

אופטימיזציה 1 - 098311

גיליון בית מס' 1 - חורף תשפ"א 2021

אסף גנדלר 301727715 עידו צ'רנינסקי 312544596

31 באוקטובר 2020

## Problem 1:

Prove that the induced norm of  $A \in R^{m \times n}$  is given by:

$$\|A\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

**proof:**

by definition:

$$\|A\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in R^n} \{ \|Ax\|_{\infty} : \|x\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \}$$

let's show that if  $x \in R^n$  and  $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  then:

$$\|Ax\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}|$$

$$\|Ax\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \{ |(Ax)_i| \} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \{ |A_{i,\cdot}x| \} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{i,j}x_j \right| \right\}$$

using the triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_{\infty} &= \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{i,j}x_j \right| \right\} \leq \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}x_j| \right\} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| |x_j| \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \max_{k=1,2,\dots,n} \{ |x_k| \} \right\} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \|x\|_{\infty} \right\} \\ &= \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \|x\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\} \leq \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

we have proved that if  $x \in R^n$  and  $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  then:

$$\|Ax\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

now we will prove that the upper bound is attained:

let:

$$k = \arg \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

let's choose:

$$x^* = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sign}(A_{k,1}) \\ \text{sign}(A_{k,2}) \\ \vdots \\ \text{sign}(A_{k,n}) \end{pmatrix}$$

notice that:

$$\|x^*\|_\infty = 1 \leq 1$$

and:

$$\|Ax^*\|_\infty = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{i,j} x_j^* \right| \right\}$$

let's find the this maximum:

$$\left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{i,j} x_j^* \right| \leq \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| |x_j^*| = \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \leq \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{k,j}|$$

in addition for  $i = k$ :

$$\left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{i,j} x_j^* \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{k,j} x_j^* \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{k,j} \text{sign}(A_{k,j}) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{k,j}| \right| = \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{k,j}|$$

the upper bound is attained thus:

$$\|Ax^*\|_\infty = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} A_{i,j} x_j^* \right| \right\} = \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{k,j}| = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

so we have proved that for  $x \in R^n$  and  $\|x\|_\infty \leq 1$  :

$$\|Ax\|_\infty \leq \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

and:

$$\|Ax^*\|_\infty = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

thus:

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{x \in R^n} \{ \|Ax\|_\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1 \} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |A_{i,j}| \right\}$$

■

## Problem 2:

Prove that for  $x \in R^n$ :

$$\|x\|_\infty = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_p$$

**proof:**

$$\|x\|_p = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

lets mark:

$$|x_j| = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{|x_i|\} = \|x\|_\infty$$

For start let's assume  $|x_j|$  is unique meaning:

$$|x_j| > |x_i|, \quad \forall i \neq j$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_p &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_j|^p \frac{|x_i|^p}{|x_j|^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( |x_j|^p \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \\ &= |x_j| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |x_j| \left( 1 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

because  $|x_j| > |x_i|$  than:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p = 0$$

and:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_p = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |x_j| \left( 1 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |x_j| \cdot 1^0 = |x_j| = \|x\|_\infty$$

If  $|x_j|$  is not unique, let's say that there are  $k$  elements in the vector with the same maximum absolute value, than:

$$\|x\|_p = |x_j| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |x_j| \left( k + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ |x_i| \neq |x_j|}}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_p = |x_j| \left( k + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ |x_i| \neq |x_j|}}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |x_j| \cdot k^0 = |x_j| = \|x\|_\infty$$

■

### Problem 3:

a)

part 1:

Prove that for  $A \in R^{m \times n}$ :

$$\|A\|_{a,b} = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b = 1\}$$

by definition:

$$\|A\|_{a,b} = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b \leq 1\}$$

let's assume by contradiction that:

$$x^* = \arg \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b \leq 1\}$$

has a norm that satisfy:

$$\|x^*\|_b < 1$$

define:

$$y = \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|_b}$$

$$\|y\|_b = \left\| \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|_b} \right\|_b = \frac{\|x^*\|_b}{\|x^*\|_b} = 1 \leq 1$$

$$\|Ay\|_a = \left\| A \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|_b} \right\|_a = \frac{1}{\|x^*\|_b} \|Ax^*\|_a > \|Ax^*\|_a$$

and that's a contradiction to the fact that:

$$x^* = \arg \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b \leq 1\}$$

so  $x^*$  has to satisfy:

$$\|x^*\|_b = 1$$

and:

$$\|A\|_{a,b} = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b \leq 1\} = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b = 1\}$$

note:

we divided by  $\|x^*\|_b$  assuming it's larger than zero. there is a singular case in which  $A^{m \times n} = 0^{m \times n}$  and than any vector could be the one that attains the maximum value, including  $x^* = 0_n$ , in this case the statement still holds.

**part 2:**

Prove that for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ :

$$\|A\|_{a,b} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|_a}{\|x\|_b} : x \neq 0_n \right\}$$

let's mark:

$$x^* = \arg \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|_a}{\|x\|_b} : x \neq 0_n \right\}$$

and define:

$$y = \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|_b}$$

$$\|y\|_b = 1$$

$$\frac{\|Ay\|_a}{\|y\|_b} = \frac{\left\| A \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|_b} \right\|_a}{\left\| \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|_b} \right\|_b} = \frac{\cancel{\frac{1}{\|x^*\|_b}} \|Ax^*\|_a}{\cancel{\frac{1}{\|x^*\|_b}} \|x^*\|_b} = \frac{\|Ax^*\|_a}{\|x^*\|_b}$$

we see an ambiguity, that for every vector that solves  $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|_a}{\|x\|_b} : x \neq 0_n \right\}$  we can find another vector with norm that equals to 1 that achieves the same value, thus we can limit the search domain and the two problems are equivalent.

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|_a}{\|x\|_b} : x \neq 0_n \right\} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|_a}{\|x\|_b} : \|x\|_b = 1 \right\} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \|Ax\|_a : \|x\|_b = 1 \}$$

■

**b)**

prove that:

$$\|AB\|_{c,a} \leq \|A\|_{b,a} \|B\|_{c,b}$$

let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$

$$\|AB\|_{c,a} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \|ABx\|_a : \|x\|_c \leq 1 \}$$

using the inequality from the lecture, for  $\|x\|_c \leq 1$ :

$$\|ABx\|_a \leq \|A\|_{b,a} \|Bx\|_b \leq \|A\|_{b,a} \|B\|_{c,b} \|x\|_c \leq \|A\|_{b,a} \|B\|_{c,b}$$

hence:

$$\|AB\|_{c,a} = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|ABx\|_a : \|x\|_c \leq 1\} \leq \max_{x \in R^n} \left\{ \|A\|_{b,a} \|B\|_{c,b} : \|x\|_c \leq 1 \right\} = \|A\|_{b,a} \|B\|_{c,b}$$

■



**Problem 4:**

let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

a)

prove that:

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i\{A^T A\}}$$

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m A_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (A^T A)_{i,i} = \text{Tr}(A^T A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i\{A^T A\}}$$

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i\{A^T A\}}$$

■

b)

prove that:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|A\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

let's first prove a similar inequality for vectors:

let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then:

$$n \|x\|_\infty^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x\|_\infty^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \|x\|_2^2$$

$$\sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty \geq \|x\|_2$$

and:

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sqrt{\|x\|_\infty^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} = \|x\|_2$$

hence:

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty$$

now moving to matrices:

let's start from the right side

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{\|Ax\|_2 : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$$

for  $\|x\|_2 \leq 1$ :

$$\|Ax\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|Ax\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty \|x\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

hence:

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_2 : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\} \leq \max_{x \in R^n} \{\sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\} = \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

for the left, side:

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

for  $\|x\|_\infty \leq 1$

$$\|Ax\|_\infty \leq \|Ax\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|x\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2$$

hence:

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{x \in R^n} \{\|Ax\|_\infty : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\} \leq \max_{x \in R^n} \{\sqrt{n} \|A\|_2 : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\} = \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|A\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_2$$

to summarize:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|A\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

■

**Problem 5:****a)**let  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ 

$$\exists j \in I : x \in A_j$$

 $A_j$  is an open set, thus  $x$  is an interior point of  $A_j$ , and by definition

$$\exists r > 0 : B(x, r) \subseteq A_j \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$$

so:

$$\exists r > 0 : B(x, r) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$$

therefore  $x$  is an interior point of  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ .every point in  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is an interior point, hence  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is an open set.**b)**let  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ 

$$\forall j \in I : x \in A_j$$

 $\forall j \in I, A_j$  is an open set, thus  $x$  is an interior point of  $A_j$ , by definition:

$$\exists r_j > 0 : B(x, r_j) \subseteq A_j$$

define:

$$\tilde{r} = \min_{j \in I} \{r_j\}$$

 $\tilde{r}$  exists because  $I$  is finite (completeness theorem).

in addition:

$$\tilde{r} > 0$$

since  $\forall j \in I : \tilde{r} \leq r_j$ :

$$\forall j \in I : B(x, \tilde{r}) \subseteq B(x, r_j) \subseteq A_j$$

thus:

$$B(x, \tilde{r}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$$

therefore  $x$  is an interior point of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ .every point in  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is an interior point, hence  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is an open set.

c)

let  $n = 1$  and define:

$$I = \mathbb{N}$$
$$A_i = \left(-1, \frac{1}{i}\right)$$

$\forall i \in I$ ,  $A_i$  is an open set.

let's examine the intersection.

first it is trivial that every point  $x \in (-1, 0)$  belongs to the intersection.

secondly, 0 also belongs to the intersection because:

$$\forall i \in I : -1 \leq 0 \leq \frac{1}{i} \longrightarrow 0 \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$$

in addition, any point larger than 0 doesn't belong to the intersection because:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists i = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\rceil \in I : \epsilon \notin A_i$$

therefore 0 is a boundary point.

hence:

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = (-1, 0]$$

and of course this is not an open set, because it contains a boundary point.

note: we could generalize this example to any  $n$  by padding the vector with zeros.

## Problem 6:

a)

$$f(x) = x^T A x$$

$f(x)$  is a quadratic combination of the coordinates of vector  $x$  thus, it consists of sums and multiplications of the vector coordinates, which results in an elementary function that is continuously differentiable infinite time. Therefore,  $f(x)$  is continuously differentiable infinite times.

let's calculate the partial derivative by definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} &= f'(x; e_k) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + t e_k) - f(x)}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x + t e_k)^T A (x + t e_k) - x^T A x}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^T A x + t x^T A e_k + t e_k^T A x + t^2 e_k^T e_k - x^T A x}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t e_k^T A^T x + t e_k^T A x + t^2 \|e_k\|^2}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t (e_k^T A^T x + e_k^T A x + t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e_k^T A^T x + e_k^T A x + t = \\ &= e_k^T A^T x + e_k^T A x = \left( (A e_k)^T + (A^T e_k)^T \right) x = \left( A_{k,:} + (A_{:,k})^T \right) x \end{aligned}$$

thus:

$$\nabla f(x) = (A + A^T) x$$

if we derive a second time:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(x + t e_l; e_k) - f'(x; e_k)}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left( (A e_k)^T + (A^T e_k)^T \right) (x + t e_l) - \left( (A e_k)^T + (A^T e_k)^T \right) x}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left( (A e_k)^T + (A^T e_k)^T \right) t e_l}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( (A e_k)^T + (A^T e_k)^T \right) e_l = \\ &= \left( (A e_k)^T + (A^T e_k)^T \right) e_l = e_k^T A^T e_l + e_k^T A e_l = A_{k,l}^T + A_{k,l} = A_{l,k} + A_{k,l} \end{aligned}$$

therefore:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = A + A^T$$

b)

$$f(x) = ||x - a||_2 - \delta$$

$$f'(x; d) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x + td) - f(x)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{||x + td - a||_2 - \delta - ||x - a||_2 + \delta}{t}$$

if  $||x - a||_2 > \delta > 0$  there exists small enough  $t$  such that:

$$||x + td - a||_2 - \delta = ||x + td - a||_2 - \delta$$

and then:

$$f'(x; d) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{||x + td - a||_2 - \delta - ||x - a||_2 + \delta}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{||x - a + td||_2 - ||x - a||_2}{t}$$

this is exactly the definition of the directional derivative of the  $l_2$  norm of  $(x - a)$  which we saw in the tutorial equals to (when  $||x - a||_2 > 0$ ):

$$f'(x; d) = \frac{d^T(x - a)}{||x - a||_2}$$

if  $||x - a||_2 < \delta$  there exists small enough  $t$  such that:

$$||x + td - a||_2 - \delta = \delta - ||x + td - a||_2$$

and then:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x; d) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\delta - ||x + td - a||_2 + ||x - a||_2 - \delta}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} -\frac{||x - a + td||_2 - ||x - a||_2}{t} = \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{||x - a + td||_2 - ||x - a||_2}{t} \end{aligned}$$

which again like we saw in the tutorial equals to:

$$f'(x; d) = \begin{cases} -||d||_2 & x - a = 0 \\ -\frac{d^T(x - a)}{||x - a||_2} & 0 < ||x - a||_2 < \delta \end{cases}$$

if  $||x - a||_2 = \delta > 0$  then:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x; d) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{||x + td - a||_2 - ||x - a||_2 - ||x - a||_2 + ||x - a||_2}{t} = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{||x - a + td||_2 - ||x - a||_2}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \frac{||x - a + td||_2 - ||x - a||_2}{t} \right| \end{aligned}$$

which is again the definition of the directional derivative:

$$f'(x; d) = \left| \frac{d^T(x - a)}{||x - a||_2} \right| = \frac{|d^T(x - a)|}{||x - a||_2}$$

to summarize:

$$f'(x; d) = \begin{cases} \frac{d^T(x-a)}{\|x-a\|_2} & \|x-a\|_2 > \delta \\ \frac{|d^T(x-a)|}{\|x-a\|_2} & \|x-a\|_2 = \delta \\ -\frac{d^T(x-a)}{\|x-a\|_2} & 0 < \|x-a\|_2 < \delta \\ -\|d\|_2 & \|x-a\|_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$