*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in this submission is likely AI generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?
The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?
Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.

AVIA2806 F02 fund. Aviation law and reg

Arun Chhibber

Assignment 1

I. Introduction: Genesis, Mandates, and Scope of Annex 2

1.1

1944, the International Civil Aviation Convention (ICAO) was established, which set regulatory requirement for a safe and orderly global air transport system. Due to the pressing need for uniform operational conduct, the Rules of the Air were given priority as a fundamental set of global procedures. The Air Traffic Control (RAC) Division made the initial recommendations for these standards in 1946. The resulting Standards and Recommended Practices were formally approved by the ICAO on April 15, 1948, under Article 37 were labeled as Annex 2. Annex 2 became operational on 15 September 1948 and was one of the first critical Annexes that were adopted by 1949, thereby indicating its foundational role in creating technical uniformity for international civil aviation.

1.2 Core Aims and Regulatory Objectives

The main goal of Annex 2 is to set up a universal and sturdy framework of specifications that, in their nature and through technical means, govern the flight of aircraft. It is the ultimate collection of "rules of the road" for aircraft, making sure that all the movements are up to the technical standard of safety which is the basis of the system.

Annex 2 outlines the specific operating conditions under which aircraft can be powered up within the area of a contracting party state and, more importantly, it creates a legal framework within which aircraft performing long haul flights over the seas would be operating. The Annex takes care of such matters as the operating rules in general (like the delegation of tasks to the commander and the submission of the flight plan), and the procedures to be followed in order not to collide with another aircraft. Further, it deals with the implementation of VFR and IFR, among others. Moreover, it also takes care of flight practices such as no less than specified minimum flight levels, prohibition or restriction on operation in certain airspace areas, and prior clearance needed for certain flight operations like acrobatic or formation flights.

II. The Unique Standardisation of Rules of the Air: Standards versus Recommended Practices

2.1 Conceptual Differentiation: The Binding Force of ICAO Standards

The ICAO the nature of the technical specification is likely to be Standards and Recommended Practice. (SARPs). Standards are mandatory specifications physical characteristics, performance requirements, or procedures which must be complied with by the States to secure and regularize international air navigation. Recommended Practices (RPs) are non-mandatory specifications that States are only encouraged to comply with. Under Article 38, States are obliged to inform ICAO about any discrepancies between national regulations and an International Standard, thus the transparency in the global procedures is maintained. On the other hand, differences from Recommended Practices are stated at the discretion of the States.

2.2 Rationale for Exclusively Mandatory Provisions: The Case of Annex

Annex 2 is one of the few core Annexes along with Annexes 5,7 and 8 that include only International Standards and explicitly no Recommended Practices .7 While the Annex was first adopted with both Standards and RPs in 1948 2, a major policy change took place with Amendment 1, adopted in November 1951, which completely revised the text and deleted all Recommended Practices.2

The reason to impose 'Standards only' rule is connected directly to reducing the operational risk of collision avoidance. Flight governing procedures like right-of-way rules or VFR meteorological minima, require absolute, non-negotiable uniformity. If these critical procedures were RPs, States could legally deviate without immediate, mandatory notification, hence introducing systemic uncertainty into the core function of separating aircraft. By employing only Standards, Annex 2 guarantees observance or mandatory notification of variances for all Contracting States, thus maintaining the principle that safety in basic flight operations demands the highest practicable degree of uniformity.

2.3 Analysis of Key Binding Standards

The standards set forth in Annex 2 dictate critical operational decisions and offer no optional guidance at all. The Right-of-Way Standards, for example, are entirely compulsory. They specify in detail the steps to be taken when two aircraft are approaching head on or making a turn towards each other, thus placing one of the aircraft in the obligated position to avoid passing over, under, or in front of the other (considering air turbulence at that moment), while the other maintains its arc and speed. In the same way, VFR Conditions concerning flight visibility (e.g., 5 km minimum in specific airspaces) and distances from clouds are established as Standards, thus making them a must to provide pilots with appropriate vision to watch and evade other traffic and obstacles.

III. Translation and Implementation: Annex 2 and National Aviation Regulations

3.1 The Regulatory Imperative: Annex 2 as the Blueprint for National Regulations

By incorporating ICAO Standards into their domestic aviation laws, the Contracting States will meet the requirements. Since all the provisions in Annex 2 are compulsory, the States must impose national rules that either mirror these practices or are equivalent or stricter than the ICAO baseline. The EASA (European Union Aviation Safety Agency) and other international organizations assist Member States in the process of implementation and navigate through the legal positions so that the transposition of the ICAO Standards will take place uniformly in the EU legislation.

3.2 Implementation in US: Correlation with FARS

In the USA, the basic rules of operation are laid down in Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which comprises. This part mainly gets its influence from Annex 2.

The FAA states very clearly that compliance with ICAO Annex 2 is necessary when an aircraft is flying over the high seas according to FAR 91.703. This requirement makes the Annex part of U.S. law for international operations. This way, Annex 2 acts as a law without borders, thus keeping the regulatory gap where national jurisdiction ends, and making it uncertain. Moreover, regulators in different countries can allow local specifications which are covered by Annex 2 for instance, even though Annex 2 uses vague terms, U.S may impose specific definitions regarding what constitutes the 'lowest layer' when defining 'Ceiling'.

3.3 Implementation in Canada: Integration within the Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARS)

Canada's implementation of the Rules is done through Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARS). The corresponding operational framework is mostly covered in Part General Operating and Flight Rules. CARS requires that all aircraft operations - whether in flight or on the movement area of the airfield - follow the general rules as well as either visual or instrument flight rules.

Certain specific provisions within CARS, for instance, those that not allowing flying in a published prohibited area or restricted area unless certain conditions are satisfied, are in line with the core Annex 2 mandate. Transport Canada applies the Annex 2 structure as the authoritative blueprint, thus guaranteeing that the country’s domestic operational law has regulatory transparency and is consistent with the international safety standard.

IV. Evolution of Annex 2: Significant Improvements and Technological Developments

4.1 Continuous Review and Amendment History

ICAO SARPs are the subject of an ongoing review and revision process, which takes into account the latest understanding, risk areas, and technologies that are just starting to emerge. Since 1948 Annex 2 has seen a lot of changes.The first changes dealt with basic matters like the use of marshalling signals and the banning of VFR flying at night in controlled airspace. The later changes were more about admin and safety and covered things like giving guidance on unlawful interference (Amendment 17) and the standardization of cruising levels (Amendment 42).12 ICAO SARPs are the subject of an ongoing review and revision process, which takes into account the latest understanding, risk areas, and technologies that are just starting to emerge.1 Since 1948 Annex 2 has seen a lot of changes. The first changes dealt with basic matters like the use of marshalling signals and the banning of VFR flying at night in controlled airspace. The later changes were more about admin and safety and covered things like giving guidance on unlawful interference (Amendment 17) and the standardization of cruising levels (Amendment 42).

4.2 The Paradigm Shift: RPAS

Integration of RPAS has been the biggest success story of the Annex, as it is a complete overhaul of the airworthiness and operational rules for the pilots who fly in the cockpit. This process started with the adoption of Amendment 43 in 2012, which brought the term "remotely piloted aircraft" into the scope of aviation and constituted the Appendix 4: Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems.

4th Appendix laid down the legal groundwork envisioning safe RPAS operations that would be free from any harm to people, buildings, or in the case of other aircraft – their operation. Some of these important rules are:

- RPAS are not allowed to perform international navigation without the approval of the State of Origin.

- Crossing the territory of another country is only permitted if specific authorization is granted, often through treaties.

- Conducting operations over the ocean requires notice to and coordination with the relevant Air Traffic Services (ATS) authority beforehand.

4.3 Advanced RPAS Amendments (46, 47, 48)

The following changes have been mainly about the elimination of loopholes in the regulation and combining the operation of complex RPAS:

Amendment No.	Adoption Year	Key Subject	Operational Significance
43	2012	Addition of Appendix 4 and definitions for RPA 12	Recognized the formal participation and fundamental safety requirement for RPAS operations
46	2018	Remote Pilot Licensing System	Specified the human factor (remote pilot) that is essential for operating under the "Rules of the Air."
47	2021	RPAS Certificates/Authorizations; C2 Link Definitions	Connected the regulatory structure to particular technology performance needed for safe flight control.
48	2024 (Applicable 2026)	International RPAS Operations in Controlled Airspace	Unifies procedures for the frequent and complex integration in the airspace shared, with the emphasis on system reliability.

This development means that Annex 2 is continually increasing its influence to oversee the technical performance of RPAS systems (such as Command and Control (C2) Link integrity) as a way of ensuring adherence to the main collision avoidance Standards, thereby facilitating the safe integration of Advanced Air Mobility (AAM).

Summary and Evaluation: Contribution to Aviation Safety and Stakeholder Benefits

5.1 Primary Safety Contribution: The Prevention of Operational Conflict

ICAO Annex 2 plays a pivotal role in aviation safety by setting a globally recognized and compulsory operational ground level. As it consists solely of Standards, it eliminates any chance of misunderstanding or voluntary compliance in crucial flight phases like separation and right-of-way procedures.5 This total uniformity makes it certain that the manner of flying is same and similar no matter where the aircraft comes from or where it is located thus, offering a trustworthy, worldwide safety envelope for all civil aviation movements and lowering the chances of operational conflict to a great extent.

5.2 Stakeholder Impact Assessment

The implementation of Annex 2 Standards has resulted in the following advantages for different aviation stakeholders:

- Air Traffic Services (ATS) / Air Navigation Service Providers (ANSP): The ATS units Establishments directly depend on the operational reliability of Annex 2. The mandatory regulations will be the fundamental inputs for procedures that are more detailed, like the Procedures for Air Navigation Services. Uniformity permits controllers to predict the aircraft behavior with high reliability and apply the minimum separation that is appropriate.

- Airlines and Commercial Operators: Airlines take advantage of operations that are not only more efficient but also cheaper because of the elimination of training costs to the minimum through the global use of one and the same, i.e., consistent, individualised standards by the flight crews that promote operational efficiency between different countries.

- Nationwide Aviation Regulators (e.g., FAA, Transport Canada, EASA): The national bodies consider Annex 2 the decisive reference point in the international context to which all minimum safety requirements are agreed and their respective regulatory alignment ensured. FARS, CARS) which are based on the licensing documents and regulations of the authorities provide global consensus on minimum safety requirements and ensure regulatory alignment.

- Aviation Incident Investigators: Role of Annex 2 in the investigation of aircraft accidents is very important. Methodologies of Annex 13 Aircraft Accident and Incident Investigation rely on objective standards (like mandatory VFR weather minima or right-of-way rules) that are made clear by the rules coming from Annex 2, which are now very clear and therefore easier to work with. The latter grants the possibility to accurate forensic analysis, and the safety recommendations will then be directly linked to this.

- Unmanned Aviation Sector: For the rapidly evolving RPAS sector, Appendix 4 offers the legal pathway for routine international operations. Compliance with standards on licensing and authorization (Amendments 46-48) is a prerequisite for obtaining the credibility that is necessary for the safe integration into non-segregated airspace .

5.3 Final Conclusion: The Enduring Necessity of Harmonized Air Rules.

Annex 2, Air Regulation, is the most important and urgent implementation of the Chicago Convention's order for aviation control. The basic decision to keep only necessary International Standards secures an operational level that cannot be changed, which has allowed for the predictable and safe transportation of air for more than seventy years. Its continual importance is confirmed by its active change, especially the acceptance of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems through Appendix 4, showing that the

Annex is still the definitive, credible, and enforceable framework for safe flight operation in the worldwide airspace.

Civil Aviation Authority. (2019). *Civil aviation regulation: CAR 180—Rules of the air*. Retrieved from https://www.caa.gov.om/upload/files/regulations/civil-aviation-regulation/rules-of-the-air.pdf

Central European Air Traffic Services. (n.d.). *Minimum flight altitudes and VFR rules - ENR 1.2*. Retrieved from https://aim.rlp.cz/eaip/html/eAIP/LK-ENR-1.2-en-GB.html

European Union Aviation Safety Agency. (n.d.). *Cooperation with the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)*. Retrieved from https://www.easa.europa.eu/en/light/topics/cooperation-international-civil-aviation-organization-icao

Federal Aviation Administration. (n.d.). *Aeronautical Information Publication: GEN 1.7 Differences from ICAO SARPs*. Retrieved from https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/atpubs/aip_html/part1_gen_section_1.7.html

International Civil Aviation Organization. (n.d.). *Air transport policy and regulation*. Retrieved from https://www.icao.int/air-transport-policy-and-regulation

International Civil Aviation Organization. (n.d.). *Annex 2 – Rules of the air*. ICAO Postal History. Retrieved from https://applications.icao.int/postalhistory/annex_2_rules_of_the_air.htm

International Civil Aviation Organization. (n.d.). *Setting the standards: ICAO's annexes to the Chicago Convention*. Uniting Aviation. Retrieved from https://unitingaviation.com/news/safety/setting-the-standards-icaos-annexes-to-the-chicago-convention/

International Civil Aviation Organization. (n.d.). *Unmanned aviation (UA)*. Retrieved from https://www.icao.int/UA

International Civil Aviation Organization. (2017). *International Standards and Recommended Practices—Rules of the air (Annex 2)* (9th ed.). Retrieved from https://www.pilot18.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Pilot18.com-ICAO-Annex-2-Rules-of-air.pdf

International Civil Aviation Organization. (2024). *Annex 2: Rules of the air* (11th ed., Amendment 48). Retrieved from https://www.bazl.admin.ch/dam/bazl/it/dokumente/Fachleute/Regulationen_und_Grundlagen/icao-annex/icao_annex_2_rulesoftheair.pdf.download.pdf/icao_annex_2_rulesoftheair.pdf

Skybrary. (n.d.). *Amendment 43 to Annex 2: Remotely piloted aircraft systems*. Retrieved from https://skybrary.aero/bookshelf/amendment-43-annex-2-rules-air-appendix-4-remotely-piloted-aircraft-systems

Skybrary. (n.d.). *ICAO annexes and doc series*. Retrieved from https://skybrary.aero/articles/icao-annexes-and-doc-series

Skybrary. (n.d.). *Manual on accident and incident investigation policies and procedures (Doc 9962)*. Retrieved from https://skybrary.aero/sites/default/files/bookshelf/3282.pdf

Skybrary. (n.d.). *Remotely piloted aircraft systems (Circular 328)*. Retrieved from https://skybrary.aero/sites/default/files/bookshelf/3203.pdf

U.S. Government Publishing Office. (2024). *14 CFR Part 91: General operating and flight rules* (Federal Aviation Regulations). Electronic Code of Federal Regulations. Retrieved from https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-14/chapter-I/subchapter-F/part-91