

Problem 1

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$f(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Find the strict global minimum point of f .
- (b) Compute one iteration of the Gradient Descent method using fixed step size $t_k \equiv \frac{1}{L_{\nabla f}}$, exact line search and backtracking with $(s, \alpha, \beta) = (1, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{4})$. Assume that $(1, 1)$ is the starting point for all three methods. Write your calculations in detail. Did the methods converge to the global minimum point after one iteration?

Solution

- (a) f is twice continuously differentiable with a PD Hessian matrix everywhere, therefore any stationary point is a strict global minimum. Solving $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ we see that $(0, 0)$ is a global minimum.
- (b) First we find $L_{\nabla f}$. Since $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2\mathbf{Ax}$ then

$$\|2\mathbf{Ax} - 2\mathbf{Ay}\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = 2\lambda_{\max} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|,$$

so $2\lambda_{\max}$ is a Lipschitz constant. This constant is also tight since taking \mathbf{x} to be an eigenvector of λ_{\max} and $\mathbf{y} = 2\mathbf{x}$ we have

$$\|2\mathbf{Ax} - 4\mathbf{Ax}\| = 2\|\mathbf{Ax}\| = 2\lambda_{\max} \|\mathbf{x}\| = 2\lambda_{\max} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|.$$

In our case we have $2\lambda_{\max} = \frac{1}{3}$. Now we calculate GD iterations with different step-sizes:

- Constant step-size with $t_k \equiv \frac{1}{L_{\nabla f}}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ y^1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_{\max}} \nabla f \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and we reached the optimal solution in one iteration. Since $\nabla f(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ then $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k$ for all $k \geq 0$ and the method converged after one iteration (this is not always the case, for example choose the starting point $(1, 2)$).

- For exact line search we need to calculate

$$\min_{t \geq 0} f \left(\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - t \nabla f \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \min_{t \geq 0} f \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 3t \\ 1 - 3t \end{pmatrix} = \min_{t \geq 0} 3(1 - 3t)^2.$$

The minimum of $3(1 - 3t)^2$ is at $t = \frac{1}{3}$ and it is feasible. Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ y^1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \nabla f \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we reached the optimal solution in one iteration (this is not always the case). Alternatively, we saw in the lecture that for a quadratic function with a PD matrix we have for a descent direction \mathbf{d} that

$$t = -\frac{\mathbf{d}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{d}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}} > 0,$$

and therefore for $\mathbf{d} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ we have

$$t = \frac{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2}{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T (2\mathbf{A}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2}{2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{1}{3}$$

and the result is of course the same.

- For backtracking we need to check when the following stopping criterion is satisfied:

$$f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - f\left(\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - t\nabla f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \geq \alpha t \left\| \nabla f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2.$$

For $s = t = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - f\left(\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - t\nabla f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= f\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}\right) = -9 \\ &< \alpha t \left\| \nabla f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{10} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = 1.8, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $t := \beta t = \frac{1}{4}$. We have

$$f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - f\left(\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4}\nabla f\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ y^0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 2.8125 \geq \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = 0.45$$

and therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ y^1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the method did not converge after one iteration.

Cholesky Factorization

In order for the Newton's method to generate a well-defined descent sequence, we need $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^k)$ to be PD. The Cholesky factorization is a relatively numerically stable method that checks whether a matrix is PD.

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $\mathbf{A} \succ 0$ if and only if there exists a lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T$ (and it is called the Cholesky factorization of \mathbf{A}).

Problem 3

1. Given a Cholesky factorization of \mathbf{A} , show how to solve the system $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.
2. Show how to attain a Cholesky factorization of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Solution

1. Find a solution \mathbf{w} of the system $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}$ and then find a solution \mathbf{x} of the system $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}$. Note that because of the special structure of \mathbf{L} , these two steps can be done via backward and forward substitution. For example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_2 \end{pmatrix} \implies \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{L_{11}}, \mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{b}_2 - L_{21}\mathbf{w}_1}{L_{22}} = \frac{\mathbf{b}_2 - L_{21}\mathbf{b}_1}{L_{11}L_{22}},$$

and we solve $\mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}$ in a similar fashion.

2. We need to solve

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{12}^T & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} L_{11}^2 & L_{11}\mathbf{L}_{12}^T \\ L_{11}\mathbf{L}_{12} & \mathbf{L}_{12}\mathbf{L}_{12}^T + \mathbf{L}_{22}\mathbf{L}_{22}^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n-1)} \\ \mathbf{L}_{12} & \mathbf{L}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n-1)} \\ \mathbf{L}_{12} & \mathbf{L}_{22} \end{pmatrix}^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n-1)} \\ \mathbf{L}_{12} & \mathbf{L}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & \mathbf{L}_{12}^T \\ \mathbf{0}_{n-1} & \mathbf{L}_{22}^T \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We get that $L_{11} = \sqrt{A_{11}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{11}}}\mathbf{A}_{12}^T$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{L}_{22}\mathbf{L}_{22}^T = \mathbf{A}_{22} - \mathbf{L}_{12}\mathbf{L}_{12}^T = \mathbf{A}_{22} - \frac{1}{A_{11}}\mathbf{A}_{12}^T\mathbf{A}_{12},$$

and we are left with finding the Cholesky factorization of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A}_{22} - \frac{1}{A_{11}}\mathbf{A}_{12}^T\mathbf{A}_{12}$.