

Problem 3.5.2

We follow the same basic idea as the proof of Theorem 2.1. We observe that

$$(f * K_\delta)(x) = \int f(x-y)K_\delta(y)dy$$

We then need to show that

$$\int |f(x-y)|K_\delta(y)dy \rightarrow 0$$

We take the same approximation as in the proof of the theorem by breaking up the integral as follows

$$\int |f(x-y)|K_\delta(y)dy = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y)|K_\delta(y)dy + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k \delta < |y| \leq 2^{k+1} \delta} |f(x-y)|K_\delta(y)dy$$

We then have an easier time than in Theorem 2.1 because we appeal to the property of good kernels to see that

$$\int_{|y| \geq \delta} |K_\delta(y)|dy \rightarrow 0$$

So we can choose each of the terms in the sum to be less than $\epsilon/2^k$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. For the first term we use the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\delta = 0$ and the estimates given by the two properties of good kernels to see that

$$\int_{|y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y)|K_\delta(y)dy \leq CA\delta/\epsilon \int |f(x-y)|$$

By taking δ small we can force this term to zero as well. This gives that the total sum goes to zero as $\delta \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof.

Problem 3.5.4

Following the hint, we use the fact that f is not a.e. 0 to see that there must be some ball B such that $\int_B |f| > 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that B is centered at the origin with radius 1 because the integral is translation invariant and a dilation is a linear change of variable. Suppose that we have that $\int_B f = c > 0$. Then pick any x with $|x| \geq 1$ and observe that the ball of radius $|x|$ centered at the origin has measure $v_n|x|^n$. This gives that

$$f^*(x) \geq \int_{|y| \leq |x|} f \geq \frac{c}{v_n|x|^n}$$

Combining the constant terms we get that $f^*(x) \geq c'/|x|^d$. Now we apply linearity of the integral to get that

$$\int f^* \geq \int |f| \geq c \int 1/|x|^d$$

The integral on the right is unbounded and so f^* is not integrable. To get the “best inequality”, we note that the inequality above is the reverse inequality of the weak inequality. And if we take $\int |f| = 1$, then in the above argument we are left with

$$m(\{f^* > \alpha\}) \leq c'/\alpha$$

Where c' is a fixed constant not depending on α . This is the desired result.

Problem 3.5.5

(a) We consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|(\log 1/|x|)^2} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The main observation is that f is symmetric about the origin, so we can compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx = \int_{|x| \leq 1/2} |f(x)| dx = 2 \int_0^{1/2} \frac{1}{x \log 1/x} dx$$

We then change variables with $u = \log 1/x$ to see that

$$\int \frac{1}{x \log 1/x} dx = \int \frac{-du}{u^2} = \frac{1}{u} = \frac{1}{\log 1/x}$$

Substituting we get

$$\int |f(x)| dx = \left. \frac{2}{\log 1/x} \right|_0^{1/2} = \frac{2}{\log 2}$$

So $\int |f| < \infty$ and f is integrable.

(b) In \mathbb{R} balls containing x are just intervals. So if we choose a ball of radius $2r$ centered about x then we have that

$$f^*(x) \geq \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{2r} |f(y)| dy$$

We then take $|x| \leq 1/2$ and set $r = 2|x|$ we get that

$$f^*(x) \geq \frac{1}{2|x|} \int_0^{2|x|} |f(y)| dy \geq \frac{1}{2|x|} \cdot \frac{1}{\log 1/|x|}$$

So taking the supremum of all balls we have

$$f^*(x) \geq \frac{c}{|x| \log(1/|x|)}$$

Integrating on any ϵ -neighborhood of 0 we see

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} |f^*(x)| dx \geq \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \frac{c}{|x| \log(1/|x|)} dx = -c \log \log(1/|x|) \Big|_0^{\epsilon}$$

Which goes to ∞ . So f^* is not locally integrable.

Problem 3.5.8

Yes we can find such a sequence. Following the hint given in the text we are led to consider the Lebesgue density set of A . By Theorem 1.4 in the text we see that we can always find the desired epsilon and I_ϵ with

$$m(A \cap I_\epsilon) \geq (1 - \epsilon)m(I_\epsilon)$$

Next, we can actually construct the sequence as follows. Let $\{r_n\}$ be an enumeration of \mathbb{Q} and let d be a point of Lebesgue density of A . We set $t_k = r_k - d$. Now we let E be all the translates of A by t_k or more precisely set

$$E = \bigcup_k A + t_k$$

We will show that $m(E^c) = 0$. Note that if we decompose the set into pieces

$$E^c = \bigcap_n (E^c \cap [n, n+1))$$

it suffices to show that $E_n^c = E^c \cap [n, n+1)$ has measure zero for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We further restrict our attention to $E^c \cap [0, 1]$ because the measure is translation invariant and we can translate to the rest of \mathbb{R} .

Now we extract from the sequence of $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ an integer n_k such that

$$m(A \cap B_{n_k}^{-1}(r)) \geq (1 - 1/n_k)m(B_{n_k}^{-1}(r))$$

Each n_k must exist because x is in the Lebesgue set of A and r is a parallel translate of x (by construction). If we look closely at these open balls we can enumerate a subset of them via

$$B_{n_k}^m = B_{n_k}^{-1}(j/2n_k)$$

for each $m \leq 2n_k$. Then

$$[0, 1] \subset \bigcup_m B_{n_k}^m$$

So

$$E^c \cap [0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{2n_k} B_{n_k}^m \cap [0, 1]$$

Which means

$$\begin{aligned} m(E^c \cap [0, 1]) &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{2n_k} m(B_{n_k}^m \cap [0, 1]) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{2n_k} m(B_{n_k}^m)/m \\ &= 2n_k \cdot \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{1}{n_k} \\ &= 4/m \end{aligned}$$

This inequality holds for all m meaning that $m(E^c \cap [0, 1]) = 0$. Therefore $m(E^c) = 0$ and we are done.

Problem 3.5.9

Consider the function

$$\delta(x) = \inf\{|x - y| : y \in F\}$$

We want to show that $\delta(x + y)/|y| \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere on F . The quantity $\delta(x + y)/|y|$ would lead us to consider the derivative the function $\delta(x)$. Consider δ on any interval $I = (a, b)$. We can see that for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\delta(y) - \delta(z)| \leq |y - z|$$

Restricting values to I we get that $T_F(a, b) \leq |b - a|$. This means that δ is of bounded variation and so it is differentiable almost everywhere, and in particular at almost every $x \in F$. We then observe that by definition $\delta \geq 0$ and is identically 0 on F . And so δ has local minima on all of F , and because δ is differentiable its derivative on F is zero almost everywhere. We then use the definition of the derivative to see that $\delta(x + y)/|y| \rightarrow 0$ a.e.