

Problem 3 (15 marks)

Part A. [8 marks]

Evaluate the lambda expression below by the interpreter based on context and closure. You are asked to show the context at some point of evaluation. Assume that initial context is $CT0 = \{z \rightarrow 2\}$. Just show your answer to each question. You don't need to show how you derive your answer. This is how the evaluation starts:

```
eval
  ((lambda (f x) (f (f x))) (lambda (u) (* z u)) 5)
in CT0
```

(i) Show the context when $(f (f x))$ is evaluated.

Answer: $CT1 = \{f \rightarrow [(* z u), CT0], x \rightarrow 5\} \cup CT0$

(ii) Show the context when $(f x)$ is evaluated.

Answer: $CT1$ (eval the argument $(f x)$ to f in the same $CT1$)

(iii) Show the context when $(* z u)$ is evaluated.

Answer: $(* z u)$ is evaluated twice.

(i) Continuing from eval $(f x)$, evaluate $(* z u)$ in $\{u \rightarrow 5\} \cup CT0$

(ii) Continuing from eval $(f (f x))$, after both f and $(f x)$ are evaluated, the next is to eval $(* z u)$ in $\{u \rightarrow 10\} \cup CT0$.

(iv) What is the result of this evaluation?

Answer: 20

Part B. [7 marks]

Compile the following lambda function to SECD code.

```
(lambda (x y) ((lambda (z) (+ x y z)) 6))
```

Note that the body of the outside lambda function is an application $((\text{lambda } (z) (+ x y z)) 6)$, where the operator $+$ is generic, just like the same operator in lisp. To decompose the overall task, you should first show the SECD code for this expression and denote it by, e.g., e' . Then, plug it into the full solution.

A: $e' = (\text{NIL LDC } 6 \text{ CONS LDF } (\text{LD } (2.1) \text{ LD } (1.2) \text{ LD } (1.1) \text{ ADD RTN}) \text{ AP})$

Then, the full solution is:

$(\text{LDF } e' \mid\mid (\text{RTN}))$