Here is the Dialogue immediately preceding this extract (conversational context):

“Attorney: Did you ever author something called a continuum of aggression and abuse?

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): Yes, I did.

Attorney: And what is that?

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): The continuum of aggression and abuse is a way to look at domestic violence and aggression that can also occur in otherwise healthy families, isolated acts of aggression.

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): And it looks at a range of behavior and a range of responses and then looks at some exacerbating

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): factors that can play into these cases.

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): It's so that we don't look at domestic violence in kind of a one-dimensional way, but look at a real range.

Attorney: Given everything that you have reviewed, that you've read in this case, that you've seen, do you have an opinion, an ultimate opinion, in your expertise about whether or not Ms.”

Here is the conversation between a Witness in the Jodi Arias case (Alyce LaViolette) and the judge/attorney that you are supposed to analyze for factuality:

“Attorney: Arias was in an abusive relationship?

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): Yes, I believe she was in an abusive relationship.

Attorney: And do you believe in your expert opinion that Jody was a battered woman or is a battered woman?

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): Yes, I do.

Attorney: I want to ask you why.

Attorney: Why do you say that Jody is a battered woman?

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): Certainly putting down friends and family.

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): There's some destruction of property, but it seems minimal at this point.

Witness (Alyce LaViolette): A change in the victim's personality.”