

RESEARCH REPORT

Geographical variation in life expectancy at birth in England and Wales is largely explained by deprivation

Laura M Woods, Bernard Rachet, Michael Riga, Noell Stone, Anjali Shah, Michel P Coleman

J Epidemiol Community Health 2005;59:115–120. doi: 10.1136/jech.2003.013003

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Mrs L M Woods, Non-communicable Disease Epidemiology Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK; laura.woods@lshtm.ac.uk

Accepted for publication
15 October 2004

Study objective: To describe the population mortality profile of England and Wales by deprivation and in each government office region (GOR) during 1998, and to quantify the influence of geography and deprivation in determining life expectancy.

Design: Construction of life tables describing age specific mortality rates and life expectancy at birth from death registrations and estimated population counts. Life tables were created for (a) quintiles of income deprivation based on the income domain score of the index of multiple deprivation 2000, (b) each GOR and Wales, and (c) every combination of deprivation and geography.

Setting: England and Wales.

Patients/participants: Residents of England and Wales, 1998.

Main results: Life expectancy at birth varies with deprivation quintile and is highest in the most affluent groups. The differences are mainly attributable to differences in mortality rates under 75 years of age. Regional life expectancies display a clear north-south gradient. Linear regression analysis shows that deprivation explains most of the geographical variation in life expectancy.

Conclusions: Geographical patterns of life expectancy identified within these data for England and Wales in 1998 are mainly attributable to variations in deprivation status as defined by the IMD 2000 income domain score.

Material poverty is the most important single determinant of life expectancy in Europe.¹ This relation has been shown in both developed and developing nations^{2–3} and is the focus of current health policy in the UK.⁴ A number of studies have shown that mortality also varies regionally in England,^{3–6} Europe,^{7–12} and North America,^{13–15} although no study has analysed the effect of deprivation and geography simultaneously.

This analysis describes the patterns of age specific mortality and life expectancy in England and Wales in 1998 both by ecologically defined deprivation category and by geographical region, and quantifies the role of deprivation in explaining geographical variability in mortality.

METHODS

Age and period specific mortality rates were calculated and period life tables for 1998 constructed to determine life expectancy at birth. Life expectancy at birth, used in recent health policy,⁴ provides a useful summary of the contemporary age and sex specific mortality experience of any single defined population that is independent of the population age structure. It is more responsive to changes in young and middle age mortality than rate or ratio measures, because the gain in potential years of life from a reduction in mortality under, say, 60 years of age is proportionately greater than the change in the mortality rate.

The two basic requirements for life table construction are the number of deaths by age and sex for a given year or period, (numerator) and an estimate of the mid-year population by age and sex (denominator). In this study the data were also classified by electoral ward (England) or electoral division (Wales) to enable construction of life tables for both (a) the nine government office regions (GOR) of England and Wales and (b) five groups of the population defined by level of material deprivation in the ward or electoral division.

Data sources

A number of different data sources were used (table 1). Registered deaths for 1997, 1998, and 1999 in each ward of England and Wales were obtained from the Office for National Statistics. We excluded 0.35% of male deaths and 0.20% of female deaths because of missing geographical data. Annual average numbers of deaths registered over the three year period were calculated by age group, sex, and electoral ward or electoral division.

For England, the denominators for the mortality rates were derived from estimates of the electoral ward populations in three broad age bands (0–15, 16–59, and 60 years or more, both sexes combined) for 1998. These were provided by the Social Disadvantage Research Group (SDRG) at Oxford University, which apportioned ONS mid-1998 population estimates for each local authority to its constituent wards on the basis of national administrative statistics, including child benefit records and the electoral register (mean ward population 5883, standard deviation 4213). The SDRG estimates were checked for consistency against local data sources by the local authorities themselves. Full details of this procedure are reported elsewhere.¹⁶ We then used local authority populations from the 2001 census to estimate the age-sex profile of each constituent ward.¹⁷ These were the most detailed age-sex data available at the time of analysis for a year close to 1998. Each ward retained its total population count from the 1998 estimates for each age band, but within each of the three broad age bands, the 2001 age-sex structure of the local authority containing that ward was applied. This enabled us to estimate the population of each ward by sex, in the conventional age groups: under 1 year, 1 to 4 years, 5 to 9 years ... 85 to 89 years, and 90 years or more.

For Wales, the Local Government Data Unit provided counts of patients registered with general practitioners by

Abbreviations: GOR, government office region; IMD, index of multiple deprivation

Table 1 Data sources used to derive deprivation and region specific life tables, England and Wales, 1998

	England	Wales
Registered deaths*	Average annual number of deaths by single year of age, sex and electoral ward or electoral division for 1997–1999 obtained directly from the Office for National Statistics.	
Population counts	Ward level population estimates in three broad age bands: under 16, 16–64, and 65 years and over for 1998. ¹⁶	Counts of patients registered with general practitioners in 1998 from the National Health Service Administrative Register, by single year of age, sex, and electoral division obtained directly from the Local Government Data Unit for Wales.
Age and sex structure	Proportion of population under 1 year, 1–4 years, and five year age groups up to 90 and over for each local authority in England from the 2001 census. ¹⁷	
Deprivation measure	The income domain score of the indices of multiple deprivation 2000 for each electoral ward or electoral division. ^{16 18}	

*0.35% of male and 0.20% of female deaths could not be attributed to an electoral ward or electoral division and were excluded from the analyses.

single year of age and sex from the National Health Service Administrative Register (NHSAR) for each electoral division (mean population 3471, standard deviation 2755).

On a national basis, defining deprivation at the individual level is seldom possible. This study uses an ecological measure of deprivation as a proxy of the deprivation level of the person, as in previous work.^{3 12 19} The most readily available area based deprivation measure for 1998 electoral wards was the index of multiple deprivation (IMD 2000), which consists of a series of indices referring to six domains of life.¹⁶ An equivalent set of indices has been produced for electoral divisions in Wales.¹⁸ The income domain, which defines the proportion of people in receipt of any one of five different means tested benefits (income support, job seekers' allowance, family credit, disability working allowance, and council tax benefit) was selected as the measure of deprivation, because it attempts to quantify material wealth (as against housing, educational, or health deprivation) and is thus similar to measures of material deprivation used elsewhere.^{20–22} Although the fifth component of the English and Welsh income domain scores are not strictly identical, the misclassification introduced into quintiles of the score by using the Welsh IMD in conjunction with the English IMD was judged to be minimal (Dibben C, personal communication). A full description of how the indices were constructed can be found elsewhere.^{16 18}

The 8444 electoral wards of England and the 865 electoral divisions of Wales were pooled and sorted in rank order according to their income domain score. The 9309 wards were then divided into five groups numbered 1 to 5, representing the most affluent 20% of wards* through to the most deprived 20%.

Life table construction

Life tables containing observed age and sex specific mortality rates were then constructed for each region† *i*, for each deprivation quintile *j*, and for every combination *ij* of region and deprivation. These sets of death rates were smoothed and extended into complete (single year of age) life tables up to 100 years of age using a model life table method that compares observed mortality rates in the index population to that of a known mortality "standard" of high quality. We incorporated in this approach the overall mortality, the balance between young age and old age mortality, and the pattern observed among the young.²³ The Government Actuary's Department English Life Table for 1991 was used

*For simplicity, from here on we will use the term "ward" to refer to the electoral wards in England and the electoral divisions in Wales.

†Henceforth the term "region" will be used to refer to the nine government office regions (GOR) of England and Wales.




Figure 1 Annual deprivation specific mortality rates per 100 000: observed (most deprived only, squares) and smoothed (all quintiles, lines) and the mortality rate ratio between the smoothed rates of the deprived and the affluent (right hand axis), by single year of age and sex, England and Wales, 1998.



J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

J Epidemiol Community Health: first published as 10.1136/jech.2003.013003 on 13 January 2005. Downloaded from <http://jech.bmj.com/> on 9 October 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

deprivation of people living in that ward. Population weighted linear regression of the resulting coefficients upon sex specific life expectancies for each region e_i was performed.

RESULTS

A north-south gradient is apparent in the regional life expectancies at birth, with three distinct groupings (fig 2). This is true for both sexes, but is most evident for males. The first group comprises the South West, East of England, and South East, where life expectancy at birth is notably high (76.5 years in males and about 81 for females). The North East and North West have low life expectancy (less than 74 years for males and less than 79 for females). A third group comprises mid-range life expectancies. Life expectancy also displays a clear trend across the five deprivation categories (fig 2). For males, living in the most affluent fifth of wards affords almost five additional years of life expectancy at birth compared with those living in the most deprived fifth. For females, the equivalent figure is 3.6 years.

The range of life expectancy across deprivation quintiles is much wider than across regions, particularly for males. These differences are the result of substantial differences in age specific mortality (fig 1, top half). For both males and females, mortality is highest in the most deprived areas, successively lower in less deprived areas, and lowest in the most affluent areas. Mortality in the most deprived areas is almost double that of the most affluent areas for males up to 60 years of age, and around 1.6 times higher for females up to age 50 (fig 1, bottom half). Rate ratios are smaller over 85 years, around 1.15 for males and 1.25 for females.

Table 2 shows results from the three linear regressions performed to disentangle the effects of geography and deprivation on life expectancy. Model (a), including only region as a covariate, predicts a range of regional life expectancies of 2.7 years for males and 2.5 years for females, whereas model (b), containing only deprivation, predicts a range of 5.0 and 3.6 years for males and females, respectively. When comparing model (c) to model (a) a strong confounding effect of deprivation can be seen by the decrease in the coefficients for region. In contrast, comparison of model (b) with model (c) shows no such confounding effect of geography. Furthermore, including both geography and

deprivation quintile (model (c)), provides only a slightly better fit than the model including deprivation quintile alone (model (b)). In other words, geography explains much less of the variance in life expectancy than deprivation, while deprivation is a confounder in the relation between geography and life expectancy.

Nineteen of the 20 interaction terms between deprivation and region were not significant, showing that the relation between deprivation and life expectancy did not differ significantly between any of the 10 geographical regions for males, or in nine of the 10 regions for females. Intra-regional income inequality had a similarly low impact, in that the GINI coefficient explained only 2% of the regional variation in life expectancy for both males and females (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Our analyses indicate that age specific mortality in England and Wales in 1998 was higher and life expectancy at birth lower with higher levels of deprivation, as measured ecologically by the income domain score of the IMD 2000. Regional estimates of life expectancy reveal a clear north-south gradient, but this geographical pattern is predominantly attributable to the distribution of income deprivation.

Our results are consistent with literature on mortality patterns in the UK, elsewhere in Europe and the USA, which

Key points

- Material poverty is the most important single determinant of life expectancy. Mortality also varies regionally in England, Europe, and North America but no study has analysed the effect of deprivation and geography simultaneously.
- Differences in life expectancy between deprivation groups are greater than those between the different government office regions of England and Wales. Multivariate analysis shows that deprivation explains most of the geographical variation in life expectancy.

Table 2 Linear regression models of region and deprivation quintile upon deprivation and region specific life expectancy at birth, males and females, England and Wales, 1998

Model	Males			Females		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)
Government office for the region (England)						
North East	–		–	–		–
North West	–0.16		–0.54*	0.02		–0.24
Yorkshire and Humber	0.65		0.28	0.94		0.69*
East Midlands	1.31		0.22	1.30		0.55*
West Midlands	0.88		0.26	1.11		0.68*
East of England	2.54*		0.86*	2.19*		1.03*
London	1.18		0.60*	1.38		0.97*
South East	2.48*		0.51*	2.21*		0.83*
South West	2.56*		1.16*	2.45*		1.50*
Wales	0.69		0.55*	0.78		0.68*
Deprivation quintile						
1—Most affluent		–	–		–	–
2		–0.77*	–0.76*		–0.64	–0.63*
3		–1.64*	–1.59*		–1.37	–1.33*
4		–2.98*	–2.86*		–2.26	–2.14*
5—Most deprived		–4.96*	–4.72*		–3.61	–3.36*
Constant	73.94	77.75	77.26	78.66	81.87	81.08
% variance explained (R^2)	27	92	98	34	85	96
Total number of observations	50	50	50	50	50	50

*Denotes coefficient is significant at 5% level.

show the impact of income level, poverty, or deprivation.^{12 20 21} In this study, differences in life expectancy seem to be the result of differential survival at all ages from infancy to late adulthood. This suggests that these inequalities might be reduced by public health interventions to decrease mortality before the age of 75, which is mainly attributable to cancer, circulatory disease, and suicide.²⁷ Income deprivation has been shown elsewhere to have a strong association with adverse health behaviour, providing suggestive evidence of a causal pathway.²² Our results also show the importance of adjusting, where possible, for socioeconomic status when analysing differences in population mortality: this has not always been done.^{8–15}

Relative income inequality has been proposed as a partial explanation for international differences in life expectancy.²⁸ This relation has generated much debate during the past decade.²⁹ Our results do not support the hypothesis that relative inequality within the administrative regions of England and Wales could explain the differences in life expectancy observed between them. However, the use of ward level measures of deprivation may have diluted the effect of intra-regional income inequality on life expectancy. It has been suggested elsewhere that this effect may be observed more readily on a national scale or in countries where income inequality is much greater than in England and Wales (for example, the USA). Also, the nationalised nature of the welfare state and other public services in the UK may mean that more subtle measures of social status are required to identify such patterns.^{30 31}

The differentials in life expectancy shown in this study between the most affluent and the most deprived areas of England and Wales are substantial. A recent WHO report ranked its 191 member countries in order of life expectancy at birth. Overall, the UK ranked 21st for males and 25th for females. The mortality experience of the most affluent quintile of England and Wales reported here would rank first among these 191 countries for males and sixth for females. Conversely, the life expectancy of the most deprived quintile is equivalent to the 35th for males and the 37th for females, similar to middle income countries.³²

The differentials observed here probably underestimate the underlying pattern, because dividing the data into quintiles on the basis of ward will tend to attenuate the effect. This is because ward populations are quite large and economically diverse, and categorisation of people by ward of residence will dilute mortality differentials between the most deprived and most affluent groups. Therefore, although the correspondence between area based deprivation measures and life events has been shown to be high in England and Wales,³³ our results are best considered as a conservative representation of the underlying pattern.

The population denominators for England were based upon estimated counts, but they are unlikely to be consistently biased as they underwent extensive consultation during development.¹⁶ Applying the age structure of each local authority from the 2001 census to its constituent wards assumes that each ward has a similar population structure, and that it is a good estimate of the 1998 structure.

Policy implications

The poverty focus of current health policy to reduce geographical differentials in mortality is appropriate, but should be aimed at those under 75 years of age. The estimates provided in this paper offer a baseline against which the effects of this policy can be evaluated in due course.

Inaccuracies where this assumption is breached can be shown to be greatest at the boundaries of each age band (data not shown). However, the method used to smooth the observed mortality rates will have minimised both this error and the impact of under-registration of infants in Wales (Chamberlain K, personal communication). The recognised inflation of population counts in Wales at ages above 1 year (Chamberlain K, personal communication) will tend to reduce the observed mortality, but only differential inflation by deprivation quintile would compromise the observed deprivation differences. Mortality rate ratios between the most affluent and deprived groups for Wales suggest that population under-counts in the most deprived quintile would need to exceed 10% for men and 20% for women to nullify the patterns observed (data not shown).

Our results are consistent with separate data sources such as a recent analysis of the England and Wales longitudinal study,³⁴ where estimated life expectancy at birth for 1997–1999 was only three months lower than ours, for both sexes, while the deprivation specific estimates reported here are contained within the range of their reported life expectancies by social class.

We have shown that mortality in England and Wales varied by region in 1998, but that this geographical variation can be largely explained by the distribution of deprivation. Current health policy in the UK is focused upon the impact of poverty: it aims “to reduce, by at least 10 per cent, the gap between the ... areas with the lowest life expectancy at birth and the population as a whole” by 2010.⁴ The pronounced differences in life expectancy and mortality presented here support the poverty centred focus of this policy framework, suggest that it should be aimed primarily at those under 75 years of age, and provide a baseline against which it can be evaluated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr Chris Dibben and Professor Michael Noble at the Social Disadvantage Research Group, Oxford University, Kate Chamberlain at the Local Government Data Unit for Wales, Cardiff, and Dr Mike Quinn and Nicola Cooper at the National Cancer Intelligence Centre, ONS, for providing necessary data.

Authors' affiliations

L M Woods, B Rachet, M Riga, N Stone, A Shah, M P Coleman, Non-communicable Disease Epidemiology Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Funding: Cancer Research UK grant no C1336/A2990: Trends in socio-economic inequalities in cancer survival, England and Wales, up to 2000.

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

Ethical approval: data in public domain.

The complete set of life tables is available in electronic form from the authors.

REFERENCES

- 1 **World Health Organisation.** *The European health report 2002.* WHO regional publications. European series no 97. Copenhagen: WHO regional office for Europe, 2002. (<http://www.euro.who.int/europeanhealthreport>)
- 2 **Wang L.** Determinants of child mortality in LDCs: empirical findings from demographic and health surveys. *Health Policy* 2003;**65**:277–99.
- 3 **Law MR, Morris JK.** Why is mortality higher in poorer areas and in more northern areas of England and Wales? *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1998;**52**:344–52.
- 4 **Department of Health.** *Our healthier nation. A contract for health.* London: The Stationery Office, 1998.
- 5 **Dolan SA, Jarman B, Bajekal M, et al.** Measuring disadvantage: changes in the underprivileged area, Townsend, and Carstairs scores 1981–91. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1995;**49**(suppl 2):30–3.
- 6 **Eames M, Ben Shlomo Y, Marmot MG.** Social deprivation and premature mortality: regional comparison across England. *BMJ* 1993;**307**:1097–102.
- 7 **Groenewegen PP, Westert GP, Boshuizen HC.** Regional differences in healthy life expectancy in the Netherlands. *Public Health* 2003;**117**:424–9.

- 8 **Valkonen T.** Trends in regional and socio-economic mortality differentials in Finland. *Int J Health Sci* 1992;**3**:157–66.
- 9 **Van Der Veen WJ.** Does it matter where I live in Western Europe? An analysis of regional mortality differentials in Belgium, Germany and the Netherlands. *European Journal of Population* 1994;**10**:319–48.
- 10 **Iburg KM,** Bronnum-Hansen H, Bjerregaard P. Health expectancy in Greenland. *Scand J Public Health* 2001;**29**:5–12.
- 11 **Van Oyen H,** Tafforeau J, Roelands M. Regional inequities in health expectancy in Belgium. *Soc Sci Med* 1996;**43**:1673–8.
- 12 **Benach J,** Yasui Y. Geographical patterns of excess mortality in Spain explained by two indices of deprivation. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1999;**53**:423–31.
- 13 **Filate WA,** Johansen HL, Kennedy CC, et al. Regional variations in cardiovascular mortality in Canada. *Can J Cardiol* 2003;**19**:1241–8.
- 14 **Mustard CA,** Derksen S, Black C. Widening regional inequality in premature mortality rates in Manitoba. *Can J Public Health* 1999;**90**:372–6.
- 15 **Greenberg M,** Schneider D. Region of birth and mortality of blacks in the United States. *Int J Epidemiol* 1992;**21**:324–8.
- 16 **Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions.** *Measuring multiple deprivation at the small area level: the indices of deprivation 2000.* London: Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, 2000.
- 17 **Office for National Statistics.** Census 2001 homepage. <http://www.statistics.gov.uk/census2001> (accessed 11 Mar 2003).
- 18 **The National Assembly for Wales.** *Welsh index of multiple deprivation.* Cardiff: The National Assembly for Wales, 2000.
- 19 **Coleman MP,** Babb P, Damiecki P, et al. *Cancer survival trends in England and Wales 1971–1995: deprivation and NHS region.* Series SMPS no 61. London: The Stationery Office, 1999.
- 20 **Raleigh VS,** Kiri VA. Life expectancy in England: variations and trends by gender, health authority, and level of deprivation. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1997;**51**:649–58.
- 21 **Lynch JW,** Davey Smith G, Kaplan GA, et al. Income inequality and mortality: importance to health of individual income, psychosocial environment, or material conditions. *BMJ* 2000;**320**:1200–4.
- 22 **Kaplan GA,** Pamuk ER, Lynch JW, et al. Inequality in income and mortality in the United States: analysis of mortality and potential pathways. *BMJ* 1996;**312**:999–1003.
- 23 **Preston SH,** Taubman P. Socioeconomic difference in adult mortality and health status. In: Martin LG, Preston SH, eds. *The demography of ageing.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1994:279–318.
- 24 **Government Actuary's Department.** English life tables. <http://www.gad.gov.uk> (accessed 25 Nov 2002).
- 25 **Preston SH,** Heuveline P, Guillot M. *Demography: measuring and modelling population processes.* Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2001.
- 26 **Leon DA,** Walt G. *Poverty, inequality and health: an international perspective.* New York: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- 27 **Office for National Statistics.** Twentieth century mortality: 100 years of mortality data in England and Wales by age, sex, year and underlying cause. [CD ROM]. London: The Stationery Office, 2003.
- 28 **Wilkinson R.** *Unhealthy societies: from inequality to well-being.* London: Routledge, 1996.
- 29 **Mackenbach JP.** Income inequality and population health. *BMJ* 2002;**324**:1–2.
- 30 **Wilkinson R,** Bezruchka S. Income inequality and population health. *BMJ* 2002;**324**:978.
- 31 **Doran T,** Drever F, Whitehead M. Is there a north-south divide in social class inequalities in health in Great Britain? Cross sectional study using data from the 2001 census. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:1043–5.
- 32 **Mathers CD,** Murray CJL, Lopez AD, et al. *Estimates of healthy life expectancy for 191 countries in the year 2000: methods and results.* Global Programme on Evidence for Health Policy Discussion Paper no 38. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2001.
- 33 **Sloggett A,** Joshi H. Deprivation indicators as predictors of life events 1981–1992 based on the UK ONS longitudinal study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1998;**52**:228–33.
- 34 **Donkin A,** Goldblatt P, Lynch K. Inequalities in life expectancy by social class, 1972–1999. *Health Stat Q* 2002;**15**:5–15.

APHORISM OF THE MONTH

“The half-life of a health fact is probably about four and half years.” (Lowell Levin)

Lowell reminds us in this month's Aphorism of the dynamic and ephemeral nature of knowledge. Coupled with Bernard Choi's observation in Speakers' Corner that there are some 17 000 new biomedical books published every year along with 30 000 biomedical journals, then surely knowledge management requires our attention if we are not to drown in the irrelevant?

JRA