Capstone Project: Data Science Journey

Arwa Abbas, 14-Sep-2025, IBM Data Science Professional Certificate: Data Science Capstone Course

Executive Summary

•Objective: Apply full data-science workflow to a real dataset

•Tools: Python, Pandas, SQL, Folium, Plotly Dash, scikit-learn

•**Key Result:** To built an interactive dashboard & classification model with solid accuracy

•Outcomes: To support data-driven decisions

Introduction

- •Overview of SpaceX launches (2010–2020)
- •Columns in the dataset (Launch Site, Payload Mass, Orbit, Class, Landing Outcome)
- •Motivation: Improve understanding of success/failure trends and predict outcomes.

Data Collection & Wrangling

- Data collected from SpaceX official records.
- •Cleaned missing values, standardized formats, handled categorical data.
- •Features prepared for predictive modeling: Payload Mass, Orbit, Launch Site, Landing Pad, Serial.

EDA & Interactive Visual Analytics Methodology

- Visualized relationships between:
- Flight Number vs Launch Site
- Payload Mass vs Launch Site
- Orbit vs Success Rate
- Used seaborn for scatter and catplots
- Generated interactive maps using FoliumBuilt dashboards with Plotly

Flight Number vs Launch Site

- Plotted Flight Number (x-axis) vs Launch Site (y-axis)
- Hue set to Class (success/failure)
- Observations:
 - Launches are clustered by site
 - Some sites have more frequent launches
 - Success rates vary slightly by site

Payload Mass vs Launch Site

- Scatter plot of Payload Mass vs Launch Site
- Hue set to Class (success/failure)

- Certain sites carry heavier payloads more often
- High payloads occasionally correlate with failed launches
- Distribution of payload mass differs across sites

Payload Mass vs Orbit

- •Scatter plot showing relationship between Payload Mass and Orbit type
- Observations:
- Low Earth Orbit (LEO) often carries lighter payloads
- •Geostationary transfer orbit (GTO) usually has heavier payloads
- Success rate slightly decreases for very heavy payloads in certain orbits

Flight Number vs Orbit

- Shows which orbit types are used for each flight number
- •Observations:
- Early flights mostly targeted LEO
- •Higher flight numbers have more diverse orbit types
- •Certain orbits like GTO and Polar are less frequent but consistent for specific missions

Yearly Launch Success Trend

• Line chart showing average launch success per year

- Success rate has generally increased over the years
- Early years (2010–2012) had a few failures, later years mostly successful
- Trend shows SpaceX improving reliability over time

Success Rate by Orbit Type

•Bar chart showing success rate for each orbit type

- Low Earth Orbit (LEO) has highest success rate
- •Geostationary Transfer Orbit (GTO) slightly lower success rate
- •Polar and Sun-synchronous orbits are less frequent but mostly successful

SQL Analysis – Unique Launch Sites

- •There are multiple launch sites, e.g., CCAFS, KSC, VAFB
- •Some sites are used more frequently than others

SQL Analysis – Launch Sites Starting with 'CCA'

- •CCAFS SLC-40 is the most frequent site starting with CCA
- These sites are key for early SpaceX launches

SQL Analysis – Payload Mass by NASA Boosters

- Total payload mass carried by NASA boosters
- Observations:
 - NASA missions tend to have heavier payloads
 - · CRS missions (resupply) dominate in total payload mass

SQL Analysis – Average Payload by Booster Version

- Average payload mass for F9 v1.1 booster
- Observations:
 - F9 v1.1 handles medium-range payloads
 - Newer booster versions generally carry heavier payloads with higher success rates

SQL Analysis – First Successful Ground Pad Landing

- SQL query using MIN function to find first success date
- Observations:
- Ground pad landing success achieved after initial flight tests
- Marks the beginning of reusable booster operations

SQL Analysis – Drone Ship Success

- Medium payload missions show high drone ship landing success
- · Certain boosters are more reliable in this payload range

SQL Analysis – Success vs Failure Count

- Count of successful and failed missions
- Observations:
 - Majority of missions are successful
 - Failures mostly in early years or high-risk payloads

SQL Analysis – Max Payload Boosters

Boosters carrying maximum payload mass

- •Newer booster versions (F9 B5) carry the heaviest payloads
- •These boosters show consistent success even with high mass

SQL Analysis – Failure Outcomes by Month & Year

Extracted month/year from date column

- •Failures are scattered across months, mostly in early years
- •Some months have multiple failures, indicating testing phases

Folium Map – Launch Sites

- Key launch sites clustered in Florida and California
- Map helps visualize geographic spread

Folium Map – Success/Failure

- Majority of sites have more successful launches than failures
- Drone ship landings highlighted separately

Folium Map – Distances to Landmarks

- Distance analysis useful for logistical planning
- •Ground pad sites generally closer to infrastructure

Plotly Dash Dashboard

- Visualizes:
 - Launch success vs Flight Number
 - Payload Mass vs Orbit
 - Launch site statistics
- Interactive filters for dynamic exploration
- Observations:
 - Users can explore trends dynamically
 - Dashboard allows for comparison across launch sites and orbits

Predictive Analysis Methodology

- Target: Class (0 = failure, 1 = success)
- Features: Payload Mass, Orbit, Launch Site, Landing Pad, Serial (one-hot encoded)
- Preprocessing: StandardScaler, train/test split (80/20)
- Models: Logistic Regression, SVM, Decision Tree, KNN
- · Hyperparameter tuning: GridSearchCV, 10-fold CV

Test Accuracy of Models

Logistic Regression: 0.85

• SVM: **0.92**

• Decision Tree: **0.88**

• KNN: **0.86**

Best performing model: SVM

- SVM often performs best for complex relationships
- Decision Tree is interpretable but may overfit on small data
- KNN is sensitive to feature scaling
- Logistic Regression provides a reliable baseline accuracy

Conclusion

- Successfully explored SpaceX launch data with EDA, SQL, and visual analytics
- Built predictive models with good accuracy
- Developed interactive maps and dashboards for insights
- Recommendations:
 - Include more features (e.g., weather, booster reuse)
 - Explore advanced models (Random Forest, XGBoost)
- Future work: Enhance dashboards and predictions for operational insights