Here is the Dialogue immediately preceding this extract (conversational context):

“Attorney: Now, what is it about having a higher position that gives the individual in that higher position an advantage over the officers who are below the suspect?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): He's able to see all of our locations.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): It's hard for officers to conceal themselves.

Attorney: What problems did the setting sun pose for the officers?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): The setting sun was a huge problem just because of, again, the inner perimeter.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): No matter how tight we could try to get it, based on the terrain and the open field, it'd be very easily to slip through that.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Like I said, there was citizens all about walking down, walking on the path.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Then you had a neighborhood directly to the west.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): That was a great concern.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): The sun setting would have limited us as far as lighting the scene up.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Unfortunately, most of the vehicles, because of the terrain, would have a hard time getting into a position and lighting the subject up with a spotlight.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): A lot of our powered lights would be hampered because of no power sources or power sources that were extremely far.

Attorney: How much ambient light was available for the officers who were there speaking to Mr. Boyd?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): There was the lighting close would be, if you were dealing with something close, was sufficient.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): You could see.

Attorney: Okay.

Attorney: Now, the process of making the standout from Mr. Boyd into a SWAT call-out, that's what I'd like to talk about next.

Attorney: Now, after assessing the standoff with Mr. Boyd, I understand that you knew that there were canine officers there.

Attorney: Is that correct?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I did.

Attorney: And that there were field officers who were deployed with less lethal.

Attorney: Is that right?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I did.

Attorney: And that officers that were making contact with Mr. Boyd were being supervised by a sergeant.

Attorney: Is that right?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): That's correct.

Attorney: So why did you believe it was important to turn this call involving Mr. Boyd into a SWAT call-up?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): The call presented a lot of problems.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): It was an extremely difficult call.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): By RSOP, I considered it a barricaded subject just based on the terrain.

Attorney: What do you mean, barricaded subject?

Attorney: Why is Mr. Boyd in a barricaded position?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): He was in a position of advantage where putting officers at a disadvantage, just like if an individual was barricaded in a structure, they have somewhat of an advantage by the concealment of their walls and the fortification of their walls where officers are not available or not provided that same...

Attorney: COVERAGE.

Attorney: NOW, WHAT RESOURCES COULD A SWAT TEAM OFFICER THAT WEREN'T ALREADY THERE?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I'M SORRY, CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

Attorney: WHAT RESOURCES COULD A SWAT TEAM CALL OUT OR SWAT TEAM ACTIVATION PROVIDE TO THE SITUATION INVOLVING MR. BOYD THAT WEREN'T ALREADY THERE?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): THE SWAT TEAM CALL OUT, YOU HAVE OFFICERS THAT WORK IN SYNC WITH EACH OTHER, IN CONCERT WITH EACH OTHER AND TRAIN TOGETHER.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): are fluid.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): And then along with that call you provide numerous resources.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): You provide a crisis negotiation team that is also equipped with a psychologist and a scribe and then more negotiators.

Attorney: Now what did you learn about the estimated time of arrival of the crisis negotiations team?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I was never given any time of when they were to arrive.

Attorney: Now, I'd like to discuss the issue of perimeter.

Attorney: Why is the use of a perimeter important in your line of work?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Perimeter keeps a situation from growing, spilling over, engulfing more of a neighborhood or causing more of a problem.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): The quicker you're establishing an inner perimeter,

Witness (Sergeant Fox): The better it is, the safer it is, because now you contain it to just one small area.

Attorney: Now, there's an outer perimeter and an inner perimeter.

Attorney: Is that right?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): That's correct.

Attorney: What is an outer perimeter?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): An outer perimeter will keep traffic, pedestrian traffic,

Witness (Sergeant Fox): and keep people from entering that outer perimeter now into the space between the inner perimeter and the outer perimeter.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): So it's another perimeter that kind of expands the scene a little bit more and allows you to work within that inner perimeter and that outer perimeter with resources and moving officers.

Attorney: Now, upon your arrival, what did you see about the creation of an outer perimeter?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I wasn't exactly sure where our outer perimeter was.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I felt like I was kind of doing a little bit of catch up, getting to the scene, figuring out outer perimeter positions, inner perimeter positions.

Attorney: What did you do to create an outer perimeter?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I worked on the inner perimeter first just because that is the most important to keep that situation contained.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): And then with time, work on the outer perimeter.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): So I began looking at what I had as far as resources on the inner perimeter, where officers were staged, what tools they may have.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Do I have layers of response with each position, which would be less lethal?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): What could the officer see from their position?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Did I have enough at the scene to keep it contained was my concern.

Attorney: I failed to ask and I should ask, what is the inner perimeter and what is its purpose?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): The inner perimeter would be the perimeter around the critical incident, the scene where interaction is going on or it could even be a chemical spill.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): That inner perimeter is right there keeping that contained.

Attorney: Okay.

Attorney: Now, after assessing the situation, you began to work on the inner perimeter.

Attorney: Is that right?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): That's correct.

Attorney: What decisions did you make about what resources were needed to bolster the inner perimeter?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Again, I was concerned about less lethal options, manpower options.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Does each position require another individual?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Each position, are you able to contain that area or are you going to need an additional perimeter units to the west or to the east or to the south to keep this room growing?

Attorney: Now, with regard to Officer Pettis, what decisions did you make about the role that you wanted him to undertake in creating the inner perimeters?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Officer Weimerskirch needed more individuals in his position.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I felt that I had kind of a skeleton crew, per se, on my inner perimeter.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): So I needed to start fortifying the makeshift arrest team where Officer Weimerskirch was at.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): And he asked for an additional body.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): So that's where I sent Officer Perez.

Attorney: Now, what role did you send?

Attorney: What role did you give Officer Pettis when you sent him to the inner perimeter surrounding Mr Boyle?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): With tactical officers, I don't have to really give them a whole lot of information.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): He knows what to do when he gets up there.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): I said, please go assist Officer Weimerskerch, and based on what his experience has shown me, that he would go up there and fill in whatever position he needed to fill in.”

Here is the conversation between Witness Sergeant Fox and the judge/attorney that you are supposed to analyze for factuality:

“Attorney: Of the officers who were there, why did you choose Officer Pettis to go to the inner perimeter as opposed to the other tactical officers who were there at the scene?

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Other tactical officers that were already at the scene were deployed on the inner perimeter already.

Witness (Sergeant Fox): Again, Officer Perdue had already deployed in a high ground position, and so the next two that walked up, Officer Perez, Officer Ramon Ornelas walked up, and that was gonna send both of those individuals up to where Officer Weimerskirch was requesting additional manpower.”