# Your grade: 80%

Your latest: 80% • Your highest: 80% • To pass you need at least 80%. We keep your highest score.

Next item ightarrow

0 / 1 point

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect
confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have **to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia** and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labeled:

- y = 0: There is no bird on the image
- y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Your goal is to build an algorithm able to classify new images taken by security cameras from Peacetopia.

There are a lot of decisions to make:

- What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

### Metric of success

The City Council tells you the following that they want an algorithm that

- 1. Has high accuracy.
- 2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
- 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

You meet with them and ask for just one evaluation metric. True/False?

- False
- O True:

## igotimes Incorrect

No. More than one metric expands the choices and tradeoffs you have to decide for each with unknown effects on the other two.

# 2. The city revises its criteria to:

- "We need an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately as possible."
- "We want the trained model to take no more than 10 sec to classify a new image."
- "We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

Given models with different accuracies, runtimes, and memory sizes, how would you choose one?

- O Accuracy is an optimizing metric, therefore the most accurate model is the best choice.
- Take the model with the smallest runtime because that will provide the most overhead to increase
  accuracy.
- Find the subset of models that meet the runtime and memory criteria. Then, choose the highest accuracy.
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular} Create one metric by combining the three metrics and choose the best performing model. \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

1/1 point

	Yes. Once you meet the runtime and memory thresholds, accu	rracy should be maximized.		
3.	Based on the city's requests, which of the following would you say is	true?	1 / 1 point	
	Accuracy, running time and memory size are all satisfying metrics because you have to do sufficiently well on all three for your system to be acceptable.			
	<ul> <li>Accuracy is an optimizing metric; running time and memory siz</li> </ul>	e are satisfying metrics.		
	Accuracy, running time and memory size are all optimizing met	rics because you want to do well on all		
	three.	ro an antimizing matric		
	<ul> <li>Accuracy is a satisfying metric; running time and memory size a</li> <li>Correct</li> </ul>	re an optimizing metric.		
	⊕ conect			
4.	You propose a 95/2.5%/2.5% for train/dev/test splits to the City Cou the following best justifies your proposal?	ncil. They ask for your reasoning. Which of	1/1 point	
	<ul> <li>The emphasis on the training set provides the most accurate m- processing satisficing metrics.</li> </ul>	odel, supporting the memory and		
	With a dataset comprising 10M individual samples, 2.5% repres more than enough for dev and testing to evaluate bias and varia			
	The most important goal is achieving the highest accuracy, and maximum amount of data to the training set.	that can be done by allocating the		
	O The emphasis on the training set will allow us to iterate faster.			
	<ul> <li>Correct</li> <li>Yes. The purpose of dev and test sets is fulfilled even with small</li> </ul>	ller percentages of the data.		
5.	Now that you've set up your train/dev/test sets, the City Council con social media and offers them to you. These images are different fror Council had originally given you, but you think it could help your algoto the training set. True/False?  False  True	n the distribution of images the City	1/1 poin	
	<ul> <li>Correct         Yes. This will cause the training and dev/test set distributions dev/test distributions are the same you are aiming at the same     </li> </ul>			
6.	One member of the City Council knows a little about machine learn 1,000,000 citizens' data images to the dev set. You object because: (		0 / 1 point	
	The 1,000,000 citizens' data images do not have a consistent x	y mapping as the rest of the data.		
	This should not be selected     No. The important issue is mixing distributions.			
	This would cause the dev and test set distributions to become of you're not aiming where you want to hit.	ifferent. This is a bad idea because		
	<ul> <li>Correct</li> <li>Yes. Adding a different distribution to the dev set will skew bias.</li> </ul>			
	A bigger test set will slow down the speed of iterating because of the computational expense of evaluating models on the test set.			
	A bigger test set will slow down the speed of iterating because of evaluating models on the test set.	f the computational expense of		
	evaluating models on the test set.	ameras) you most care about.		
7.	evaluating models on the test set.  The dev set no longer reflects the distribution of data (security of Correct  Yes. The performance of the model should be evaluated on the	rameras) you most care about. e same distribution of images it will see	1/1 point	
7.	evaluating models on the test set.  The dev set no longer reflects the distribution of data (security of Correct  Yes. The performance of the model should be evaluated on the in production.	rameras) you most care about. e same distribution of images it will see	1/1 point	

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$ 

✓ Correct

No, because there is insufficient information to tell.			
No, because this shows your variance is higher than your bias.			
O Yes, because this shows your bias is higher than your variance.			
<b>⊘</b> Correct			
If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes define "human-level performance"?	error, how would you		
The best performance of a specialist (ornithologist) or possibly a group of specialist (ornithologist).	alists.		
The performance of the head of the City Council.			
The performance of their volunteer amateur ornithologists.			
The performance of the average citizen of Peacetopia.			
<b>⊘</b> Correct			
Yes. This is the peak of human performance in this task.			
Which of the following statements do you agree with?			
A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes			
error.			
A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error.			
A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than			
Bayes error.			
<ul> <li>A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error.</li> </ul>			
<ul><li>✓ Correct</li></ul>			
Human-level performance  Training set error	0.1%		
Dev set error	2.1%		
Based on the evidence you have, which two of the following four options seem the most promising to try?  (Check two options.)  Get a bigger training set to reduce variance.  Try increasing regularization.  Train a bigger model to try to do better on the training set.			
○ Correct     ○ Corre			
✓ Try decreasing regularization.			
Correct			
⊕ conect			
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:			
	0.1%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:	0.1%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance			
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error  Test set error	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error  Test set error  What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error  Test set error  What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error  Test set error  What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)  You should get a bigger test set.  You should try to get a bigger dev set.	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error  Test set error  What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)  You should get a bigger test set.  You should try to get a bigger dev set.  Orrect  You have underfitted to the dev set.	2.0%		
You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:  Human-level performance  Training set error  Dev set error  Test set error  What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)  You should get a bigger test set.  You should try to get a bigger dev set.	2.0%		

12. After working on this project for a year, you finally achieve:

Human-level performance	0.10%
Training set error	0.05%
Dev set error	0.05%

What can you conclude? (Check all that apply.)

It is now harder to measure avoidable bias, thus progress will be slower going forward.

#### Correc

- ☐ This is a statistical anomaly (or must be the result of statistical noise) since it should not be possible to surpass human-level performance.
- If the test set is big enough for the 0.05% error estimate to be accurate, this implies Bayes error is  $\le 0.05$

## **⊘** Correct

- ☐ With only 0.05% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to close the remaining gap to 0%
- 13. Your system is now very accurate but has a higher false negative rate than the City Council of Peacetopia would like. What is your best next step?

0/1 point

1/1 point

- O Expand your model size to account for more corner cases.
- Reset your "target" (metric) for the team and tune to it.
- Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive all further development.
- O Look at all the models you've developed during the development process and find the one with the lowest false negative error rate.



No. This choice also points to the incorrect target.

14. You've handily beaten your competitor, and your system is now deployed in Peacetopia and is protecting the citizens from birds! But over the last few months, a new species of bird has been slowly migrating into the area, so the performance of your system slowly degrades because your data is being tested on a new type of data.

1/1 point



You have only 1,000 images of the new species of bird. The city expects a better system from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you do first?

- Use the data you have to define a new evaluation metric (using a new dev/test set) taking into account the new species, and use that to drive further progress for your team.
- Add the 1,000 images into your dataset and reshuffle into a new train/dev/test split.
- O Put the 1,000 images into the training set so as to try to do better on these birds.
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular} Try data augmentation/data synthesis to get more images of the new type of bird. \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

**⊘** Correct

15. The City Council thinks that having more Cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.)

1/1 point

Daducing the model complexity will allow the use of the larger data set but preserve accuracy

Inis significantly impacts iteration speed.	
<ul> <li>Correct</li> <li>Yes. This training time is an absolute constraint on iteration.</li> </ul>	
Lowering the number of images will reduce training time and likely allow for an acceptable tradeoff between iteration speed and accuracy.	

☐ Reducing the model complexity will allow the use of the larger data set but preserve accuracy.

# **⊘** Correct

Yes. There is a sweet spot that allows development at a reasonable rate without significant accuracy loss