



## PROJECT INTELLIGENCE

# Structural Analysis & Construction Report

Comprehensive risk, cost, and schedule assessment generated by StructuraAI's automated analysis pipeline.

RISK SCORE <b>60.0</b> Medium Risk	BUILDABILITY <b>63.5/100</b> Constructability Index	PROJECT BUDGET <b>0.1L</b> Total Estimated Cost	REPORT DATE <b>01 Mar 2026</b> 07:42 IST
--	---	---	--

Report Section	Description
01 — Executive Summary	Key metrics, risk classification, buildability overview
02 — Cost Intelligence	Budget breakdown, Monte Carlo simulation, NPV/IRR
03 — Schedule Analysis	Phase Gantt, cashflow projection, critical timeline
04 — Risk Assessment	Risk radar, phase-level risk matrix, mitigations
05 — Resource Utilisation	Material quantities and crew allocation

Prepared by: StructuraAI Automated Analysis Engine · Classification: Confidential · Valid Until: 30 days from generation



1

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric	Value	Status
Overall Risk Score	60.0 / 100	MEDIUM
Buildability Score	63.5 / 100	ACCEPTABLE
Total Project Cost	■6,791	BASELINE
Cost Contingency (P90)	■8,489	+25% BUFFER
Expected Duration	7 days	SCHEDULED

**Insight:** The project carries a **Medium risk profile** with a score of 60.0/100. Buildability index of 63.5/100 indicates moderate complexity — enhanced supervision recommended.

### Cost Breakdown by Category

Category	Amount (■)	% of Total
Foundation & Substructure	■1,222	18.0%
Structural Frame	■1,494	22.0%
External Envelope	■951	14.0%
MEP Services	■1,358	20.0%
Internal Fit-out	■815	12.0%
Preliminaries	■543	8.0%
Contingency	■407	6.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>■6,791</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## 2 COST INTELLIGENCE

NPV (10% DISCOUNT)

**0.0L**

Net Present Value

IRR

**25.0%**

Internal Rate of Return

ROI

**25.0%**


Return on Investment

PAYBACK

**10 mo**

Estimated Payback

### Cost Risk Simulation — Monte Carlo (3,000 runs)



Distribution of projected costs across 3,000 simulated scenarios. Red = P10 (best case), Blue = P50 (median), Green = P90 (worst case).


Percentile	Projected Cost	Delta vs Baseline	Recommendation
P10 (Optimistic)	■1,594	-76.5%	Best-case scenario
P50 (Median)	■6,920	+1.9%	Planning baseline
P90 (Pessimistic)	■12,116	+78.4%	Reserve funding buffer



3


## SCHEDULE ANALYSIS

### Phase Execution Timeline



Colour-coded Gantt: each bar represents a construction phase with duration in project days.

### Cumulative Cashflow Projection (12 Months)



Solid line = projected spend. Dashed line = planned baseline. Divergence indicates schedule variance.

**Insight:** Peak cashflow demand occurs in months 4–8. Ensure draw schedules and credit facilities are arranged at least 6 weeks in advance of each phase milestone.



4

## RISK ASSESSMENT



Risk Category	Score	Level	Mitigation
Structural	45/100	Medium	Peer review all structural calcs
Schedule	60/100	Medium	Buffer 15% on critical path
Cost	35/100	Medium	Maintain P90 contingency reserve
Environmental	25/100	Low	Pre-construction site audit
Labour	55/100	Medium	Secure subcontracts early
Regulatory	20/100	Low	Pre-application authority meeting


### Risk Impact Matrix

	LOW Impact	MEDIUM Impact	HIGH Impact
HIGH Prob	Monitor	Mitigate Actively	Critical — Escalate
MED Prob	Accept	Mitigate	Mitigate Actively
LOW Prob	Accept	Accept/Monitor	Mitigate

5

## RESOURCE UTILISATION

### Material Quantity Summary



Bars coloured by utilisation intensity: dark blue = high, medium = moderate, light = low.

Material / Resource	Quantity	Unit	Estimated Cost (■)
wall_volume_cuft	15.0	units	■358
estimated_bricks	202.0	units	■4,822
estimated_steel_kg	37.5	units	■895
plaster_area_sqft	30.0	units	■716

### Report Notes & Disclaimer

This report was generated automatically by the StructuraAI analysis pipeline from submitted structural drawings. All cost estimates are indicative and subject to market conditions, site-specific factors, and contractor quotes. Monte Carlo simulations are based on statistical modelling and do not guarantee outcomes. Engage a qualified QS for final cost planning. Risk scores are computed from drawing metadata and should be validated by a structural engineer before project mobilisation.