

Problem 1.6.30

Fix an $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. We apply the result of Exercise 28 to guarantee the existence of two intervals I_1, I_2 such that $m(-E \cap I_1) > (1 - \epsilon)m(I_1)$ and $m(F \cap I_2) > (1 - \epsilon)m(I_2)$. Without loss of generality suppose that $m(I_1) \leq m(I_2)$. This means that we can translate I_1 by some amount h so that it lies inside of I_2 . Let $\delta = m(I_1)$, so that for $0 < |\alpha| < \epsilon\delta$ we see that the interval

$$I_{1,|\alpha|} = (I_1 + h + \alpha) \cap I_2$$

must have $m(I_{1,|\alpha|}) = \delta - \alpha > (1 - \epsilon)\delta$. As a result of this, we must have that $I_{1,|\alpha|} \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$. Pick some $x \in (I_{1,|\alpha|} \cap I_2)$ and observe that this means that there is some $u \in E$ and $v \in F$ such that

$$x = v = -u + h + \alpha$$

So this means that $u + v = (h + \alpha)$. So $E + F$ must contain the interval $(h - \epsilon\delta, h + \epsilon\delta)$ and we are done.

Problem 2.5.20

We want to show that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Borel set, then for any y the slice E^y is (a parallel translate of) a Borel set in \mathbb{R} . We begin by considering the following

$$\mathcal{C} = \{E \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \forall y, E^y \text{ is Borel}\}$$

We first prove the following

Claim. \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra.

Proof. It is clear that \mathcal{C} is non-empty; the open unit disk is in \mathcal{C} , for instance. Next we will see that \mathcal{C} is closed under taking complements. Let $E \in \mathcal{C}$, then we know that for any y the set E^y is Borel and that $(E^c)^y = (E^y)^c$ must also be Borel because the Borel sets are a σ -algebra. So $E^c \in \mathcal{C}$. Next, suppose that $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a countable collection of sets in \mathcal{C} . We see that

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k \right)^y = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k^y$$

Then we use the fact that each of the E_k^y is Borel, and so their union must be as well because the Borel sets are closed under countable union. Thus, \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra. \square

Now to complete the proof we need to prove the following

Proposition. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is open then $E \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. We begin with the proof in the case of E being an open cube. We note here that we must have $E = (a, b) \times (a + h, b + h)$ for some $h > 0$. We then take the slice E^y which is the same as $(a, b) + y$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Geometrically, we simply have the line segment (a, b) translated in the y -direction a distance y . Clearly, this is an open interval and hence, Borel. We then prove the claim for the family of closed cubes. The proof is the same, we note that the slices E^y of a closed cube is now the closed interval, which can be written as the countable intersection of intervals of the form $\bigcap_n (a - 1/n, b + 1/n)$, and therefore is Borel. We then use the fact that every open set in \mathbb{R}^2 can be written as the countable union of almost disjoint closed cubes to see that we can write $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty Q_k$, where each of the Q_k is a closed cube. We then use the fact that each of the $Q_k \in \mathcal{C}$ and that \mathcal{C} is closed under countable unions to see that $E \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

Finally, we recall that the Borel sets is the smallest σ -algebra containing the open sets. We have shown that \mathcal{C} contains the open sets. And therefore, it contains the Borel sets. This completes the proof.

Problem 3.5.6

For functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$f_+^*(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(y)| dy$$

We want to show that the set

$$E_\alpha^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_+^*(x) > \alpha\}$$

is measurable with measure

$$m(E_\alpha^+) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{E_\alpha^+} |f(y)| dy$$

Following the hint, we wish to apply Lemma 3.5 (Rising Sun Lemma) to the function

$$F(x) = \int_0^x |f(y)| dy - \alpha x$$

We first observe that if $x \in E_\alpha^+$ then there is some $h > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(y)| dy &> \alpha \\ \int_x^{x+h} |f(y)| dy &> h\alpha \end{aligned}$$

So on the right side we consider

$$h\alpha = h\alpha + x\alpha - x\alpha = (x+h)\alpha - x\alpha$$

and note that because $\int |f(y)|$ is increasing have $\int_x^{x+h} |f(y)| dy = \int_0^{x+h} |f(y)| dy - \int_0^x |f(y)| dy$. So the inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{x+h} |f(y)| dy - \int_0^x |f(y)| dy &> (x+h)\alpha - x\alpha \\ \int_0^{x+h} |f(y)| dy - (x+h)\alpha &> \int_0^x |f(y)| dy - x\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we must have

$$E_\alpha^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists h > 0, F(x+h) > F(x)\}$$

If f is integrable then F is absolutely continuous by the absolute continuity of the integral. We then apply Lemma 3.5 to write E_α^+ as the disjoint union of intervals so

$$E_\alpha^+ = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k)$$

such that $F(a_k) = F(b_k)$. As a result, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{a_k} |f(y)|dy - \alpha a_k &= \int_0^{b_k} |f(y)|dy - \alpha b_k \\ \alpha b_k - \alpha a_k &= \int_0^{b_k} |f(y)|dy - \int_0^{a_k} |f(y)|dy \\ \alpha(b_k - a_k) &= \int_{a_k}^{b_k} |f(y)|dy\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, because all of the intervals are disjoint we have that

$$m(E_\alpha^+) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k)$$

We then observe that

$$\int_{E_\alpha^+} |f(y)|dy = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} |f(y)|dy = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) = \alpha m(E_\alpha^+)$$

So that

$$m(E_\alpha^+) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{E_\alpha^+} |f(y)|dy$$

And we are done.

Problem 3.5.10

Let $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of the rationals. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{r_n < x} 2^{-n}$$

I claim that this function is increasing on \mathbb{R} , continuous on $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ and discontinuous elsewhere (i.e. only on \mathbb{Q}). First we verify that f is increasing. Pick $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \neq y$ and assume without loss of generality that $x < y$. Because \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} we can find some rational r_m such that $x < r_m < y$. So we can see that

$$f(y) = \sum_{r_n < y} 2^{-n} > 2^{-m} + \sum_{r_n < x} 2^{-n} = 2^{-m} + f(x) > f(x)$$

Now we will show that f is discontinuous on \mathbb{Q} . To do this we examine the left and right hand limits of f at a point x . So consider

$$f^+(x) = \inf_{t > x} f(t) \text{ and } f^-(x) = \sup_{t < x} f(t)$$

Then f is continuous at x iff $f^+(x) - f^-(x) = 0$. For any rational r_m we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^+(r_m) - f^-(r_m) &= \inf_{r_p > r_m} \sum_{r_n < r_p} 2^{-n} - \sup_{r_q < r_m} \sum_{r_n < r_q} 2^{-n} \\ &= \inf_{r_p > r_m} \sum_{r_m < r_k \leq r_p} 2^{-n} + f(r_m) - \sup_{r_q < r_m} \sum_{r_n < r_q} 2^{-n} \\ &= \inf_{r_p > r_m} \sum_{r_m < r_k \leq r_p} 2^{-n} \\ &= 2^{-m} \end{aligned}$$

So f is discontinuous on \mathbb{Q} . We will now show that f is continuous on $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$. We note that for $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ we have that $x \neq r_n$ for all n . So the same computation shows that $f^+(x) - f^-(x) = 0$ because the last line of the computation will never have any terms in the sum because $r_p \neq x$ for all p .

Problem 3.5.32

We need to verify that the following

Lemma. *A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz with constant M if and only if f has the following two properties:*

- (i) *f is absolutely continuous.*
- (ii) *$|f'(x)| \leq M$ for almost every x .*

Proof. In the forward direction we suppose that f is Lipschitz. This means that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|$$

for some M and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Pick an $\epsilon > 0$ and observe that $\delta = \epsilon/M$ immediately gives that

$$\sum_{j=0}^N |b_j - a_j| < \delta \text{ implies } \sum_{j=1}^N |f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \epsilon$$

so f is absolutely continuous. We then use this fact to apply Theorem 3.11 and get that f must be differentiable almost everywhere. If x is a point for which f' exists we observe that for any $h > 0$ we must have that

$$\left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \right| \leq M$$

We then take the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$ to see that $|f'(x)| \leq M$ whenever f' exists (i.e. almost everywhere).

In the reverse direction, we have that f is absolutely continuous and $|f'(x)| \leq M$ almost everywhere. We apply Theorem 3.11 again to see that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \leq y$ we have

$$f(x) - f(y) = \int_x^y f'(t) dt$$

Taking the absolute value gives

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_x^y |f'(t)| dt \leq \int_x^y M dt = M|x - y|$$

So f satisfies a Lipschitz condition and we are done. \square

Problem 4.6.4

We are considering the space

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ (\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

when endowed with the inner product and norm

$$(a, b) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \overline{b_k} \text{ and } \|a\| = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

We will verify that ℓ^2 is a Hilbert space. It is clear that ℓ^2 is a vector space with pointwise addition and multiplication by scalars because if $a, b \in \ell^2$ then

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k + b_k|^2 \leq 4 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 < \infty$$

Note that we have used the inequality $|a_k + b_k| \leq 2 \max\{|a_k|, |b_k|\}$. Furthermore, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda a_k|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\lambda|^2 |a_k|^2 = |\lambda|^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$$

So ℓ^2 is indeed a vector space.

We then check the properties of the inner product that we gave ℓ^2 . It is clear that for fixed b the map $a \mapsto (a, b)$ is linear, it follows from the linearity of the sum because for $x, y \in \ell^2$ we have

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (x_k + y_k) \overline{b_k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_k \overline{b_k} + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} y_k \overline{b_k} = (x, b) + (y, b)$$

The fact that $(a, b) = \overline{(b, a)}$ follows from the symmetry in the inner product. To see that $(a, a) \geq 0$ for every $a \in \ell^2$, observe that

$$(a, a) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \overline{a_k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \geq 0$$

Because the last term is a sum of non-negative values. Now we check that $\|a\| = (a, a)^{1/2}$, which is straightforward because

$$(a, a)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \overline{a_k} \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|a\|$$

The next thing we have to verify is that $\|a\| = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$. This is clear because $(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2)^{1/2} = 0$ implies that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 = 0$, which

is only possible if each of the terms $|a_k|^2 = 0$. This immediately gives that $|a_k| = 0$, so that means $a_k = 0$ for every k . So $a = 0$.

Now we will prove the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in ℓ^2 . Choose $a, b \in \ell^2$. In the case that a and b are linearly dependent we have equality. So if a and b are linearly independent we define

$$c = a - \frac{(a, b)}{(b, b)}b$$

We then observe that

$$(c, b) = \left(a - \frac{(a, b)}{(b, b)}b, b \right) = (a, b) - \frac{(a, b)}{(b, b)}(b, b) = 0$$

So this means that c is orthogonal to b . We then apply the Pythagorean theorem to $a = \frac{(a, b)}{(b, b)}b + c$ to see

$$\|a\|^2 = \left| \frac{(a, b)}{(b, b)} \right|^2 \|b\|^2 + \|c\|^2 = \frac{(a, b)^2}{\|b\|^2} + \|c\|^2 \geq \frac{(a, b)^2}{\|b\|^2}$$

So we multiply by $\|b\|$ and take square roots to see that $|(a, b)| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$. The triangle inequality follows immediately, the proof is exactly the same as the one given on the bottom of page 158 in Stein and Shakarchi modulo a change of variable, so we forgo it here.

We will now show that ℓ^2 is complete in the metric induced by the norm. Let $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in ℓ^2 . We can imagine that the elements of each sequence a_i are the rows of an infinite matrix with entries $a_{i,j}$. We know that the rows of this matrix all converge to unique limits in \mathbb{C} . We also can get the convergence of the columns by noting that for fixed k

$$|a_{i,k} - a_{j,k}|^2 \leq \|a_i - a_j\|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

when we send $\min\{i, j\} \rightarrow \infty$ because $\{a_i\}$ is Cauchy. This means that each column $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ converges to some unique limit $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$. We then set $b = \{b_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. It is clear from above that $d(a_i, b) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We now need to show that $b \in \ell^2$. To show this, we need the following

Lemma. *Every Cauchy sequence in ℓ^2 is bounded in the norm.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in ℓ^2 . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $N > 0$ such that $|n| > N$ implies $\|x_{|n|} - x_N\| < \epsilon$. We then see that

$$\|x_{|n|}\| \leq \|x_{|n|} - x_N\| + \|x_N\| < \|x_N\| + \epsilon$$

We then note that if $|n| < N$ that if

$$M = \max\{\|x_{-N+1}\|, \dots, \|x_0\|, \|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_{N-1}\|\}$$

As a result we have that $\|x_n\| \leq \max\{M, \|x_N\| + \epsilon\} < \infty$, so $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in the norm. \square

With the lemma in hand we can proceed to see that for any fixed j we have an M such that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_{k,j}|^2 \leq M^2$$

So we can see that for any finite N we have that

$$\sum_{|n| < N} |b_n|^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|n| < N} |a_{m,n}|^2 < M^2$$

Taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ gives the result.

Now we show that ℓ^2 is separable. We construct the natural basis for ℓ^2 consisting of the vectors $e_k = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$, which have a 1 in the k^{th} position. We need to show that finite linear combinations of the e_k are dense in ℓ^2 . For each element $x \in \ell^2$ we consider the combination

$$S_N(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq N} x_k e_k$$

It is clear that $S_N(x)$ and x agree for all indices $|k| \leq N$. We then see that

$$\|S_N(x) - x\| = \sum_{|k| > N} |x_k|$$

Because $x \in \ell^2$ we have that $\sum_k |x_k|^2$ converges and so $\sum_k |x_k|$ must converge as well. We apply the definition of convergence to see that $\sum_{|k| > N} |x_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, finite linear combinations of the e_k are dense in ℓ^2 .