Here is the Dialogue immediately preceding this extract (conversational context):

“Attorney: And I see you looking down.

Attorney: Did you prepare a report in that case?

Witness (Jillian White): I did.

Attorney: And as part of your report preparation, did you prepare notes as you go through your analysis process?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes, I document everything.

Attorney: And would it assist you to refer to your notes as we go through the questioning we're about to do?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes, it would.

Attorney: Counsel, would you have any objections or a referral?

Attorney: I do not have an objection.

Attorney: Just do us a favor, Ms.

Attorney: White.

Attorney: When you refer to a report, please let us know that, okay?

SPEAKER\_13: If you could, make sure we let us know which report.

SPEAKER\_13: It's her report or the other one.

Attorney: Yes, Your Honor.

Attorney: I'm specifically, at this point, Ms.

Attorney: White, referring to the report dated September 22, 2014.

Attorney: Yes.

Attorney: Okay.

Attorney: Now, did you receive an item of evidence for DNA analysis in regards to that FDLE case number, and specifically FDLE item number three?

Witness (Jillian White): I did.

Attorney: And what was that item represented as being?

Witness (Jillian White): It was represented as being buccal swabs from Edgar Collazo.

Attorney: What are buccal swabs?

Witness (Jillian White): Buckle swabs are simply like a Q-tip that is then rubbed on the inside of an individual's mouth coming from the buckle area.

Witness (Jillian White): We then use this sample as what we call a known standard, known reference standard, meaning I know that this person's DNA should be the source of the profile.

Witness (Jillian White): I then use that as a comparison purpose tool to compare to any unknown profiles that have already been developed in the case.

Attorney: May I approach the witness to what's in evidence as states exhibit 11?

SPEAKER\_14: Yes, sir.

Attorney: Ms.

Attorney: White, I'm going to hand you states exhibit 11.

Attorney: Could you look at the outside of it and tell me if you recognize any of the markings on the outside?

Witness (Jillian White): I do.

Attorney: And which markings do you recognize?

Witness (Jillian White): First is the yellow FDLE barcode label that is received as soon as it is submitted into FDLE.

Witness (Jillian White): Also, my handwritten FDLE label, exhibit number, the date I examined it, the initials, and then the initials on the seals were then I sealed it once my analysis was complete.

Attorney: Now, Ms.

Attorney: White, when this item came to you, was it sealed at both ends?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes.

Attorney: Did it appear to have been tampered with in any way?

Witness (Jillian White): No.

Attorney: All right, so you receive it, you open it, correct?

Attorney: That is correct.

Attorney: And when you opened it, what did you find?

Witness (Jillian White): May I refer to my notes?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes, ma'am.

Witness (Jillian White): Within it were boxed were two used cotton tip swabs that had no apparent staining, where I just took a portion of one through the DNA process.

Attorney: Okay, and that same process that you previously defined for us or described for us, is that correct?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes, where I simply take a cutting, put it all the way through to get somebody's unique DNA profile, which I obtained a full complete profile at all 15 areas.

Attorney: Okay.

Attorney: Did you do any comparison with the profile that you obtained from the buccal swabs in States Exhibit 11?

Attorney: No.

Attorney: I did.

Attorney: Well, you said a full complete profile.

Attorney: Was that profile male or female?

Witness (Jillian White): From the buccal swabs was male.

Attorney: Okay.

Attorney: And which items or which data did you compare the DNA profile from States 11 to?

Witness (Jillian White): The Cellmark report had three unknown profiles that I was able to do comparison purposes to.

Attorney: So now, just to be clear, you're referring to a report from Cellmark for evidence that was sent to them and they sent back to you, correct?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes.

Witness (Jillian White): They worked it in their house.

Witness (Jillian White): I actually never saw the evidence.

Attorney: Now, when they sent their report back to you, what did it contain?

Attorney: You mentioned it a moment ago, but I'd like you to explain a little further.

Witness (Jillian White): Well, what the information obtains is what they send to us is their report, which again has gone through the same standard operating procedures, quality assurance checks that it would have gone through in my laboratory.

Witness (Jillian White): That report gets issued to FDLE along to the submitting agency.

Witness (Jillian White): So we both get a copy of the report.

Witness (Jillian White): I also get a copy of their summary tables, which is the list of reports

Witness (Jillian White): repeats at all of the areas that they tested so I can actually see the profiles that they generated and also comes with it is the data copy of the electropherograms or those are actually the peaks the data that I look at so I can then do comparison purposes for my aspect.

Attorney: Now do you have the cell mark report in front of you and that would be

Attorney: their ORCID cell mark number FL12-0090.

Witness (Jillian White): Yes, I do.

Attorney: And that's dated September 18, 2012?

Witness (Jillian White): Yes.

Attorney: Okay.

Attorney: Now, Ms.

Attorney: White, what items of evidence were submitted to Cellmark for analysis?

Witness (Jillian White): It looks like what I have documented, they received vaginal swabs, cervical swabs, labial swabs, underwear, a gray t-shirt, and buckle swabs from Lauren Wagg.

Attorney: And the report indicates that those items were, some of those items were tested for DNA.

Attorney: Is that correct?

Witness (Jillian White): That is correct.”

Here is the conversation between Witness Jillian White and the judge/attorney that you are supposed to analyze for factuality:

“Attorney: Which of those items were tested for DNA?

Witness (Jillian White): Five of the items were first taken through the serology process because they needed to determine what type of DNA is present.

Witness (Jillian White): The reason being is if sperm cells are identified, we have to take them through a process

Witness (Jillian White): where we then, we call it a differential separation, where we are trying to separate the sperm cells from all of the epithelial cells.”