Lecture 17

Zero-Cost Async IO (Part 1)

Why Async IO (in Rust)?

- 1. Minimize system resources for handling a large number of concurrent I/O tasks
- 2. Provide a zero-cost abstraction on top of the async I/O mechanisms provided by operating systems
- 3. Do it at a library level, instead of introducing a runtime to Rust

A sample problem

- Let's run a separate thread. This thread will have access to two atomic values:
 - AtomicBool: whether we want the thread to keep running
 - AtomicUsize: a counter
- As long as the AtomicBool is true, the thread will:
 - 1. Sleep for a given number of milliseconds
 - 2. Print a message to the console
 - 3. Increment the AtomicUsize counter

A sample problem

```
use std::sync::atomic::{AtomicBool, AtomicUsize, Ordering};
use std::sync::Arc;
use std::thread::{sleep, spawn};
use std::time::Duration;
#[derive(Clone)]
pub struct Interval {
    counter: Arc<AtomicUsize>,
    still running: Arc<AtomicBool>,
impl Drop for Interval {
    fn drop(&mut self) {
        println!("Interval thread shutting down");
        self.still running.store(false, Ordering::SeqCst);
```

A sample problem

```
impl Interval {
    fn from millis (millis: u64) -> Interval {
     let duration = Duration::from millis(millis);
     let counter = Arc::new(AtomicUsize::new(0));
     let counter clone = counter.clone();
     let still running = Arc::new(AtomicBool::new(true));
     let still running clone = still running.clone();
     spawn (move | | {
      println!("Interval thread launched");
       while still running clone.load(Ordering::SeqCst)
        sleep(duration);
        let old = counter clone.fetch add(1,Ordering::SeqCst);
        println!("Thread alive, value was: {}", old);}});
       Interval {counter, still running}}
    fn get counter(&self) -> usize {
        self.counter.load(Ordering::SeqCst) }
```

A sample problem - The main function

A sample problem – Output

```
Iteration number 1, counter is 0
Thread launched
Thread still alive, value was: 0
Thread still alive, value was: 1
...
Thread still alive, value was: 33
Thread still alive, value was: 34
Iteration number 10, counter is 35
Thread still alive, value was: 35
Thread still alive, value was: 36
Thread still alive, value was: 37
Thread still alive, value was: 37
Thread still alive, value was: 38
Interval thread shutting down
```

What are the problems with this approach?

- Missing some updates in the main thread.
- The counter jumps!
- we're delaying for 2 seconds instead of half a second. Let's instead delay for a tenth of a second (100ms) in the main thread, and check if the value has changed since last time.

```
fn main() {
    let interval = Interval::from_millis(500);
    let duration = std::time::Duration::from_millis(100);
    let mut last = interval.get_counter();
    for i in 1..51 {
        let curr = interval.get_counter();
        if curr != last {
            last = curr;
            println!("Iteration number {}, counter is {}", i, curr);
        }
        std::thread::sleep(duration);
    }
}
```

A sample problem – Output

Interval thread launched Interval thread alive, value was: 0 Iteration number 6, counter is 1 Interval thread alive, value was: 1 Iteration number 11, counter is 2 Interval thread alive, value was: 2 Iteration number 16, counter is 3 Interval thread alive, value was: 3 Iteration number 21, counter is 4 Interval thread alive, value was: 4 Iteration number 26, counter is 5 Interval thread alive, value was: 5 Iteration number 31, counter is 6 Interval thread alive, value was: 6 Iteration number 36, counter is 7 Interval thread alive, value was: 7 Iteration number 41, counter is 8 Interval thread alive, value was: 8 Iteration number 46, counter is 9 Interval thread alive, value was: 9 Interval thread shutting down

We didn't lose any counter updates

More problems?

- We are dedicating an entire OS thread to this sleep-andcheck iteration.
- With 50 different similar tasks going on, It would require 49 extra threads, most of which would sit around sleeping the majority of the time.
- That's highly wasteful. (and not guaranteed! We got lucky!)

We need to be able to abstract over "this thing will produce a value in the future."

Enough why – tell me how!

Example 1: Sleeping

- Write a program which will print the message Sleeping 10 times, with a delay of 0.5 seconds.
- It should also print the message Interrupting 5 times, with a delay of 1 second.

```
use std::thread::{sleep};
use std::time::Duration;
fn sleeping() {
for i in 1..=10 {
println!("Sleeping {}", i);
 sleep(Duration::from millis(500));
fn interrupting() {
 for i in 1..=5 {
println!("Interrupting {}", i);
 sleep(Duration::from millis(1000));
fn main() {
sleeping();
 interrupting();
```

- This program runs the two operations **synchronously**, first printing Sleeping, then Interrupting.
- Instead, we would want to have these two sets of statements printed in an interleaved way.

Introducing async

```
use async std::task::{sleep, spawn};
use std::time::Duration;
async fn sleeping() {
    for i in 1..=10 {
        println!("Sleeping {}", i);
        sleep(Duration::from millis(500)).await;
async fn interrupting() {
    for i in 1..=5 {
        println!("Interrupting {}", i);
        sleep(Duration::from millis(1000)).await;
#[async std::main]
async fn main() {
    let sleeping = spawn(sleeping());
    interrupting().await;
    sleeping.await;
```

Introducing async

- Both sleeping and interrupting now say async in front of fn.
- After the calls to sleep, we have a .await.
- Note that this is not a .await() method call, but new syntax.
- We have a new attribute #[async_std::main] on the main function.
- The main function also has **async** before fn.
- The call to interrupting() is now followed by .await.

Introducing async

- Instead of join()ing, we use the .await syntax.
- That may look like a large list of changes. But in reality, our code is almost identical structural to the previous version, which is a real testament to the async/.await syntax.
- Now everything works under the surface the way we want:

A single operating system thread making **non-blocking** calls!

Let's analyze what each of these changes actually means.

async functions

- Adding async to the beginning of a function definition does three things:
 - 1. It allows you to use .await.
 - 2. It modifies the return type of the function.

async fn foo() -> Bar returns

impl std::future::Future<Output=Bar>.

3. Automatically wraps up the result value in a new Future.

async functions -- second point

- There's a trait called Future defined in the standard library.
- It has an associated type Output.
- What this trait means is:

I promise that, when I complete, I will give you a value of type

Output.

(We'll play around with Future values more later.)

First steps....

- Rewrite the signature of sleeping to not use the async keyword by modifying its result type.
- Note that the code will not compile when you get the type right.
- Pay attention to the error message you get.
- The result type of async fn sleeping() is the implied unit value ().
- Therefore, the Output of our Future should be unit.
- This means we need to write our signature as:

```
fn sleeping() -> impl std::future::Future<Output=()>
```

Solution

```
fn sleeping() -> impl std::future::Future<Output=()>
```

 However, with only that change in place, we get the following error messages:

Solution

• The first message is pretty direct: you can only use the .await syntax inside an async function or block.

```
async {
    ....
}
```

- The second error message is a result of the first: the async keyword causes the return type to be an impl Future.
- Without that keyword, our for loop evaluates to (), which isn't an impl Future.

Step 2

- Fix the compiler errors by introducing an async block inside the sleeping function. Do not add async to the function signature, keep using impl Future.
- Wrapping the entire function body with an async block solves the problem:

.await a minute

- Maybe we don't need all this async/.await.
- What if we removed calls to .await in sleeping? It compiles, but note the warning:

- We're generating a Future value but not using it.
- We're going to have to implement our function with much more direct usage of the Future values

Dropping async block

If we drop the async block, we end up with this code:

```
fn sleeping() -> impl std::future::Future<Output=()> {
    for i in 1..=10 {
        println!("Sleeping {}", i);
        sleep(Duration::from_millis(500));
    }
}
```

This gives us an error message we saw before:

Dropping async block

- This makes sense: the for loop evaluates to (), and unit does not implement Future.
- One way to fix this is to add an expression after the for loop that evaluates to something that implements Future.
- And we already know one such thing: *sleep*.

```
fn sleeping() -> impl std::future::Future<Output=()> {
    for i in 1..=10 {
        println!("Sleeping {}", i);
        sleep(Duration::from_millis(500));
    }
    sleep(Duration::from_millis(0))
}
```

Dropping async block

- We still get a warning about the unused Future value inside the for loop, but not the one afterwards: return type.
- Sleeping for 0 milliseconds is just do nothing.
- It would be nice if there was a "dummy" Future.
- Replacing the sleep call after the for loop with a call to ready.

```
fn sleeping() -> impl std::future::Future<Output=()> {
    for i in 1..=10 {
        println!("Sleeping {}", i);
        sleep(Duration::from_millis(500));
    }
    async_std::future::ready(())
}
```

- To unpeel a bit more, let's make our life harder, and not use the ready function.
- Instead, we're going to define our own struct which implements a Future DoNothing.

```
use std::future::Future;
struct DoNothing;

fn sleeping() -> impl Future<Output=()> {
    for i in 1..=10 {
        println!("Sleeping {}", i);
        sleep(Duration::from_millis(500));
    }
    DoNothing
}
```

• Compiler says

```
the trait bound `DoNothing: std::future::Future` is not satisfied
```

So let's add in a trait implementation:

```
impl Future for DoNothing {
```

Which fails with:

- We don't really know about the Pin<&mut Self> or Context thing yet, but we do know about Output.
- And since we were previously returning a (), let's do the same thing here.

```
use std::pin::Pin;
use std::task::{Context, Poll};

impl Future for DoNothing {
    type Output = ();

    fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, ctx: &mut Context) ->
Poll<Self::Output> {
        unimplemented!()
    }
}
```

 It compiles! Of course, it fails at runtime due to the unimplemented!() call:

```
thread 'async-std/executor' panicked at 'not yet implemented', src/main.rs:13:9
```

- Now let's try to implement poll.
- We need to return a value of type Poll<Self::Output> or Poll<()>. Let's look at the definition of Poll:

```
pub enum Poll<T> {
    Ready(T),
    Pending,
}
```

- Ready means "our Future is complete, and the output is T"
- while Pending means "it's not done yet."
- Given that our DoNothing wants to return the output of () immediately, we can just use Ready .
- Implement a working version of poll.

```
fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, _ctx: &mut Context) ->
Poll<Self::Output> {
    Poll::Ready(())
}
```

The third async difference

- Automatically wraps up the result value in a new Future
- Let's simplify the definition of sleeping to:

```
fn sleeping() -> impl Future<Output=()> {
    DoNothing
}
```

• The compiles and runs just fine. Let's try switching back to the async way of writing the signature:

```
async fn sleeping() {
    DoNothing
}
```

The third async difference

This now gives us an error:

- You see, when you have an async function or block, the result is automatically wrapped up in a Future.
- So instead of returning a DoNothing, we're returning a impl Future<Output=DoNothing>. And our type wants Output=().

The third async difference

 Working around this is pretty easy: you simply append .await to DoNothing:

```
async fn sleeping() {
    DoNothing.await
}
```

- This gives us a little more intuition for what .await is doing:
- it's extracting the () Output from the DoNothing Future... somehow.
- However, we still don't really know how it's achieving that. Let's build up a more complicated Future.

- We're going to build a new Future implementation which:
 - Sleeps for a certain amount of time
 - Then prints a message
- This is going to involve using pinned pointers Rust normally solves a problem with a box solution.
- SleepPrint will wrap an existing sleep Future with our own implementation of Future.
- Since we don't know the exact type of the result of a sleep call (it's just an impl Future), we'll use a parameter:

```
struct SleepPrint<Fut> {
    sleep: Fut,
}
```

And we can call this in our sleeping function with:

```
fn sleeping() -> impl Future<Output=()> {
    SleepPrint {
        sleep: sleep(Duration::from_millis(3000)),
    }
}
```

 Of course, we now get a compiler error about a missing Future implementation. So let's work on that. Our implements with:

```
impl<Fut: Future<Output=()>> Future for SleepPrint<Fut> {
    ...
}
```

- This says that SleepPrint is a Future if the sleep value it contains is a Future with an Output of type (). Which is true in the case of the sleep function, so we're good.
- We need to define Output:

```
type Output = ();
```

And then we need a poll function:

```
fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, ctx: &mut Context) ->
Poll<Self::Output> {
    ...
}
```

- Pinned pointers. Lets cheat to get started.
- We need to project the Pin<&mut Self> into a Pin<&mut
 Fut> so that we can work on the underlying sleep Future.
- Yikes, how would I do that? Remember we are cheating, so unsafe.

```
let sleep: Pin<&mut Fut> = unsafe { self.map_unchecked_mut(|s|
&mut s.sleep) };
```

- We've got our underlying Future, and we need to do something with it.
- The only thing we can do with it is call poll.
- poll requires a &mut Context, which fortunate is provided.
- That Context contains information about the currently running task, so it can be woken up (via a Waker) when the task is ready.
- For now, let's do the only thing we can reasonably do:

```
match sleep.poll(ctx) {
    ...
}
```

- We've got two possibilities:
- If poll returns a Pending, it means that the sleep hasn't completed yet. In that case, we want our Future to also indicate that it's not done.
- To make that work, we just propagate the Pending value:

```
Poll::Pending => Poll::Pending,
```



- However, if the sleep is already complete, we'll receive a Ready(()) variant.
- In that case, it's finally time to print our message and then propagate the Ready:

```
Poll::Ready(()) => {
    println!("Inside SleepPrint");
    Poll::Ready(())
},
```

We've built a more complex Future from a simpler one.
 Hooray!