

26/4/22

Note Title

26-04-2022

1) $v_1 = (1, 2)$ & $v_2 = (0, 1)$.

- a) $W_1 = \{tv_1 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ — a straight line joining $(1, 2)$ & $(0, 0)$
 $W_2 = \{tv_2 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ —
 $W_3 = \{tv_1 + sv_2 : t, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ — plane
 $W_4 = \{tv_1 + sv_2 : 0 \leq t, s \leq 1\}$ — parallelogram $(1, 2), (1, 3), (0, 0), (0, 1)$.

b)

c) $\alpha(1, 2) + \beta(0, 1) = (0, 0)$
 $\begin{cases} \alpha = 0 \\ 2\alpha + \beta = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta = 0 \Rightarrow v_1, v_2 \text{ are linearly independent.}$

d) $v_3 = (2, 3)$. Is $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ lin. ind?

$2v_1 - v_2 - v_3 = 0$ — Verify

2) $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ over \mathbb{C}

a) $\{(1+i, 2), (2, 1)\}$ — linearly ind.

b) $\{(1, 2), (0, i), (i, 1-i)\}$

$\alpha(1, 2) + \beta(0, i) + \gamma(i, 1-i) = (0, 0)$
 $\begin{cases} \alpha + i\gamma = 0 \\ 2\alpha + i\beta + (1-i)\gamma = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha = -i, \beta = 3+i, \gamma = 1$

c) $v_1 = (1+i, 2)$ & $v_2 = (2, 1)$.
 Show that any ordered pair (x, y) can be written as a linear comb. of v_1, v_2 .

$(x, y) = \alpha(1+i, 2) + \beta(2, 1)$
 $\alpha = \frac{x-2y}{i-3}, \beta = \frac{-2x+(1+i)y}{i-3}$

3) $V = \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

$X = \{(1+i, 1-i), (1-i, 1+i), (2, i), (3, 2i)\}$

• Show that X is linearly ind.

$\alpha(1+i, 1-i) + \beta(1-i, 1+i) + \gamma(2, i) + \delta(3, 2i) = (0, 0)$

$\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 3\delta = 0$

$\alpha - \beta + 0\gamma + 0\delta = 0$

$\alpha + \beta + 0\gamma + 0\delta = 0$

$-\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta = 0$

Ex: $V = \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Show that X is linearly dependent

4) Let $u, v, w \in V$. S.T. $\{u, v, w\}$ is lin. ind. $\Leftrightarrow \{u+v, v+w, w+u\}$ is lin. ind.
Solution:

\Rightarrow Suppose that $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly ind.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u+v) + \beta(v+w) + \gamma(w+u) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\alpha+\gamma)u + (\alpha+\beta)v + (\beta+\gamma)w &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \alpha+\gamma=0, \alpha+\beta=0 &\text{ \& } \beta+\gamma=0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$$

i.e., $\{u+v, v+w, w+u\}$ is linearly ind.

\Leftarrow Suppose that $\{u+v, v+w, w+u\}$ is linearly ind.

$\alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w = 0$
Q. Can we write u, v & w as linear combinations of $u+v, v+w$ & $w+u$?

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \alpha_{11}(u+v) + \alpha_{12}(v+w) + \alpha_{13}(w+u) \\ v &= \alpha_{21}(u+v) + \alpha_{22}(v+w) + \alpha_{23}(w+u) \\ w &= \alpha_{31}(u+v) + \alpha_{32}(v+w) + \alpha_{33}(w+u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11} &= 1/2 & \alpha_{12} &= -1/2 & \alpha_{13} &= 1/2 \\ \alpha_{21} &= 1/2 & \alpha_{22} &= 1/2 & \alpha_{23} &= -1/2 \\ \alpha_{31} &= -1/2 & \alpha_{32} &= 1/2 & \alpha_{33} &= 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\frac{1}{2}(u+v) - \frac{1}{2}(v+w) + \frac{1}{2}(w+u) \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{2}(u+v) + \frac{1}{2}(v+w) - \frac{1}{2}(w+u) \right)$$

$$+ \gamma \left(-\frac{1}{2}(u+v) + \frac{1}{2}(v+w) + \frac{1}{2}(w+u) \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) (u+v) + \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) (v+w) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) (w+u) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta - \gamma &= 0 \\ -\alpha + \beta + \gamma &= 0 \\ \alpha - \beta + \gamma &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.$$

6) $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ over \mathbb{R}
 $K_1 = \{ (x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R} \}$
 $K_2 = \{ (0, y) : y \in \mathbb{R} \}$

$$K_1 \cup K_2 \quad (0, 1) + (1, 0) = (1, 1) \notin K_1 \cup K_2.$$

S.T. $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a subspace \Leftrightarrow either $K_1 \subset K_2$ or $K_2 \subset K_1$.

Solution: \Leftarrow Easy.

\Rightarrow Suppose that $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a subspace.

Suppose to the contrary that neither $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2$ nor $\mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_1$.
Choose $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2 \setminus \mathcal{K}_1$ & $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_2$. Then

$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2$$
$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_1 & \text{or} \quad \omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2 \\ \Rightarrow \omega_2 = (\omega_1 + \omega_2) - \omega_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1 & \Rightarrow \omega_1 = (\omega_1 + \omega_2) - \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2 \end{array}$$

Both cannot happen, which is a contradiction.