## ECE 321: Software Requirements Engineering Assignment 1

Arun Woosaree

### XXXXXXX

September 10, 2018

### 1 "Passing the word" Review

### 1.1 Main Contributions

- describes the importance of a manual, or written specification
- describes good manual style
- formal definitions
- the importance of meetings
- importance of multipel implementations
- product testing

### 1.2 Criticisms

Shouldn't be too bad...

### 1.3 Answers to questions

### 1.3.1 Good manual style

In the author's view, a well-written manual must use precise, consistent language, have quantized changes, and it should also describe every detail the user sees, omit-

ting the details that the user does not see. The author mentions that this can be done in a formal and/or informal style. In my opinion, an informal style is more desireable, since quick ammendments and changes can be made to the document as necessary, and using informal language makes it easier and less daunting to read overall for the average person.

### 1.3.2 Effective meetings

The first type of meeting the author claims is effective is a weekly half-day conference led by the chief architect, as well as all the architects, official representatives of the hardware and software implementers, and market planners. The second type of meeting is what the author refers to as an annual "supreme court session", which lasts two weeks. In this type of meeting, the project manager leads, with members from the architecture group, the programmers' and implementers' architectural representatives, and the managers of programming, marketing, and im plementation efforts joining.

### 1.3.3 Independent product-testing organization

In the author's view, an independent product-testing organization acts as a "surrogate customer", which allows for early detection of bugs and departure from the design. I mostly agree with this viewpoint, since programmers are human, and are prone to making mistakes like everyone else. Although it might slow development time, having a dedicated team for finding these flaws before deployment is important, so that the customer has a better overall experience.

# 2 "Requirements Engineering: The State of the Practice Review"

### 2.1 Main Contributions

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus.

Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

### 2.2 Criticisms

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

### 2.3 Answers to questions

### 2.3.1 Most frequently used lifecycle model

Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### 2.3.2 Prototyping

Nunc sed pede. Praesent vitae lectus. Praesent neque justo, vehicula eget, interdum id, facilisis et, nibh. Phasellus at purus et libero lacinia dictum. Fusce aliquet. Nulla eu ante placerat leo semper dictum. Mauris metus. Curabitur lobortis. Curabitur sollicitudin hendrerit nunc. Donec ultrices lacus id ipsum.

### 2.3.3 Elicitation of users' requirements

Pellentesque interdum sapien sed nulla. Proin tincidunt. Aliquam volutpat est vel massa. Sed dolor lacus, imperdiet non, ornare non, commodo eu, neque. Integer pretium semper justo. Proin risus. Nullam id quam. Nam neque. Duis vitae wisi ullamcorper diam congue ultricies. Quisque ligula. Mauris vehicula.

#### 2.3.4 Informal modelling of requirements

"Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat.

2.3.5	Are longer projects less often finished on time and within budget?
Yes/No	one sentence answer ok cool.