Homework 6: Naive Bayes for Sentiment Analysis

Yannet Interian

July 29, 2014

1 Introduction

In this project we consider the problem of classifying a document by the "sentiment." We are going to write a classifier that predicts whether a movie review is positive or negative. We are using a dataset set of movie reviews. Download the data for the project at http://www.cs.cornell.edu/people/Pabo/movie-review-data/. Click on "polarity dataset v2.0".

1.1 Naive Bayes for document classification

Given a document d and a class c, one approach to text classification is to assign to a given document the class

$$c^* = argmax_c P(c|d)$$

We can substitute P(c|d), using Baye's rule with $P(c|d) = \frac{P(c)P(d|c)}{P(d)}$, getting the formula $c^* = argmax_c \frac{P(c)P(d|c)}{P(d)}$. Note that for a fixed document d, P(d) is a constant so the following formula is equivalent:

$$c^* = argmax_c P(c) P(d|c)$$

We can represent a document d as a sequence of words $d = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$. To estimate P(d|c), Naive Bayes assumes that each word is conditionally independent given the a class.

$$c^* = argmax_c P(c) \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(w_i|c)$$

If M is the number of unique words in d and $n_i(d)$ is the count of word w_i in d, we can write the following equivalent formula.

$$c^* = argmax_c P(c) \prod_{i=1}^{M} P(w_i|c)^{n_i(d)}$$
(1)

1.2 Underflow Prevention: log space

Multiplying lots of probability can result in floating-point underflow. It is better to sum logs of probabilities instead of multiplying probabilities. Using log(xy) = log(x) + log(y), we can write (1) as:

$$c^* = argmax_c \log(P(c) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i(d) \cdot \log(P(w_i|c))$$
 (2)

1.3 Parameter Estimation with add 1 smoothing

The maximum likelihood estimator for P(w|c) is $\frac{count(w,c)}{count(c)} = \frac{\text{counts } w \text{ in class } c}{\text{counts of words in class } c}$. This estimation of P(w|c) could be problematic since it would give us probability 0 for documents with unknown words. A common way of solving this problem is to use Laplace smoothing. Let V be the set of words in the training set, add a new element UNK (for unknown) to the set of words. Define

$$P(w|c) = \frac{count(w,c) + 1}{count(c) + |V| + 1}$$

In particular, any unknown word will have probability $\frac{1}{count(c)+|V|+1}$. What is P(c) for this dataset?

1.4 Evaluation

For each class, randomly divide your reviews into "training" and "testing". Take 2/3 of the reviews for training, these reviews are going to be used for learning the parameters. The rest of the reviews are used for evaluating the algorithm.

1.5 Evaluation Metric

 $\label{eq:accuracy} \text{Accuracy of the testing set} = 100 \cdot \frac{\text{Number of test docs classified correctly}}{\text{Total number of test documents}}$

2 Naive Bayes for Sentiment Analysis

Name you code *naive-bayes.py*. **Implement a Naive Bayes algorithm** for the sentiment analysis project in python using the following steps:

1. For each iteration

- (a) Randomly divide your data in training and testing using 1/3 for testing and 2/3 for training.
- (b) Use the training set to estimate the parameters P(w|c) and P(c) as described in section 1.3.
- (c) For every document in the testing set use equation (2) to compute P(c|d) and predict the class c^* .
- (d) Compute the accuracy of the testing set as described in section 1.5.
- 2. Do at least 3 iterations to compute the average accuracy as your performance metric.
- 3. Give a directory with text files "my_directory". I should be able to run your code using the command line:

python naive-bayes.py -d my_directory

4. Print results for each iteration, output the key metrics as in the example below.

```
iteration 1:
num_pos_test_docs:333
num_post_training_docs:667
num_pos_correct_docs:267
num_neg_test_docs:331
num_neg_training_docs:669
num_neg_correct_docs:261
accuracy:79%
iteration 2:
...
iteration 3:
...
ave_accuracy:80.3%
```