Stat 235

Lab 2

WOOSAREE, Arun

Lab EL12

TA: Jessa Marley

October 11, 2018

Arun Woosaree XXXXXXX

1 Normal Density

1.a

As σ increases, there is more variation in the tensile strength (makes sense, since $\sigma^2 = V(x)$). This is seen visually as the curve flattening as a result of the frequency count of the mode being lowered, and the frequency counts at the more extreme ends being increased. In terms of tensile strength, an increase in σ does not change the mean but it increases the variation in tensile strength. This does, however mean that less of the alloy produced will be around the mean tensile strength, and it would increase the fraction of alloy slabs with unacceptable tensile strength.

1.b

With lower μ ($\mu = 280$ for example), the graph appears left-skewed. This increases the fraction of unacceptable alloys with $TS < 275$. At $\mu = 285$, the graph appears to be symmetric, and at higher μ ($\mu = 290$ for example), the graph appears right-skewed. This increases the fraction of unacceptable alloys with $TS > 295$. Overall, as μ increases, the tensile strength of the alloys increase, which causes the fraction of unacceptable alloys below 275 to decrease and the fraction above 295 to increase.

2 How changes in the Mean and Std. Deviation affect the fraction of alloy slabs that do not meet the TS Specifications

	Parameters	Problem	Answer
a)	$\mu = 285$ and $\sigma = 5$	Fraction of unacceptable	0.045500264
	$\mu = 283$ and $\sigma = 5$	Fraction of unacceptable	0.062996828
b)	$\mu = 285$ and $\sigma = 6$	Fraction of unacceptable	0.095580705
c)	$\mu = 285$ and $\sigma = 5$	Within 1 std. deviation	0.6827
		Within 2 std. deviations	0.9545
d)	$\mu = 285$ and $\sigma = 5$	Strength exceeded by 95%	276.78
		Strength exceeded by 99%	273.37
e)	$\mu = 285$	σ so that 1% have $TS < 275$ or $TS > 295$	3.88

Table 1: My caption

3 Random Number Generator

3.a

From the randomly generated data, the number of unacceptable slabs is 10.

$$fraction\ unacceptable = \frac{10}{200} = 0.05$$

0.05 is reasonably close to the value 0.045500264, obtained from 2 a) in Table 1, so it is consistent with the theoretical value.

3.b

The generated random numbers should be consistent with the 68-95-99.7 rule.

k	**Within k Std. Deviations of the mean $\mu = 285$**	**Frequency**	**Relative Frequency**
1	(0,0)	0	0
2	(0,0)	0	0
3	(0,0)	0	0

Table 2: My caption

3.c

It's a normalized standard distibution. – **Do I need a number here??**

k this is my answer for now: According to the theory, standardizing the values should result in a standard normal distribution. In practice, we observe a distribution that approximates a standard normal distribution.

3.d

Using the *Descriptive Statistics Tool*, we find the following from the standardized values:

$$\mu = -0.0546$$

$$\sigma = 1.0221$$

In theory, a standard normal distibution has $\mu = 0$, and $\sigma = 1$. The values obtained here are quite close to the expected distribution parameters of a standard normal distribution, so these values are consistent with the theory.

4 Changes in Manufacturing Process

4.a Summary Statistics

Using the *Desctiptive Statistics Tool, we find that*

$$mean = XX.XXXX$$

$$std.\ deviation = XX.XXXX$$

This is consistent / inconsistent

4.b Histogram

4.c

4.d

5 Binomial Probabilities

5.a

5.b