\* Wellesley's role: In 1800: he set up the Fort William College for training of new (1798-1805)

recruits

1806: this was rejected by court of Directors

4 ELC College was set up at Haileybury, England for

2 yes training to necruits.

\* Charter Act of 1833: no open competition

\* Charter Act of 1853: merit based system of recruitment ending Elc's patronage

based on recommendation of TB Macaulay, head of

Civil Service Commission

Reasons for exclusion of Indians:

· Indians were incapable

only English could serve British interests

3. already high competition among Europeans

\* Proclamation of 1858: in intention to include Indians

\* Indian civil service Act, 1861: 1 exam to be held in England in English & nequires learning of Greek & Latin.

· certain offices were reserved for commenanted civil services.

3. Max age → 23 (in 1859) to 19 (in 1878) reduced

→ In 1863: Satyendra North Tagore became first Indian to qualify for Ics

An 1878-79: Lytton introduced statutory civil service, where 1/6th of covernanted posts to be tilled by andians of high tamilies through nominations by local gove this nequires approval of SoS k viceroy.

this system failed k was abolished.

- \* congress demands 1 lowering age for neuruitment

  (in 1885) 2 conducting exam in India & Britain.
- \* Aitchison Committee on Public Senvices, 1886 (set up by Dufferin)

Recommendations: 1 dropping covenanted k uncovenanted terms.

- 2. classification of civil service into Amperial Andian civil service (exam in England), Provincial Civil Service K
  Subordinate Civil Service (both exams in Andia)
- s raising age limit to 23
- \* Montford Reforms, 1919: 1 recommended holding of simultaneous examination (implemented in 1922)
  - 2 Yord recruibments from India itself
- \* Lee Commission, 1924: secommended:
  - 1. Public service commission to be set up
  - 2. direct recruitment to 10s on 50:50 basis between and 12 k Europeans be reached in 15 yrs
  - 3. recruitment for transferred subjects be made by provincial govts.
  - 4. Sos should continue to recruit 105, IFS etc.
- \* GOT ALL, 1935: Hecommended establishment of Federal-PSC. & Provincial PSC.
- ✓ European supremay roas ensured in civil service.

- \* Evolution of Police system in Modern India
- → Prie-colonial era: No separate on formal police system.
- > In Mughal era:

faujdars → helped in maintaing law k orden

amils -> nevenue collectors

Kotival -> maintenance of law konder in cities

4n 1770 → arrils k favjdars abolished

en 1791: cornivatios organised regular police force

4 moderning old system of thanas, SP-head of district

4 zamindars - relieved of police date duties.

1808: Mayo appointed 8P for each district assisted by spies.

Bentick (1828-35): abolished SP office

· collector / magistrate now head of police force in his jurisdiction

L badly organised

\* Police Commission (1860): led to Indian Police Act, 1861

recommendations:

4 system of civil constabulary

4 IG as head in province, DIG-head in range

sp - head of district

→ All India Police was not created

(2)

gave quidelines for uniform police set up in provinces.

R Police Commission (1902): recommended establishment of CID in provinces and Central Intelligence Bureau at centre

Before 1857 Revolt, military forces included

Queen's Army (British troops on duty in Ind)

company's troops - decruited is maintained by EIC mix of Europeans + natives recruited locally

After 1857, there was systematic neonganisation of Army:

- · domination of European branch over Indian branch of army.
- · commissions of 1859 k 1879 insisted on 1/34d white army (before 1857-147.)
- · final composition of Europeans: Indians

n Bengal

Bombay k Madras 2:5

- · strict European monopoly in key geographic locations and departments.
- · inferior quality rifles were given to analogue till 1900
- · till and would war, high -tech departments were not open for Indians.
- Indians were not allowed in higher ran officer rank
- · till 1914: an Indian could reach rank of Subedar After 1918: Indians were allowed in commissioned ranks
- 1926: Indian sandhurst committee was constituted to suggest Indianisation endian Army.
- \* Army commission of 1879: emphasised on divide k rule [natives against natives]
  - martial 12 non-martial races were developed

eg. Sikhs, Gurkhas, Pathans

- . who assisted in suppression of revolt
- · coming from relatively marginal social groups
- : will remain mostly unaffected by meillonaiton

eg. soldiers from Awadh, Bihar, Central India, south India

. who took part in sevolt

- > this discriminatory recruitment was done to justify that good soldiers could come only from specific communities.
  - → caste k communal based mechibments were introduced
- -> Indian army vous mixture of various socio-ethnic groups.
- → communal, caste k tribal consciousness was encouraged to check growth of nationalist feelings.

## \* Development of Judiciary in British India:

### Judiciary in Mughal era

- ~ no proper procedures, organisation of courts
- ~ no proper distribution of courts wrt orea
- V Hindu litigation carried out by easte elders/zamindars/village panchayats
- Muslim " " gazi (office held by neligious persons)
- √ Rajas k Badshahs fou ultimate head of justice (it could be arbitrary)
- → Mayor's Courts in Madras, Bombay & Calcutta in 1726 brought common law system based on recorded judicial precedents.

# Reforms under warren Hartings (1712-85)

eouats

Det Diwani Adalats

- > for civil disputes, under collection
- → Hindu laws for Hindus, Muslim law fox Muslims
- appeals lied to Saydar Divani Adalats

President + 2 members of Supreme Council

Det Fauzdari Adalate

- → for criminal disputes, under andian officer assisted by gazis k muftis
  - → also under general supervision of collectors.
  - > Muslim law administered
- > appears approval for capital punishment k acquisition of property lay to Sardar Nizamat Adalat

(contd.)
Sadar Nîzamat Adalat at Murshidabad
headed by deputy nizam, assisted by
chief gazi k chief mufti

→ Regulating Act of 1773: SC at Calcutta was established (oxiginal x appellate )
jurisdiction: all British subjects within Calcutta,
subordinate factories, including Indians
x Europeans

### Reforms under comucallis (1786-1793):

- V Det Fauzdani Courts abolished
- √ Sadar Nizam Adalat shifted to calcutta headed by Gov. General
- ✓ Dst Divari Adalat now became Dst., lity on zila lowst placed under district judge
- V <u>circuit courts</u> were set up at calcutta, Dacca,

  (Murshidabad k Patna.

had European judges k acted as courts of appeal for both civil and criminal cases.

- v collector only responsible for revenue administration, stripped of magisterial functions.
- of gradation of civil courts was established
- Comwallis code was laid out:
  - as separation of judiciary and nevenue administration
  - (6) Europeans also brought under jurisdiction
  - (c) gout officials answerable to civil courts for official actions.
  - (d) principle of sovereignty of law was established

#### Reforms under William Bentick (1828-1833):

- ~ circuit courts abolished
- √ option to use Persian on vernacular language (till earlier only Persian was official language in courts)
- ✓ In Sc, English supplaced Persian.
- 1833: Law Commission was set up under Macaulay for codification of Indian laws. CPC (1859), 1PC (1860), CTPC (1861) were prepared

→ GoI Act, 1935: provided for establishment of Federal Court (set up in 1937)

Hear limited appeals from HCs.

## Evaluation of judiciary:

#### tve

- · rule of law was established
- · codification of laws
- Europeans were brought into jurisdiction however in oriminal cases they could be tried by European judges only.
- · gout servants became answerable

#### -16

- · judicial system became mone complicated and expensive
  - · ample scope for false evidence, deceit
- · delayed justice
- · increased litigation k overturdened courts
- · European judges not familias with local traditions.

### Major changes in administration structure after 1857:

- → British wanted to avoid 1857 like situation. Certain changes were made in administrative structure.
  - 1. association of natives in administration
  - \* large scale British investment in railways, boans to GoI, tea plantations, mining, shipping, trade & banking.
  - → in new stage of colonialism began.

#### changes in central govt.

- √ GET Act, Act for Better GoI, 1858 : power transfer from ELC to Crown
  - \* Secretary of State + council (15 members) to assist (advisory body)
  - → Gov. General became vicerry
  - vicercy aristed by Executive Council

✓ Indian Councils Act, 1861: addition of jurist to viceray's Executive Council

· addition of 6-12 members (at least half as non-official

#### drawbacks:

- · no control over budget
- · could not discuss executive action
- · Viceroy's approval required for final passing of bill
- · Even if approved by Vicercy, sos could
- · Indian non-officials were members of elite section.
- · Vicerry would issue ordinances

## Changes in provincial govt

- · through Indian Councils Act, 1861 legislative powers of Madras & Bombay returned.
- · legislative councils were established in other provinces.
- · Bombay, Madrau K the calcutta, provinces enjoyed more rights k power.

  administered by Gov. General + Exe. Council (3 members appointed by Crown)
- · other provinces -> Lt Governous k chief commissioners (appointed by Gov. General)

  corried administration.
- · later, financial decentralisation began (to increase revenues & reduce expenditure)
- · 1870 Resolution by Mayo: granting fixed sum out of central revenues for certain services (police, jail, education.) to provincial govt.
- · 1877 dytton transferred other heads of expenditure like land nevenue, law & justice to provinces.
- · Provinces also got fixed income from income tax, stamp, excise.
- In 1882: all sources of nevenus were divided into: general (for centre)

  provincial (for provinces)

  k amount to be divided

  between centre k provinces.
- · central gout had complete control over provinces.

Changes in local bodies: decentralisation of administration by promoting local gove through municipalities and district boards

#### Mayo's Resolution of 1870:

1. provincial gout can resort to local taxation, apart from grant made by imperial gout.

# Rippon's Resolution of 1882: [Rippon - father of wal self-gout. in India]

- · desired to implement financial decentralisation in case of wrt local bodies
- · development of local bodies to improve administration
- " non-officials to be majority in these bodies.
- · It act as chairpersons to these bodies
- · minimum official interference

#### Royal Commission on decentralisation ( 1908:

- · pointed out the lack of financial resources as a major drawback in effective functioning.
- · emphasised that village panchayats should be given more powers.
- . " sub-district boards should be set up in every taluk on tehis.
- · withdraud on existing nesources restrictions on taxing powers.
- · municipalities might take nesponsibility of primary education.

#### GOT Resolution, 1915

- → log was transferred subject under GoI Act, 1919
- 7 each province could develop local self institutions according to provincial needs.
- -> provincial autonomy, under GoT Act, 1935, gave further push for development of log.