

Homework 1 Solutions

Released 4pm Friday Sep 8, 2017

This homework has a total of 4 problems on 4 pages. Solutions should be submitted to GradeScope before 3:00pm on Wednesday, September 6, 2017.

It will be marked out of 20, you can earn up to $21 = 1 + 5 + 8 + 3 + 4$ points.

Late policy: each student can use up to two late days on each homework, for a total of four late days across all four homeworks.

If you choose not to submit a typed write-up, please write neat and legibly.

Collaboration is allowed/encouraged on problems, however each student must independently complete their own write-up, and list all collaborators. No credit will be given to solutions obtained verbatim from the Internet or other sources.

0. [1 point, only if all parts are completed]

- (a) Submit either a scan or photo of your homework **in a single pdf file** on GradeScope.
- (b) Words on the scan are clearly readable.
- (c) The answer to each question except question 0 should start on a new page.

1. (5 points) Big-O Notation

- (a) (1 point) Formally prove that Big O is transitive by relation. That is, if $f(n) \leq O(g(n))$ and $g(n) \leq O(h(n))$, then, $f(n) \leq O(h(n))$.

SOLUTION:

By definition of big-O, there exists constants C_1 and C_2 such that for all n we have

$$f(n) \leq C_1 g(n)$$

and

$$g(n) \leq C_2 h(n).$$

Multiplying the second one by C_1 then gives:

$$C_1 g(n) \leq C_1 \cdot C_2 h(n),$$

which together with the first condition gives:

$$f(n) \leq C_1 g(n) \leq C_1 \cdot C_2 h(n),$$

- (b) (1 point) Find the complexity of the following set loops, where n is given as input:

```
i <-- n;
while(i > 1) {
  j = i;      ///% CAUTION: this DOES NOT START AT 0
  while (j < n) {
    k <-- 0;
    while (k < n) {
      k = k + 2;
    }
    j <-- j * 2;
  }
  i <-- i / 2;
}
```

Express your answer using the $\Theta(\cdot)$ notation.

SOLUTION:

The innermost loop takes $\Theta(n)$ whenever it is called.

The outer most loop takes $\Theta(\log n)$ steps, and between steps $\log n/2$ and $\log n$ (a total of $\Theta(\log n)$ of steps), we have $i < n^{1/2}$.

In each such step, j gets doubled $\Theta(\log n)$ times before it reaches n . So we get a total of $\Theta(\log^2 n)$ iterations, each costing $\Theta(n)$, for a total of $\Theta(n \log^2 n)$.

- (c) (1 point) Prove or disprove: there does not exist a pair of functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ such that $f(n) \leq O(g(n))$ and $f(n) \geq \Omega(g(n))$.

SOLUTION:

False, $f(n) = g(n) = n$ are a pair of such functions.

- (d) (1 point) Prove or disprove: $n^2 \log^{10} n \leq O(n^{2.1})$.

SOLUTION:

True. This follows from combining $n^2 \leq O(n^2)$ with $\log^{10} n \leq O(n^{0.1})$.

- (e) (1 point) Prove or disprove: $2^{2n} \leq O(2^n)$.

SOLUTION:

False, for any constant C , once we have $2^n > C$, we get

$$2^{2n} > C2^n.$$

2. (8 points) Master Theorem

The master theorem applies to algorithms with recurrence relations in the form of

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + O(n^d)$$

for some constants $a > 0$, $b > 1$, and $d \geq 0$

Find the asymptotic (big-O notation) running time of the following algorithms using Master theorem **if possible**. State the runtime recurrence if it's not given, and if Master theorem is applicable, explicitly state the parameters a , b and d . Otherwise, give a quick reason that the recurrence relation is not solvable using Master theorem.

- (a) (2 points) An algorithm with the run-time recurrence:

$$T(n) = 3T(n/4) + O(n)$$

SOLUTION:

$$a = 3, b = 4, d = 1.$$

$$\log_b a = \log_4 3 \approx .79 < 1, \text{ so } O(n).$$

- (b) (2 points) An algorithm with the run-time recurrence:

$$T(n) = 8T(n/4) + O(n^{1.5})$$

SOLUTION:

$$T(n) = 3T(n/4) + O(1)$$

$$a = 3, b = 4, d = 0.$$

$$\log_b a = \log_4 3 \approx .79 > 0, \text{ so total runtime is } O(n^{.79}).$$

SOLUTION:

$$a = 8, b = 4, d = 1.$$

$$\log_b a = \log_4 8 = 1.5 < 1, \text{ so } O(n^{1.5} \log n).$$

- (c) (2 points) An algorithm solves problems by dividing a problem of size n into 3 sub-problems of one-fourth the size and recursively solves the smaller sub-problems. It takes constant time to combine the solutions of the sub-problems.

SOLUTION:

$$T(n) = 3T(n/4) + O(1)$$

$$a = 3, b = 4, d = 0.$$

$$\log_b a = \log_4 3 \approx .79 > 0, \text{ so total runtime is } O(n^{.79}).$$

GRADING:

There was a typo in the August 23 notes (now fixed) that said Master Theorem only applied for $d \geq 1$. Anyone who then claimed that Master Theorem did not apply for this problem because $d < 1$ should have still received full points.

- (d) (2 points) An algorithm solves problems by dividing a problem of size n into 2^n sub-problems of half the size and recursively solves the smaller sub-problems. It takes linear time to combine the solutions of the sub-problems.

SOLUTION:

$$T(n) = 2^n T(n/2) + O(n).$$

Not solvable by Master theorem since $a = 2^n$ is not a constant.

3. (3 points) Divide and conquer

After learning about the Stooge sort (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stooge_sort), Buzz would like to improve its performance. This led to the Buzzsort, with pseudocode as follows:

- (a) If the sequence length is at most 4, sort it using bubble sort.
- (b) Else:
 - i. Divide the list into 5 pieces evenly, **by scanning the entire list**.
 - ii. (recursively) sort the first $3/5$ of the list.
 - iii. (recursively) sort the last $3/5$ of the list.
 - iv. (recursively) sort the first $3/5$ of the list.

For example, on the input sequence

1, 5, 3, 2, 4

The first recursive sort produces

1, 3, 5, 2, 4,

the second sort produces

1, 3, 2, 4, 5,

and the last produces

1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

- (a) (2 points) Write down a runtime recurrence for Buzzsort and analyze its asymptotic running time.

SOLUTION:

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{3}{5}n\right) + O(n).$$

This fits into the requirements of Master theorem with $a = 3$, $b = \frac{5}{3}$, and $d = 1$. $\log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 \approx 2.15 > 1$, so the running time is $O(n^{2.16})$.

- (b) (1 point) Give an example sequence on 5 or 10 integers where Buzzsort does not terminate with the correct answer.

SOLUTION:

on the input sequence

$$5, 4, 3, 2, 1.$$

The first recursive sort produces

$$3, 4, 5, 2, 1,$$

the second sort produces

$$3, 4, 1, 2, 5,$$

and the third produces

$$1, 3, 4, 2, 5,$$

which is not sorted.

4. (4 points) Fast multiplication and convolution.

We show several additional applications of fast multiplications of integers. A degree d polynomial is the function

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots a_dx^d.$$

These objects multiply just like integers, except without carries. That is if we multiply degree d polynomials p and q with coefficients $a_0 \dots a_d$ and $b_0 \dots b_d$ respectively, the coefficient of x^i in the result is

$$\sum_{\max\{0, i-d\} \leq j \leq \min\{i, d\}} a_j \cdot b_{i-j}.$$

- (a) (1 point) Show that if $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are degree n polynomials with integer coefficients in the range $[0, n]$, all coefficients in the product $p(x) \cdot q(x)$ are integers in the range $[0, O(n^3)]$.

SOLUTION:

Each of the $a_j \cdot b_{i-j}$ term is at most n^2 , n of them gives $O(n^3)$.

- (b) (2 points) Show using an extension of Karatsuba's algorithm that two degree n polynomials can still be multiplied in $O(n^{1.6})$ time or better. You may assume that integer arithmetics involving $poly(n)$ sized numbers take $O(1)$ time.

SOLUTION:

We modify Karatsuba's algorithm by passing around polynomials. The operations of addition / subtraction still works in linear time, and the key identity becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & [p_1(x) \times x^k + p_2(x)] [q_1(x) \times x^k + q_2(x)] \\ &= (x^{2k} - x^k) p_1(x) q_1(x) - (x^k - 1) p_2(x) q_2(x) + x^k [p_1(x) + p_2(x)] [q_1(x) + q_2(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

So the same divide-and-conquer scheme still works.

- (c) (1 points) The ‘shifted dot products’ of two sequences $y_0 \dots y_n$ and $z_0 \dots z_n$ for each shift s is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-s} y_i z_{i+s}.$$

Show (via equations) that the s -shifted dot product is precisely the coefficient of x^{n-s} in the product of the polynomials with coefficients

$$a_0 = y_0, a_1 = y_1, \dots, a_n = y_n.$$

and

$$b_0 = z_n, b_1 = z_{n-1}, \dots, b_n = z_0.$$

Note that the second polynomial takes the coefficients in the reverse order.

Aside: these values are quite useful in performing approximate string matching.

SOLUTION:

Plugging in $a_i = y_i$ and $b_i = z_{n-i}$ into the coefficient for $n - s$ in their polynomial product gives:

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-s} a_i b_{n-s-i} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-s} y_i z_{n-(n-s-i)} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-s} y_i z_{s+i}.$$

The last term is exactly the s -shifted dot product between y and z .