

## Axiomatic Approach

- 1) Explain the concept of Kolmogorov's Axiomatic def<sup>n</sup> of probability. Using this show that —
- i)  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ , when  $\emptyset$  is null set.
  - ii)  $P(A) \leq 1$ , for any event  $A$ .

Sol<sup>n</sup>

Axiomatic Definition :— Let  $\Omega$  be the sample space of a random experiment and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of events of  $\Omega$ . A set function  $P(\cdot)$  defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a probability measure if it satisfies the following conditions:

Axiom I (Axiom of non-negativity):  $P(A) \geq 0 \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Axiom II (Axiom of unit-norm):  $P(\Omega) = 1$ .

Axiom III (Axiom of countable additivity): If  $A_i, i=1(1)\infty$  be a disjoint sequence of events in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

In axiomatic approach probability is regarded as a set function

- i) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be events in  $\mathcal{A} \ni A_i = \emptyset, \forall i$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$  and since  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \cap \emptyset = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ .

Then  $A_i$ 's are also mutually exclusive (i.e. disjoint)

$\therefore$  By the axiom of countable additivity, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

$$\text{or, } P(\emptyset) = P(\emptyset) + P(\emptyset) + P(\emptyset) + \dots$$

But this can happen if either  $P(\emptyset) = 0$  or,  $P(\emptyset) = \infty$  or  $-\infty$ . But since  $P$  is a finite real valued function, so  $P(\emptyset) = \infty$  or  $-\infty$  is not possible.

So,  $P(\emptyset) = 0$ . (Proved)

- ii) As  $A \subset \Omega$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

$$\Rightarrow P(A) \leq P(\Omega).$$

Now, from the axiom of unit norm, we know  $P(\Omega) = 1$ .

So, we get  $\rightarrow P(A) \leq 1$  for any event  $A$ .

(OR)

$$A \cup A^c = \Omega, \quad A \cap A^c = \phi, \quad A \subset A^c$$

by finite additivity of  $P[\cdot]$ ,

$$P[A \cup A^c] = P[A] + P[A^c] - P[A \cap A^c]$$

$$\therefore P(\Omega) = P(A) + P(A^c)$$

$$0 \leq P(A^c) = 1 - P(A), \text{ by Axiom I.}$$

$$\therefore P[A] \leq 1.$$

2) (a) Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be  $n$  events  $\ni P(A_i) = 1$

$$\forall i = 1(1)n.$$

(b) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be the events  $\ni P(A_i) = 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots$

then show that  $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 0.$

(c) If the events  $A_i$ 's are mutually exclusive and exhaustive events of  $\Omega, i = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_i P(A_i) = 1.$$

Sol<sup>n</sup>  $\rightarrow$

(a) If  $A_i, i = 1(1)n$  be events in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then Bonferroni inequality gives—

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - n + 1 \quad \text{--- (i)}$$

From the axiom of unit norm,  $P(\Omega) = 1.$

As  $A \subset \Omega, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$

$$\therefore P(A) \leq P(\Omega) = 1 \quad \therefore P(A) \leq 1 \quad \text{--- (ii)}$$

Here,  $P(A_i) = 1, \forall i = 1(1)n$  --- (iii)

So, From (i), (ii), (iii) we get  $P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = 1.$

(b) If  $P(A_i) = 0$ , we know from Boole's inequality

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) \text{ and } P(A) \geq 0.$$

So, if  $P(A_i) = 0, \forall i \geq 1$ , we get,  $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = 0.$

Hence the result is proved.

(c) Since,  $A_i$ 's are exhaustive events, then  $\bigcup_i A_i = \Omega$

$$\therefore P\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) = P(\Omega) = 1.$$

Again  $A_i$ 's are mutually exclusive,  $P\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) = P\left(\sum_i A_i\right)$

$$\therefore P\left(\sum_i A_i\right) = 1 \quad \text{i.e. } \sum_i P(A_i) = 1.$$

[By the principle of countable additivity of  $P(\cdot)$ ]