

# Software Assignment Error Analysis - Image Compression using truncated SVD

Aryansingh Sonaye

7 Nov 2025

**Note:** elements of  $A$  and  $A_k$  are normalized from range  $[0,255]$  to range  $[0,1]$ (to avoid overflow), hence Frobenius error shown is less by factor of 255 of actual image matrices, but relative error is same.

Table 1: Rank  $k$  vs Error vs Compression Ratio (Globe Image)

Rank $k$	Frobenius Error	Relative Error	Compression Ratio	Visual Notes
2	128.725418	0.207343	214.638	Very blurry, almost no detail
5	81.193234	0.130781	85.859	Continents barely recognizable
20	41.703581	0.067174	21.463	Shape visible, still smoothed
50	24.257422	0.039072	8.585	Good clarity, slight blur
100	14.403657	0.023201	4.292	High quality, minor loss
200	7.010167	0.011292	2.146	Nearly identical to original
300	3.853171	0.006206	1.430	Indistinguishable from original

Table 2: Rank  $k$  vs Error vs Compression Ratio (Einstein Image)

Rank $k$	Frobenius Error	Relative Error	Compression Ratio	Visual Notes
2	24.973135	0.291993	45.869	Very blurry, face barely recognizable
5	18.484639	0.216127	18.347	Very blurry; facial details unclear
20	8.339449	0.097507	4.586	Face recognizable but soft
50	3.452953	0.040373	1.834	Good quality; fine details appear
100	0.646199	0.007556	0.917	Nearly lossless reconstruction
200	$\approx 0$	$\approx 0$	0.458	Almost exact reconstruction
300	$\approx 0$	$\approx 0$	0.305	Indistinguishable from original

Table 3: Rank  $k$  vs Error vs Compression Ratio (Greyscale Image)

Rank $k$	Frobenius Error	Relative Error	Compression Ratio	Visual Notes
2	67.324011	0.088694	255.885	Only broad intensity visible; very blurry
5	43.711017	0.057586	102.354	Shapes recognizable; edges soft
20	14.934093	0.019674	25.587	Good clarity; noticeable smoothness
50	4.549560	0.005994	10.234	Very good detail; visually sharp
100	2.009199	0.002647	5.117	Nearly lossless reconstruction
200	1.605776	0.002115	2.558	Almost identical to original
300	1.283533	0.001691	1.705	Indistinguishable from original

The tables report the Frobenius error, relative error, compression ratio, and visual quality of the reconstructed images for different values of rank  $k$ . From these results, we can

observe a clear relationship between  $k$  and the reconstruction quality.

## General Trends

- For very small values of  $k$  (e.g.,  $k = 2$  or  $k = 5$ ), both the Frobenius error and the relative error are large. The reconstructed images appear very blurry and lack detail. Only the most dominant large-scale structures of the image are preserved.
- As the rank  $k$  increases, the error decreases steadily. The reconstructed images begin to show sharper edges and clearer shape boundaries. Fine details start to appear.
- When  $k$  is sufficiently large (close to  $\min(m, n)$ ), the reconstructed image becomes almost identical to the original. The relative error becomes extremely small, and further increases in  $k$  result in minimal visual improvement while increasing storage cost.

## Image-Specific Observations

**1) Globe Image** The globe has smooth shading and gradual intensity variations. This type of image requires a higher rank  $k$  to be reconstructed accurately.

- For  $k = 20$  and  $k = 50$ , the overall shape and shading are visible but still somewhat smoothed.
- At  $k = 100$  and above, the reconstruction is high quality with very minor loss.
- For  $k = 200$  or  $k = 300$ , the reconstructed image is almost indistinguishable from the original.

**2) Einstein Portrait** The portrait image has strong edges and high contrast, which means that the most important information is captured by the first few singular vectors.

- Even at  $k = 20$ , the face is clearly recognizable.
- At  $k = 50$ , the image appears visually very close to the original.
- At  $k = 100$  or higher, the error becomes extremely small and further increases in  $k$  provide almost no visible difference.

**3) Greyscale Pattern Image** This image contains repeated gradients, so the singular values decrease at a moderate rate.

- Good clarity is achieved around  $k = 20$  to  $k = 50$ .
- For  $k = 100$  and above, the reconstruction is nearly lossless.
- Increasing  $k$  beyond this range yields diminishing visual improvement.