3/6/22

03-06-2022

y" + p(t) y' + 9(t) y = 0 - linear & homogeneous

- 0

Here > & 9 are continuous

- The robution space forms a 2-dimensional real vector space.

 The general solution for ① is given by

 y(t) = c, y,(t) + c, y,(t)

 where y, & y, are any two 1.7: solutions
- Q. Now to check whether two for one 11 or not?

Suppose for, far, ..., for one first defined on I. Also, suppose that they are time dep.

Then I scalar Ci, Cz, ..., con, not all equal to year, s.t.

C,f, + c2 f2 +... + Cm fm =0 ic) c1 f1(+) + c2 f2(+) +... + cn fn(+) =0 + + EI.

suppose that fix one (m-i) - times diff. on I.

$$C_1f_1 + C_2f_2 + ... + C_nf_n = 0$$
 $C_1f_1' + C_2f_1' + ... + C_nf_n'' = 0$
 $C_1f_1'' + C_2f_2'' + ... + C_nf_n'' = 0$
 $C_1f_1'' + C_2f_2'' + ... + C_nf_n'' = 0$

As fi's are him defind this system will have attleast one one non-yero solution, day (C1, C2, ..., Cm) and hence the determinant of the matrix is yero.

Whomshian. For any n real valued fur. fr, fa,..., for which are (m-)-times diff. on an interval I, the Wronshian, denoted H (fr, fa,..., fm), is defined as

Theorem. If $f_1, f_2, ..., f_m$ are (n-1)-times diff. f_M . which are also linearly dependent, then $M(f_1, f_2, ..., f_m) = 0$, i.e., $M(f_1, f_2, ..., f_m)$ (t) =0 + t

Conollary. If fo, fo, ..., for one (0.1) - times diff- for. and if 3 to EI s.t. W(f1, f5,..., for) (to) \$0.

Non fo,..., for one himeory independent on I.

· M (f1, f2) = 0 · f1 & f2 are linearly independent.

Remark. It is actually forrible that the Wronskian is yes at some pts & non-yes

at other points. Choose $f_1(x) = x$ & $f_2(x) = x^2$ xeR.

Abel's Known If y_1 & y_2 are solutions of O, then the $W(y_1, y_2)$ (t) = c exp $\left(-\int_{t_0}^{t} f(t) dt\right)$

for some constant c.

Proof. Let W (E) = W (81, 42) (t). Then

W(t) = | 3,(t) 3,(t) | = 3,(t) 3,(d) - 3,(e) 3,(t).

: \(\begin{align*} \limbda_1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}

Thus I satisfies he 1st onder ODE y'= py.

: H (+) = c exp (- Sto p(+) dx).

Theorem. If y, & y, are solutions of (), then H(y, y,) (to) =0 for some to EI =) H(y, y,) (t) =0 + t EI i.e., y, & y, a y, are linearly defendent.

Proof: Follows from Abel's theorem.

