Milestone 2: Teamwork Report Design Decisions + Contributions

Alexander Iannantuono, Asa Kohn, and William Chien

March 3, 2020

1 Design and Implementation Decisions

1.1 Symbol table

To build the symbol table, we do two passes through the AST. On the first pass, we get an upper bound on the number of symbol records we'll need to store. On the second pass, we store information about each symbol in one big array while also building and freeing a parent-pointer stack. We check blank identifier uses in this pass and link each use of a symbol to the record for it in the big array.

One difficult part of this to get right was type declarations. The solution we ended up with is label for each type in the AST indicating whether it's a type literal, and if so which kind; a defined type; or an unresolved reference to a type by name. In the pass where we build the symbol table, we also resolve all of these unresolved references and replace them with the type the symbol refers to.

Symbol table printing is done separately in another source file and in another pass.

1.2 Type checking

Type checking was simpler than other parts of this milestone. There were no major unexpected issues. Complicated scoping rules are handled by the symbol table builder. Special handling of the blank identifier was added on afterwards, so it isn't structured as cleanly as it might be. For a blank identifier, the symbol table builder inserts null pointers instead of pointers to symbol records, and the type checker checks for them where appropriate.

1.3 Weeding

We also implemented the check for terminating statements in functions that return values as a third weeding pass.

2 Amending issues from the first milestone

2.1 String literal in lexer

On line 204. Previously, our lexer would match string literals with newline characters as long as they are within the two double quotes. The issue is fixed where newline characters within two double quotes will not be matched.

2.2 Int literal in lexer

On line 199. Previously hexadecimal numbers are matched using:

$$0x[0-9a-fA-F]+[0-9]+$$

Now we use:

$$0x[0-9a-fA-F]+[1-9][0-9]*|0[0-7]*$$

2.3 Rune \' in lexer

On line 188. $\lceil \lceil \rceil \rceil$ is added.

2.4 Line number recording in parser

\$\$->lineno = yylineno on line 231 for TOK_VAR is moved down two lines. Previously, we were recording line number prior to grouping, i.e. \$\$ = \$3;

2.5 Three-part for loop in parser

On lines 738-748, three-part for loop with empty condition is added.

2.6 Weeding

A typo on line 138 is fixed, where exp meant expstmt.

2.7 Pretty printing

traverse_fields(VARS * f) added in pretty printing. On the other hand, segmentation faults occurred when null pointers are left unchecked, therefore, null checks are added to each pretty printing function.

3 Division of labour and team organization

3.1 Dividing the work

As a decided to work on the symbol table and modeled it after what he did in his assignment. Alex decided to work on the typechecker and wrote primarily a boilerplate code for the typechecker file. William wanted to work on the test programs, as he previously wrote a majority of them in M1. Alex started to work on writing this report alongside the typechecker. However, due to an unexpected illness, William took over the report; and bravely enough, Asa took over the typechecker.

3.1.1 Asa

As a has completed the symbol table by himself. He also picked up where Alex has left off in the typechecker.

3.1.2 William

Over the fear of M1 for not being able to test our winnipeg compiler adequately robust and to catch a good number of bugs, Will has written roughly 200 invalid test files (non-distinct) and about 100 valid test files; and additionally, a testscript.sh that allows us to run through a good number of test files against our compiler quickly. William tried to go through the goLite and blank identifier specifications slowly and hopefully in greater detail with additional research, then write out all the test files required to test our compiler. Though, whenever a test idea comes to mind, a file may be added to avoid forgetfulness; and since all test files are written over the span of weeks, some test cases may be non-unique or have been considered previously in a different file. In terms of the report, Will kept the majority of what Alex has left off, and filled in additional information when needed.

3.1.3 Alex

Alex wrote this section while he was still working on the project. He included comments indicating that he wasn't finished with it. Will and Asa have not modified it.

In Alex's implementation of the symbol table, he used a bit of weaving, but mainly decided to have every symbol be looked up and perform a linked list-like search, which in hindsight is very wasteful in resources. For example, given some symbol a, his code would look through the current scope and search in outer scopes until it found what it was looking for. This differs in this milestone's implementation as here when we look

at a symbol in say, some expression, we have a pointer that points immediately to its declaration in the code. This allows for much faster lookup, at the cost of an extra pointer per identifier. Alex's typechecker was similar to that of this one, given that he has written both his own and this group's with the eventual help of Asa.

Alex spent most of his time working on the typechecking from boilerplate code to the testing (hopefully fixing of bugs). As mentioned above, the report was mainly written by him, except for the parts that involved the work of others. Those parts were written by the members involved.

Another portion of this milestone that he decided to work on was fixing the pretty printing invariance from the first milestone. He had been informed that a decent amount of the pretty printing that was tested during grading did not satisfy the following invariance:

After some time writing a script to do some basic invariance testing in a shell file, Alex decided to find why some of the files did not respect the invariance defined above. As it turns out, the majority of the issue was the most basic bug that one finds in writing C code: not checking for a null pointer. While traversing parts of the AST, Alex had forgotten to check for a null pointer, causing the pretty printer to either cause a segmentation fault or to (somehow) fail silently and stop printing. We're not sure if this is what triggers the invariance test to yield a "no". If it's done by computer and not by a human-TA, then this would make sense, as it would not print out everything that it needed to print. Another reason that caused the pretty printing invariance was actually using the wrong function to print out fields of a struct: it would print any set of fields as:

Despite that not working for fields of arbitrary type. This yielded a syntax error as soon as it went through to be pretty printed again, yielding the error. This was easily fixed by just putting them on new lines.

The last problem was due to how for-loops were printed. This yielded another syntax error as it thought that an expression was somehow a function call in the form of f(expr) where f := for. This was due to not correctly putting semicolons (which was only put if a certain part of a for-loop was not null), and this was an easy fix as well.

3.2 Organization

Organizing this time was a bit more challenging than for the first milestone. We originally preferred to meet in person, and have done so for a number of times. However, given the ongoing/evolving global pandemic and school closure, we decided to work remotely at our homes and communicate via various platforms: Matrix via Riot.im, GitHub issues, email, text messaging, and online meetings.

Alex decided to use small to-do lists in the files that he was working on just to keep track of the work he still had to do. Putting TODO: (thing) was also very helpful in case he missed something in the big files that he was working on. For other parts of the project, such as writing this report, Alex added small comments tagging either Will or Asa with @<name>: message in case there was something where their input was needed or Alex was requesting that they possibly do that part.