Trial 1

Loading Modules and functions

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB
    from sklearn.metrics import classification_report,accuracy_score,confusion_matrix
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
```

Loading Data

In []:	df = po	d.read_csv("	/processe	d.csv")							
Out[]:		Initial_Price	Final_Price	Win_Flag	Mac_Flag	Linux_Flag	Positive_Reviews	Negative_Reviews	Memory_MB	Storage_MB	target
	0	52.0	52.0	True	True	False	57.0	7.0	1024	50	1
	1	0.0	0.0	True	True	False	53.0	6.0	2048	3072	1
	2	0.0	0.0	True	False	False	133.0	69.0	2048	100	0
	3	530.0	530.0	True	False	False	22.0	9.0	2048	500	0
	4	229.0	229.0	True	True	True	226.0	44.0	2048	1500	1
	•••										
	57467	85.0	85.0	True	False	False	0.0	4.0	4096	200	-1
	57468	349.0	349.0	True	True	False	2.0	1.0	1024	1024	1
	57469	164.0	164.0	True	False	False	8.0	1.0	4096	20480	1
	57470	610.0	610.0	True	False	False	1.0	0.0	4096	3072	1
	57471	570.0	285.0	True	False	False	0.0	1.0	1024	2048	-1

57472 rows × 10 columns

Splitting Data 33% test, 66% train

Fitting model

Predicting Likelihood of test cases

Model evaluation

```
In [ ]: preds = bayes.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy : {accuracy_score(y_test, preds)}")
```

Accuracy : 0.18786249077296213

Important metrics

In []: print(classification_report(y_test, preds))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
-1	0.00	0.00	0.00	2123
0	0.19	1.00	0.32	3563
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	13280
accuracy			0.19	18966
macro avg	0.06	0.33	0.11	18966
weighted avg	0.04	0.19	0.06	18966

c:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\Lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics_classification.py:1509: UndefinedMetri cWarning: Precision is ill-defined and being set to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples. Use `zero_division` parameter to c ontrol this behavior.

_warn_prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))

c:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\Lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics_classification.py:1509: UndefinedMetri cWarning: Precision is ill-defined and being set to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples. Use `zero_division` parameter to c ontrol this behavior.

_warn_prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))

c:\Users\user\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\Lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics_classification.py:1509: UndefinedMetri cWarning: Precision is ill-defined and being set to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples. Use `zero_division` parameter to c ontrol this behavior.

_warn_prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))

Confusion Matrix Display

1st trial Conclusion

- 1. Bayes Classifier gave worst performance of 18% accuracy
- 2. Its confusion matrix suggests classifier does no prediction of +1 and -1 class labels

Trial 2 with normalised attributes

Loading Modules and Functions

```
In []: from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB
    from sklearn.metrics import classification_report,accuracy_score,confusion_matrix
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
```

Loading Data

In []:	<pre>df = pd.read_csv("/processed.csv") df</pre>										
Out[]:		Initial_Price	Final_Price	Win_Flag	Mac_Flag	Linux_Flag	Positive_Reviews	Negative_Reviews	Memory_MB	Storage_MB	target
	0	52.0	52.0	True	True	False	57.0	7.0	1024	50	1
	1	0.0	0.0	True	True	False	53.0	6.0	2048	3072	1
	2	0.0	0.0	True	False	False	133.0	69.0	2048	100	0
	3	530.0	530.0	True	False	False	22.0	9.0	2048	500	0
	4	229.0	229.0	True	True	True	226.0	44.0	2048	1500	1
	57467	85.0	85.0	True	False	False	0.0	4.0	4096	200	-1
	57468	349.0	349.0	True	True	False	2.0	1.0	1024	1024	1
	57469	164.0	164.0	True	False	False	8.0	1.0	4096	20480	1
	57470	610.0	610.0	True	False	False	1.0	0.0	4096	3072	1
	57471	570.0	285.0	True	False	False	0.0	1.0	1024	2048	-1

 $57472 \text{ rows} \times 10 \text{ columns}$

Normalising Continous Features

```
In []: def normalise(feature, df):
    mean = df[feature].mean()
    sd = df[feature].std()
    df[feature] = (df[feature] - mean) / sd

normalise("Initial_Price", df)
    normalise("Final_Price", df)
    normalise("Positive_Reviews", df)
    normalise("Negative_Reviews", df)
    normalise("Memory_MB", df)
    normalise("Storage_MB", df)
df
```

Out[]:		Initial_Price	Final_Price	Win_Flag	Mac_Flag	Linux_Flag	Positive_Reviews	Negative_Reviews	Memory_MB	Storage_MB	target
	0	-0.271301	-0.258274	True	True	False	-0.034488	-0.033031	-0.004171	-0.004171	1
	1	-0.322070	-0.309572	True	True	False	-0.034609	-0.033196	-0.004171	-0.004171	1
	2	-0.322070	-0.309572	True	False	False	-0.032193	-0.022842	-0.004171	-0.004171	0
	3	0.195385	0.213271	True	False	False	-0.035545	-0.032703	-0.004171	-0.004171	0
	4	-0.098490	-0.083665	True	True	True	-0.029385	-0.026950	-0.004171	-0.004171	1
	•••										
	57467	-0.239082	-0.225720	True	False	False	-0.036210	-0.033524	-0.004171	-0.004171	-1
	57468	0.018669	0.034715	True	True	False	-0.036149	-0.034017	-0.004171	-0.004171	1
	57469	-0.161952	-0.147787	True	False	False	-0.035968	-0.034017	-0.004171	-0.004171	1
	57470	0.273492	0.292190	True	False	False	-0.036179	-0.034182	-0.004171	-0.004171	1
	57471	0.234439	-0.028421	True	False	False	-0.036210	-0.034017	-0.004171	-0.004171	-1

Splitting data to 33% Test, 66% Train

Fitting the model

Likelihood of predictions

Evaluating Model with test data

```
In [ ]: preds = bayes.predict(X_test)
    print(f"Accuracy : {accuracy_score(y_test, preds)}")

Accuracy : 0.11879152167035748
```

Important Metrics

```
In [ ]: print(classification_report(y_test, preds))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
-1	0.12	1.00	0.21	2123
0	0.10	0.03	0.05	3563
1	1.00	0.00	0.00	13280
accuracy			0.12	18966
macro avg	0.41	0.34	0.09	18966
weighted avg	0.73	0.12	0.04	18966

Display Confusion Matrix

2nd trial Conclusion

- 1. Bayes Classifier's performance fall to 11% accuracy, after normalising attributes and discarding flags to keep only continous attributes
- 2. This follows that the continous features of dataset were not *guassian*, that is they are not normally distributed.