the Cloud

Application Start and Input Data by the User

Prediction

5. Unit Test Cases

# **Abstract**

Credit risk plays a major role in the banking industry business. Banks' main activities involve granting loans, credit cards, investments, mortgages, and others. Credit card has been one of the most booming financial services by banks over the past years. However, with the growing number of credit card users, banks have been facing an escalating credit card default rate. As such data analytics can provide solutions to tackle the current phenomenon and management of credit risks. This project discusses the implementation of a model which predicts if a given credit card holder has a probability of defaulting in the following month, using their demographic data and behavioral data from the past 6 months.

## 1. Introduction

### Why this Low-Level Design Document?

The purpose of this document is to present a detailed description of the Deep EHR System. It will explain the purpose and features of the system, the interfaces of the system, what the system will do, the constraints under which it must operate, and how the system will react to external stimuli. This document is intended for both the stakeholders and the developers of the system and will be proposed to the higher management for its approval.

### Scope


This software system will be a Web application. This system will be designed to predict the customers' probability of defaulting credit payments at the earliest for better disease management and improved interventions using previous EHR records available. This system is designed to predict the credit card default from customers' information such as demographics, credit payment history, etc.

# 2. Technical Specifications Dataset

File Name	Finaliz ed	Source
UCI_Credit_Card .csv	Yes	https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/de faultof-credit-card-clients-dataset

### **Dataset Overview**

The data file consists of one table, UCI\_Credit\_Card, containing the personal information and historic data about the payments made in the previous 6 months (April to September, in this context), of about 30000 customers.



### **Input Schema**

Feature Name	Datatype	Null/Required
	Datatype	
ID	Integer	Required
LIMIT_BAL	Integer	Required
SEX	Integer	Required
EDUCATION	Integer	Required
MARRIAGE	Integer	Required
AGE	Integer	Required
PAY_0	Integer	Required
PAY_2	Integer	Required
PAY_3	Integer	Required
PAY_4	Integer	Required
PAY_5	Integer	Required
PAY_6	Integer	Required
BILL_AMT1	Integer	Required
BILL_AMT2	Integer	Required
BILL_AMT3	Integer	Required
BILL_AMT4	Integer	Required
BILL_AMT5	Integer	Required
BILL_AMT6	Integer	Required
PAY_AMT1	Integer	Required
PAY_AMT2	Integer	Required
PAY_AMT3	Integer	Required
PAY_AMT4	Integer	Required
PAY_AMT5	Integer	Required
PAY_AMT6	Integer	Required
default.payment.next.month	Integer	Required

## **Predicting Credit Fault**

- The system presents the set of inputs from the user.
- The user gives required information.
- The system should be able to predict whether the customer is likely to default in the following month.

### Logging


We should be able to log every activity done by the user.

- The System identifies at what step logging required.
- The System should be able to log each and every system flow.
- Developers can choose logging methods. You can choose database logging/ File logging as well.
- System should not be hung even after using so many loggings. Logging just because we can easily debug issues so logging is mandatory to do.

### **Deployment**

Deployed in Streamlit Cloud.

# 3. Architecture



# 4. Architecture Description

### **Data Description**

This dataset is taken from kaggle(url: <a href="https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/defaultof-credit-card-clients-dataset">https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/defaultof-credit-card-clients-dataset</a>). It contains information on default payments, demographic factors, credit data, history of payment, and bill statements of credit card clients in Taiwan from April 2005 to September 2005.

#### Content There are 25 variables:

- ID: ID of each client
- LIMIT\_BAL: Amount of given credit in NT dollars (includes individual and family/supplementary credit
- SEX: Gender
  - 1=male,
  - o 2=female
- EDUCATION:
  - 1=graduate school,
  - o 2=university,
  - 3=high school,
  - o 0, 4, 5, 6=others)
- MARRIAGE: Marital status
  - 1=married,
  - o 2=single,
  - o 3=divorce,
  - 0=others
- AGE: Age in years
- PAY\_0: Repayment status in September, 2005
  - -2: No consumption;

- -1: Paid in full;
- 0: The use of revolving credit;
- 1 = payment delay for one month;
- o 2 = payment delay for two months; . . .;
- 8 = payment delay for eight months;
- 9 = payment delay for nine months and above.
- PAY\_2: Repayment status in August, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY\_3: Repayment status in July, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY\_4: Repayment status in June, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY\_5: Repayment status in May, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY\_6: Repayment status in April, 2005 (scale same as above)
- BILL\_AMT1: Amount of bill statement in September, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL\_AMT2: Amount of bill statement in August, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL\_AMT3: Amount of bill statement in July, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL\_AMT4: Amount of bill statement in June, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL\_AMT5: Amount of bill statement in May, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL\_AMT6: Amount of bill statement in April, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY\_AMT1: Amount of previous payment in September, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY\_AMT2: Amount of previous payment in August, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY\_AMT3: Amount of previous payment in July, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY\_AMT4: Amount of previous payment in June, 2005 (NT)

dollar)

- PAY\_AMT5: Amount of previous payment in May, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY\_AMT6: Amount of previous payment in April, 2005 (NT dollar)
- default.payment.next.month: Default payment
  - 1=yes,
  - 0=no

### **Data Exploration**

We divide the data into two types: numerical and categorical. We explore through each type one by one. Within each type, we explore, visualize and analyze each variable one by one and note down our observations. We also make some minor changes in the data like change column names for convenience in understanding.

### **Feature Engineering**

We created a new feature by taking the average of all 6 columns of the Bill Amount

### Train/Test Split

Split the data into 75% train set and 25% test set.

### **Model Building**

Built models and trained and tested the data on the models. Compared the performance of each model and selected the best one.

### Save the model

Saved the model by converting into a pickle file.

### Cloud Setup & Pushing the App to the Cloud

Selected Streamlit Cloud for deployment. Loaded the application files from Github to Streamlit Cloud.

### **Application Start and Input Data by the User**

Start the application and enter the inputs.

### **Prediction**

After the inputs are submitted the application runs the model and makes predictions. The out is displayed as a message indicating whether the customer whose demographic and behavioral data are entered as inputs, is likely to default in the following month or not.

# 5. Unit Test Cases

Test Case Description	Pre-Requisite	Expected Result
Verify whether the	1. Application URL	The application URL
Application URL is	should	should be
accessible to the user	be defined	accessible to the user
Verify whether the	1. Application URL is	The Application should
Application loads	accessible	load
completely for the user	2. Application is	completely for the user
when the URL is	deployed	when
accessed		the URL is accessed
Verify whether the user	1. Application URL is	The user should be
is able	accessible	able to see
to see input fields on	2. Application is	input fields on logging
logging in	deployed	in
Verify whether the user	1. Application URL is	The user should be
is able	accessible	able to edit
to edit all input fields	2. Application is	all input fields
	deployed	
Verify whether a user	1. Application URL is	The user should get
gets	accessible	Submit
Submit button to submit	2. Application is	button to submit the
the	deployed	inputs
inputs		

Verify whether the user	1. Application URL is	The user should be
is	accessible	presented
presented with	2. Application is	with recommended
recommended results	deployed	results on
on		clicking submit
clicking submit		