Loading Modules and Functions

```
In [ ]: import pandas as pd
    import numpy as np
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
    from sklearn.metrics import classification_report,accuracy_score,confusion_matrix
```

Loading Data

```
In [ ]: df = pd.read_csv("../processed.csv")
    df.dropna(subset=["Initial_Price"],inplace=True)
    df.head()
```

| Out[]: | | Initial_Price | Final_Price | Win_Flag | Mac_Flag | Linux_Flag | Positive_Reviews | Negative_Reviews | Memory_MB | Storage_MB | target |
|---------|---|---------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| | 0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | True | True | False | 57.0 | 7.0 | 1024 | 50 | 1 |
| | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | True | True | False | 53.0 | 6.0 | 2048 | 3072 | 1 |
| | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | True | False | False | 133.0 | 69.0 | 2048 | 100 | 0 |
| | 3 | 530.0 | 530.0 | True | False | False | 22.0 | 9.0 | 2048 | 500 | 0 |
| | 4 | 229.0 | 229.0 | True | True | True | 226.0 | 44.0 | 2048 | 1500 | 1 |

Normalising Continous Features

| Out[]: | | Initial_Price | Final_Price | Win_Flag | Mac_Flag | Linux_Flag | Positive_Reviews | Negative_Reviews | Memory_MB | Storage_MB | target |
|--------|-------|---------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| | 0 | -0.271301 | -0.258274 | True | True | False | -0.034488 | -0.033031 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 1 |
| | 1 | -0.322070 | -0.309572 | True | True | False | -0.034609 | -0.033196 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 1 |
| | 2 | -0.322070 | -0.309572 | True | False | False | -0.032193 | -0.022842 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 0 |
| | 3 | 0.195385 | 0.213271 | True | False | False | -0.035545 | -0.032703 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 0 |
| | 4 | -0.098490 | -0.083665 | True | True | True | -0.029385 | -0.026950 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 1 |
| | ••• | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 57467 | -0.239082 | -0.225720 | True | False | False | -0.036210 | -0.033524 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | -1 |
| | 57468 | 0.018669 | 0.034715 | True | True | False | -0.036149 | -0.034017 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 1 |
| | 57469 | -0.161952 | -0.147787 | True | False | False | -0.035968 | -0.034017 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 1 |
| | 57470 | 0.273492 | 0.292190 | True | False | False | -0.036179 | -0.034182 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | 1 |
| | 57471 | 0.234439 | -0.028421 | True | False | False | -0.036210 | -0.034017 | -0.004171 | -0.004171 | -1 |

57472 rows × 10 columns

Splitting data to 33% Test, 66% Train

TRIAL - 1: setting the value of k = 5 (using brute force)

Fitting the model

Evaluating Model with test data

Important Metrics

```
In [ ]: classification_report(y_test, pred)
Out[ ]: '
                             recall f1-score support\n\n
                                                                         0.75
                                                                                                  2123\n
                    precision
                                                                                 0.64
                                                                                          0.69
                               3563\n
                                                              0.93
       0.71
               0.72 0.71
                                              1
                                                      0.91
                                                                       0.92
                                                                               13280\n\n
                                                                                          accuracy
       0.86
               18966\n macro avg
                                0.79
                                             0.76
                                                      0.78
                                                              18966\nweighted avg 0.86
                                                                                            0.86
                                                                                                     0.86
                                                                                                             18966
```

Display Confusion Matrix

Conclusion

KNN classifier shows a performance of 85% after normalising the continuous attributes. The value of k as 5 turns out to be the best value. Additionally, another factor to further improve the accuracy in knn classifier is the nearest k=5 points are given a weight.

TRIAL - 2 : setting the value of k = 239 (sqrt(N))

Fitting the model

Evaluating Model with test data

Important Metrics

```
In [ ]: classification_report(y_test, pred)
Out[]: '
                       precision
                                   recall f1-score
                                                     support\n\n
                                                                                   0.86
                                                                                            0.51
                                                                                                      0.64
                                                                                                                2123\n
                                                                                                      accuracy
        0.78
                 0.45
                           0.57
                                     3563\n
                                                     1
                                                             0.83
                                                                       0.98
                                                                                 0.90
                                                                                         13280\n\n
        0.83
                 18966\n macro avg
                                         0.82
                                                    0.65
                                                             0.70
                                                                      18966\nweighted avg
                                                                                               0.82
                                                                                                         0.83
                                                                                                                   0.81
                                                                                                                            18966
        \n'
```

Display Confusion Matrix

```
In [ ]: confusion_matrix(y_test,pred)
```

Conclusion

Setting the value of k as sqrt N is another optimal K value for KNN, gives approximately K = 239 produces a pretty good performance of 82% but hasn't surpassed the performance given by k = 5. Therefore the best performance is shown at K = 5.