

## CN ASSIGNMENT#01

Friday, 12 September 2025 12:11 AM

NABITA VIJAYA TDA-CRIV

QUESTION 1

packet length L  
 Link 1 transmission rate R<sub>1</sub> → propagation delay D<sub>1</sub>  
 Link 2 transmission rate R<sub>2</sub> → propagation delay D<sub>2</sub>  
 switch processing delay

$$\Delta \text{ end-end} = \frac{L}{R_1} + D_1 + \frac{L}{R_2} + D_2 + \text{switch processing} = \frac{L}{R_1} + D_1 + \frac{L}{R_2} + D_2 \text{ (assuming negligible } D_{\text{switch}} \text{ & } D_{\text{prop}})$$

L<sub>1</sub> → transmission delay on first link (time to path packet on link)

R<sub>1</sub> → propagation delay on first link (time for signal to travel physical link from one node to next)

L<sub>2</sub> → transmission delay on second link (time to path packet on link)

D<sub>1</sub> → propagation delay on second link (time for signal to travel physical link from one node to next)  
 Queue processing delay (summed up) (time packet waits in buffer for transmission, depends on router congestion)

D<sub>2</sub> → queueing delay in router (time to examine packet header) → negligible (0)

First you pay price to propagate bits to the first link, then they propagate

to the router, the router, after queuing/processing, transmits onto link 2 paying

link 2, then the bits propagate to destination

if  $L_1 \ll R_1$ ,  $L_2 \gg R_2$ , so the second links transmission delay dominates the end-to-end delay

→ packets will arrive at the router faster than the router can forward them causing queuing at router → D<sub>queue</sub>↑ further increasing total delay

Link 2 becomes a bottleneck and causes queuing, dominates latency, Link 1's contribution becomes negligible

QUESTION 2

DSL (Digital Subscriber Line)

each subscriber has a dedicated pair (coaxial pair), each house has a dedicated line to the CO (central office)

Paired to each home is point-to-point, so max rate applies to physical pair

frequency division multiplexes separated data & voice

advantage → no sharing with neighbours, 100 Mbps is per subscriber over their dedicated copper pair (Identically distance & noise)

HFC (Hybrid Fiber Coaxial)

ISP uses fiber backbone up to neighborhood, then coaxial cable shared among multiple houses

frequencies highly separated (200, intervals)

shared medium, each computer for bandwidth, less physical, dominates latency, Link 1's contribution becomes negligible

QUESTION 3

HTTP (HyperText Transfer Protocol)

Web user requests the 4 headers (URLs Cong → GET (path HTTP))

Router identifies resource requested, carries application semantics (which page, contains for session state, user agent), controls how server should respond.

Transport layer (TCP header)

Web user's source port & destination port (Port response header)

Router controls reliability by buffering the sequence number to control process by multiplying to right application process on endpoints (ports)

Network layer (IP header)

Web user's source IP & destination IP addresses, flow + lifetime (TTL)

Protocol: end-to-end addressing and routing across network, routers use destination IP to forward packet, TTL prevents loops

Link Layer (Ethernet frame header)

Web user's source & destination MAC address

Protocol: node-to-node delivery each physical link, coordination, backtracking/delay for next hop

examples: when TCP header checks destination IP + TTL, looks up next hop in routing table, may check IP header checksum.

ignores Transport (TCP) and Application (HTTP) headers, irrelevant for routing.

uses little header only empirical / heuristic (to check frame destination, even MAC)

disadvantage: efficient splitting of multiple paths → congestion control can adapt + error recovery as only last hop segment retransmitted.

Disadvantage: increased per-packet overhead as segmentation introduces header which can become queuing delay and processing delay across routers causing higher router load

QUESTION 4

Wire capacity = 3 Gbps

each active user = 100 Mbps

$$\text{peak users} = \frac{3 \times 10^9}{10^8} = 300 \text{ users}$$

when bandwidth is bursts, not all active simultaneously

statistical multiplexing: resources are shared only when needed

Path allows > 1 user without guaranteed failure, since inactive user free bandwidth for others

QUESTION 5

car → packet

driver → host sending packet

intersections → routers / switches

roads → links between nodes

road destination → destination host IP

analogy → driver reads address, follows signs at intersections, routers read destination IP and

compute route tables to forward the packet toward destination.

→ maps to network routing layer (Layer 3) when the false sign causes misrouting of vehicles through wrong intersections

→ corresponds to network layer attack (SYN flooding / routing manipulation/patching)

→ topology → reading info about

QUESTION 6

propagation speed = 10 km/hour

length = 10 km

backward service time = 10 sec / car

carries passes 3 tollbooths in total

distance = 10 km

$$\text{t} = \frac{\text{length}}{\text{speed}} = \frac{10 \text{ km}}{10 \text{ km/hour}} = 1 \text{ hour}$$

100% utilization

service per car =  $\frac{10 \text{ sec}}{10 \text{ sec}} = 1 \text{ car/sec}$

for 10 cars →  $\frac{1}{10 \text{ sec}} = 10 \times 1 = 10 \text{ cars}$

for 3 tollbooths →  $t_{\text{total}} = 3 \times 1 = 3 \text{ hours}$

$$\Delta \text{ end-end} = t_{\text{prop}} + t_{\text{queue}} + 100 \times 6 = 105 + 6 = 111 \text{ minutes}$$

111 min = 1.85 hours

caravan = 10 cars

1 group = 100 min

service per car =  $\frac{100 \text{ min}}{10 \text{ sec}} = 10 \text{ cars/min}$

for 8 cars →  $\frac{100 \text{ min}}{10 \text{ sec}} = 8 \times 10 = 80 \text{ cars}$

for 3 tollbooths →  $t_{\text{total}} = 3 \times 10 = 30 \text{ minutes}$

$$\Delta \text{ end-end} = t_{\text{prop}} + t_{\text{queue}} + 100 \times 10 = 105 + 30 = 135 \text{ minutes}$$

135 min = 2.25 hours

bottleneck A → B

100% utilization

distance = 10 miles

propagation speed = 5 miles

product sum = 1

$\Delta \text{ prop} = \frac{10}{5} = 2$

$\Delta \text{ queue} = \frac{10}{100} = 0.1$

$\Delta \text{ end-end} = 1 + 0.1 + 2 = 3.1$

at 100%, the sender just finished transmitting the last bit onto the link, so

The last bit is yet leaving A and has not yet propagated, far from the link

If drop > 100%, propagation is slower than transmission, at 100%, first bit is still in transit located a distance > 100m from A (not yet reached B)

If drop < 100%, propagation is faster than transmission, at 100%, the first bit has already reached / arrived at B before the entire packet finished transmitting.

QUESTION 7

50-25-12.5%

loss = 100%

P = 0.5

t<sub>prop</sub> = 100ms

$\Delta \text{ prop} = \frac{100}{50} = 2$

$\Delta \text{ queue} = \frac{100}{25} = 4$

$\Delta \text{ end-end} = 1 + 4 + 2 = 7$

100% utilization

distance = 100m

propagation speed = 100m/100ms = 1m/ms

100ms = 100,000μs

100m = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300m

300m = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

300,000μm = 300m

300m = 0.3km

0.3km = 300,000μm

```

traceroute to google.com [209.80.140.203]
over a maximum of 30 hops:
  1  66 ms  5 ms  29 ms 202.207.26.203
  2  24 ms  5 ms  27 ms 20.253.5.98
  3  24 ms  6 ms  42 ms 20.253.5.98
  4  24 ms  6 ms  42 ms 20.253.5.98
  5  197 ms  182 ms  113 ms 209.80.140.203
  6  197 ms  182 ms  113 ms 209.80.140.203
Trace complete.

```

NOTE: using the last hop RTT because they measure the true end-to-end delay to destination host, which is what we want.

route	destination	RTT (ms)
7.20.97.1	External	95 ms
10.30.97.1	Forward	50 ms
11.20.97.1	External	48 ms

route	destination	RTT (ms)
7.20.97.1	External	95 ms
10.30.97.1	Forward	15 ms
11.20.97.1	External	50 ms

From style:

$$\text{means at each of the 3 hours} \rightarrow 195.166.100.1, 194.156.100.1, 194.199.100.1 \\ \text{standard deviations at each of the 3 hours} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{(195.166.100.1 - 194.156.100.1)^2 + (195.166.100.1 - 194.199.100.1)^2}{3}} = 14.51 \text{ ms}, 19.31 \text{ ms}, 6.65 \text{ ms}$$

10.30.97.1 11.20.97.1  $\rightarrow$  the paths never changed between the times.

Q) No. of ISPF switches = 3  
yes, the longest delay occurs at passing interfaces between adjacent ISPFs.  
Then second, then third.

Q) means of each of the 3 hours  $\rightarrow$   $\frac{195.166.100.1 + 194.156.100.1 + 194.199.100.1}{3} = 194.166.100.1$ ,  $68 \text{ ms}, 4.7 \text{ ms}$

$$\text{standard deviation at each of the 3 hours} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{(195.166.100.1 - 194.166.100.1)^2 + (194.156.100.1 - 194.166.100.1)^2 + (194.199.100.1 - 194.166.100.1)^2}{3}} = 2.36 \text{ ms}, 22.46 \text{ ms}, 3.77 \text{ ms}$$

Q) 

Week	RTT (ms)
7.20.97.1	12
10.30.97.1	13
11.20.97.1	15

yes the path changed between the times (different cell path)

Q) No. of ISPF switches = 5  
yes, the longest delay occurs at passing interfaces between adjacent ISPFs.  
Then second, then third.

Q) So bandwidth of data  $\rightarrow (5 \times 10^6) \times 8 = 4 \times 10^7 \text{ bits/sec}$   
Two hops dedicated link  $\rightarrow t = \frac{4 \times 10^7}{4 \times 10^7} = 1 \text{ sec}$

convert to days  $\rightarrow \frac{1 \text{ sec}}{86400 \text{ sec}} = 1.14 \times 10^{-5} = 1.14 \times 10^{-5} \text{ days}$

Total bandwidth = 3.6 Gb/s

$3 << 1.14 \times 10^{-5} \text{ days}$   $\rightarrow$  Trivial feasible!

Q) message =  $10^6$  bits  
each link R = 9 Mbps

a) message segmentation

$$\Delta \text{ latency} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{10^6}{9 \times 10^6} = 0.1111 \text{ sec} = 111.1 \text{ ms}$$

Time to move msg from source to first switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

with store-and-forward: each link will forward the entire msg before next link starts sending it

3 links, hence  $\rightarrow t_{\text{latency}} = 3 \times 200 \text{ ms} = 600 \text{ ms}$

b) message segmented into 10 packets

each packet 1000 bits long

$$t_{\text{packet}} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{1000}{9 \times 10^6} = 0.0001111 \text{ sec} = 111.1 \text{ us}$$

Time for 1st packet to reach first switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ us}$

Time when second packet is fully received at first switch:

packet 1 from source to switch 1  $\rightarrow$  too late to be packet

packet 2 from source to switch 1  $\rightarrow$  1.14 ms to 2.28 ms  $\rightarrow$  1.14 ms

packet 3 will be received at switch 2 at 2.28 ms  $\rightarrow 2.28 \text{ ms} = 2.28 \text{ ms}$

Time to move msg from source to first switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 1st packet to reach first switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time to move msg from source to second switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 2nd packet to reach second switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time to move msg from source to third switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 3rd packet to reach third switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time to move msg from source to fourth switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 4th packet to reach fourth switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time to move msg from source to fifth switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 5th packet to reach fifth switch  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time to move msg from source to destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 6th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 7th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 8th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 9th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 10th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 11th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 12th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 13th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 14th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 15th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 16th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 17th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 18th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 19th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 20th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 21st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 22nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 23rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 24th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 25th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 26th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 27th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 28th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 29th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 30th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 31st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 32nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 33rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 34th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 35th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 36th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 37th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 38th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 39th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 40th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 41st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 42nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 43rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 44th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 45th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 46th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 47th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 48th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 49th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 50th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 51st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 52nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 53rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 54th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 55th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 56th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 57th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 58th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 59th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 60th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 61st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 62nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 63rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 64th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 65th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 66th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 67th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 68th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 69th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 70th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 71st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 72nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 73rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 74th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 75th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 76th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 77th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 78th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 79th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 80th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 81st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 82nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 83rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 84th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 85th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 86th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 87th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 88th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 89th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 90th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 91st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 92nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 93rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 94th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 95th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 96th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 97th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 98th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 99th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 100th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 101st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 102nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 103rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 104th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 105th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 106th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 107th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 108th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 109th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 110th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 111th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 112th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 113th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 114th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 115th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 116th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 117th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 118th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 119th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 120th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 121st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 122nd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 123rd packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 124th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 125th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 126th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 127th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 128th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 129th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 130th packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 131st packet to reach destination  $\rightarrow 200 \text{ ms}$

Time for 132nd