#### 10

#### Haskell and Cryptocurrencies

Dr. Lars Brünjes, IOG Robertino Martinez, IOG Karina Lopez, IOG August, 2023





#### Goals

- · Recap: explicit effects.
- · Simple IO programs.
- Building larger IO programs.
- Reconciling IO and the functional style.

# **Explicit effects**

#### The original motivation for explicit effects

- Given lazy evaluation as a strategy, the moment of evaluation is not easy to predict and hence not a good trigger for side-effecting actions.
- Even worse, it may be difficult to predict whether a term is evaluated at all.
- We would like to keep equational reasoning, and allow compiler optimisations such as
  - strictness analysis evaluating things earlier than needed if they will definitely be needed, or
  - speculative evaluation evaluating things even if they might not be needed at all.

# Problematic programs

Assume for the time being:

getLine :: String

#### Problematic programs

Assume for the time being:

```
getLine :: String
```

```
program1 = getLine ++ getLine
program2 = (\ x -> x ++ x) getLine
program3 = (\ x y -> y ++ x) getLine getLine
```

#### Explicit effects are a good idea

- We can see via the type of a program whether it is guaranteed to have no side effects, or whether it is allowed to use effects.
- In principle, we can even make more fine-grained statements than just yes or no, by allowing just specific classes of effects.
- Encourages a programming style that keeps as much as possible effect-free.
- Makes it easier to test programs, or to run them in a different context.

#### Evaluation vs. execution

data IO a -- abstract

The type of plans to perform effects that ultimately yield an a.

5

#### Evaluation vs. execution

#### data IO a -- abstract

The type of plans to perform effects that ultimately yield an a.

- Evaluation does not trigger the actual effects. It will at most evaluate the plan.
- Execution triggers the actual effects. Executing a plan is not possible from within a Haskell program.

# The main program

```
main :: IO ()
```

- The entry point into the program is a plan to perform effects (a possibly rather complex one).
- This is the one and only plan that actually gets executed.

#### The unit type

```
data () = () -- special syntax
```

#### Constructor:

```
() :: ()
```

- · A type with a single value (nullary tuple).
- · Often used to parameterize other types.
- · A plan for actions with no interesting result: IO ().

#### Execution of effects via GHCi

For convenience, GHCi also executes IO actions:

```
GHCi> getLine
Some text.
"Some text."
```

```
getLine :: IO String
```

A plan that when executed, reads a line interactively and returns that line as a **String**.

#### Execution of effects with unit results in GHCi

GHCi does not print the final result of IO () -typed actions:

```
GHCi> writeFile "test.txt" "Hello"
GHCi> putStrLn "two\nlines"
two
lines
```

```
writeFile :: FilePath -> String -> IO ()
putStrLn :: String -> IO ()
```

Constructing larger plans

#### Basic sequencing

Function that takes two plans and constructs a plan that first executes the first plan, discard its result, then executes the second plan, and returns its result.

# Reading two lines

```
getTwoLines :: IO String
getTwoLines = getLine >>> getLine
```

#### Reading two lines

```
getTwoLines :: IO String
getTwoLines = getLine >> getLine

GHCi> getTwoLines
Line 1.
Line 2.
"Line 2."
```

# Modifying the result of a plan

```
liftM :: (a -> b) -> IO a -> IO b
```

Takes a function and a plan. Constructs a plan that executes the given plan, but before returning the result, applies the function.

```
duplicateLine :: IO String
duplicateLine = liftM (\ x -> x ++ x) getLine
```

# Modifying the result of a plan

```
liftM :: (a -> b) -> IO a -> IO b
```

Takes a function and a plan. Constructs a plan that executes the given plan, but before returning the result, applies the function.

```
duplicateLine :: IO String
duplicateLine = liftM (\ x -> x ++ x) getLine
```

```
GHCi> duplicateLine
Hello
"HelloHello"
```

# Shouting

```
GHCi> :t toUpper
toUpper :: Char -> Char
GHCi> toUpper 'x'
'X'
GHCi> liftM (map toUpper) getLine
Hello
"HELLO"
```

#### Combining the output of two sequenced plans

```
liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c) -> IO a -> IO b -> IO c
```

Takes an operator and two plans. Constructs a plan that executes the two plans in sequence, and uses the operator to combine the two results.

```
joinTwoLines :: IO String
joinTwoLines = liftM2 (++) getLine getLine
```

# Combining the output of two sequenced plans

```
liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c) -> IO a -> IO b -> IO c
```

Takes an operator and two plans. Constructs a plan that executes the two plans in sequence, and uses the operator to combine the two results.

```
joinTwoLines :: IO String
joinTwoLines = liftM2 (++) getLine getLine
```

```
GHCi> joinTwoLines
Hello
world
"Helloworld"
```

# Joining and flipping two lines

```
flipTwoLines :: IO String
flipTwoLines =
  liftM2 (\ x y -> y ++ x) getLine getLine
```

# Joining and flipping two lines

```
flipTwoLines :: IO String
flipTwoLines =
  liftM2 (\ x y -> y ++ x) getLine getLine
```

```
GHCi> flipTwoLines
Hello
world
"worldHello"
```

#### Revisiting the problematic examples

#### Wrong:

```
program1 = getLine ++ getLine
program2 = (\ x -> x ++ x) getLine
program3 = (\ x y -> y ++ x) getLine getLine
```

# Revisiting the problematic examples

#### Wrong:

```
program1 = getLine ++ getLine
program2 = (\ x -> x ++ x) getLine
program3 = (\ x y -> y ++ x) getLine getLine
```

#### Better:

```
joinTwoLines1 = liftM2 (++) getLine getLine
joinTwoLines2 = (\x -> liftM2 (++) x x) getLine
joinTwoLines3 =
    (\x y -> liftM2 (++) y x) getLine getLine
duplicateLine = liftM (\x -> x ++ x) getLine
flipTwoLines =
    liftM2 (\x y -> y ++ x) getLine getLine
```

# Actions that depend on the results of earlier actions

#### Bind: letting an action use an earlier result

#### Shouting back

Transforms the result (but does not print it back):

```
shout :: IO String
shout = liftM (map toUpper) getLine
```

# Shouting back

Transforms the result (but does not print it back):

```
shout :: IO String
shout = liftM (map toUpper) getLine
```

```
shoutBack :: IO ()
shoutBack = shout >>= putStrLn
```

#### Shouting back

Transforms the result (but does not print it back):

```
shout :: IO String
shout = liftM (map toUpper) getLine
```

```
shoutBack :: IO ()
shoutBack = shout >>= putStrLn
```

# Shouting back twice

```
shoutBackTwice :: IO ()
shoutBackTwice =
  shout >>= \ x -> putStrLn x >> putStrLn x
```

#### In GHCi

```
GHCi> shoutBack
Hello
HELLO
```

```
GHCi> shoutBackTwice
can you hear me?
CAN YOU HEAR ME?
CAN YOU HEAR ME?
```

#### Optioning out of doing IO

return :: a -> IO a

An plan that when executed, perform no effects and returns the given result.

#### Optioning out of doing IO

```
return :: a -> IO a
```

An plan that when executed, perform no effects and returns the given result.

- Intuitively, IO a says that we may use effects to obtain an a. We are not required to.
- On the other hand, a says that we must not use effects to obtain an a.

#### No escape from IO!

There is no<sup>1</sup> function

runIO :: IO a -> a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There actually is one, called **unsafePerformIO**, but its use is generally **not** justified.

#### No escape from IO!

There is no<sup>1</sup> function

If a value requires effects to obtain, we should not ever pretend that it does not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There actually is one, called **unsafePerformIO**, but its use is generally not justified.

# **Escaping temporarily**

- Gives us access to the **a** that results from the first action.
- But wraps it all up in another **IO** action.

# Bind is the most general sequencing function

```
(>>) :: I0 a -> I0 b -> I0 b
a1 >> a2 = a1 >>= \ _ -> a2
```

# Bind is the most general sequencing function

```
(>>) :: I0 a -> I0 b -> I0 b
a1 >> a2 = a1 >>= \ _ -> a2
```

#### Or:

```
(>>) :: I0 a -> I0 b -> I0 b
ioa >> iob = ioa >>= const iob
const :: a -> b -> a
const a b = a
```

#### Bind and return can implement lifting

```
liftM :: (a -> b) -> IO a -> IO b
liftM f ioa = ioa >>= \ a -> return (f a)
```

```
liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c) -> IO a -> IO b -> IO c
liftM2 f ioa iob =
  ioa >>= \ a -> iob >>= \ b -> return (f a b)
```

#### do notation

```
liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c) -> I0 a -> I0 b -> I0 c

liftM2 f ioa iob =
  ioa >>= \ a ->
  iob >>= \ b ->
  return (f a b)
```

#### do notation

```
liftM2 :: (a -> b -> c) -> IO a -> IO b -> IO c
```

#### A larger example

```
greeting :: IO ()
greeting =
 putStrLn "What is your name?"
                                     >>
 getLine
                                     >>= \ name ->
 putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name ++ "!") >>
 putStrLn "Where do you live?"
                                     >>
 getLine
                                     >>= \ loc ->
 let
   answer
      | loc == "Nairobi" = "Fantastic!"
      | loc == "Nakuru" = "Outstanding!"
      otherwise = "Sorry, don't know that."
 in
   putStrLn answer
```

#### A larger example

```
greeting :: IO ()
greeting = do
 putStrLn "What is your name?"
 name <- getLine
 putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name ++ "!")
 putStrLn "Where do you live?"
 loc <- getLine</pre>
 let
   answer
      | loc == "Nairobi" = "Fantastic!"
      | loc == "Nakuru" = "Outstanding!"
      otherwise = "Sorry, don't know that."
 putStrLn answer
```

Functional programming with IO

# Asking a question

```
ask :: String -> IO String
ask question = do
  putStrLn question
  getLine
```

# Asking a question

```
ask :: String -> IO String
ask question = do
  putStrLn question
  getLine
```

```
GHCi> ask "What is your name?"
What is your name?
Lars
"Lars"
```

# Asking many questions

```
askMany :: [String] -> IO [String]
askMany [] = return []
askMany (q : qs) = do
answer <- ask q
answers <- askMany qs
return (answer : answers)</pre>
```

The standard design pattern on lists is back!

#### Feels like a map

A map has the wrong result type:

```
askMany' :: [String] -> [IO String]
askMany' = map ask
```

# Feels like a map

A map has the wrong result type:

```
askMany' :: [String] -> [IO String]
askMany' = map ask
```

But we can sequence a list of plans:

```
sequence :: [IO a] -> IO [a]
sequence [] = return []
sequence (x : xs) = do
    a <- x
    as <- sequence xs
    return (a : as)</pre>
```

# Mapping an IO action

```
mapM :: (a -> I0 b) -> [a] -> I0 [b]
mapM f xs = sequence (map f xs)
```

# Mapping an IO action

```
mapM :: (a -> I0 b) -> [a] -> I0 [b]
mapM f xs = sequence (map f xs)
```

```
askMany :: [String] -> IO [String]
askMany questions = mapM ask questions
```

Traversing a tree interactively

#### A tree of yes-no questions

```
data Interaction =
    Question String Interaction Interaction
    | Result String
```

#### Constructors:

```
Question ::
   String
   -> Interaction -> Interaction
Result :: String -> Interaction
```

#### Pick a language

```
pick :: Interaction
pick =
 Question "Do you like FP?"
   (Question "Do you like static types?"
     (Result "Try OCaml.")
     (Result "Try Clojure.")
   (Question "Do you like dynamic types?"
     (Result "Try Python.")
     (Result "Try Rust.")
```

```
ford :: Interaction
ford =
  Question "Would you like a car?"
    (Question "Do you like it in black?"
        (Result "Good for you.")
        ford
    )
    (Result "Never mind then.")
```

# Asking a Boolean question

```
askBool :: String -> IO Bool
askBool question = do
putStrLn (question ++ " [yn]")
x <- getChar
putStrLn ""
return (x `elem` "yY")</pre>
```

#### Traversing the tree interactively

```
interaction :: Interaction -> IO ()
interaction (Question q y n) = do
  b <- askBool q
  if b then interaction y else interaction n
interaction (Result r) = putStrLn r</pre>
```

# Traversing the tree non-interactively

```
simulate :: Interaction -> [Bool] -> Maybe String
simulate (Question _ y _) (True : bs) =
    simulate y bs
simulate (Question _ _ n) (False : bs) =
    simulate n bs
simulate (Result r) [] = Just r
simulate _ _ = Nothing
```

Acquiring and releasing resources

#### Whole-file IO

```
readFile :: FilePath -> IO String
writeFile :: FilePath -> String -> IO ()
```

#### Handle-based file IO

```
All in System.IO:
```

```
hGetLine :: Handle -> IO String
hPutStrLn :: Handle -> String -> IO ()
hIsEOF :: Handle -> IO Bool
```

#### Handle-based file IO

```
All in System.IO:

hGetLine :: Handle -> IO String
hPutStrLn :: Handle -> String -> IO ()
```

hIsEOF :: Handle -> IO Bool

```
withFile ::
  FilePath -> IOMode
   -> (Handle -> IO r) -- continuation (aka callback)
   -> IO r
```

```
data IOMode =
    ReadMode | WriteMode
    | AppendMode | ReadWriteMode
```

# Reading a file line by line

```
readFileLineByLine :: FilePath -> IO [String]
readFileLineByLine file =
 withFile file ReadMode readFileHandle
readFileHandle :: Handle -> IO [String]
readFileHandle h = do
 eof <- hTsFOF h
 if enf
   then return []
   else do
     line <- hGetline h
     lines <- readFileHandle h
     return (line : lines)
```

Handle is automatically released at end of continuation.

#### A word of warning

#### Warning

Both readFile and readFileLineByLine are actually problematic for different reasons.

We will learn about better ways to process (in particular large) files later in the course.

# Exceptions

#### What happens if the file does not exist?

```
GHCi> readFileLineByLine "doesnotexist"

*** Exception: doesnotexist: openFile: does not exit
(No such file or directory)
```

#### Exceptions in effectful vs effect-free code

Exceptions in pure code (via **error**, missing patterns, ...) are bad:

- It is unclear when exactly, or if, they will be triggered,
- It is therefore also unclear where or when to best handle them,
- Explicitly handling failure via Maybe or similar is almost always the better solution.

#### Exceptions in effectful vs effect-free code

Exceptions in pure code (via **error**, missing patterns, ...) are bad:

- It is unclear when exactly, or if, they will be triggered,
- It is therefore also unclear where or when to best handle them,
- Explicitly handling failure via Maybe or similar is almost always the better solution.

Exceptions in effectful ( IO ) code are different:

- Execution order is explicit, and handling is easier.
- · There are many things that go wrong.

#### Catching IO errors

```
From System.IO.Error:
```

```
catchIOError :: IO a -> (IOError -> IO a) -> IO a
```

# Catching IO errors

```
From System. IO. Error:
catchIOError :: IO a -> (IOError -> IO a) -> IO a
readFileLineByLine' ::
 FilePath -> IO (Maybe [String])
readFileLineByLine' file =
 catchTOFrror
   (liftM Just (readFileLineByLine file))
   (const (return Nothing))
```

#### Testing it

```
GHCi> writeFile "test" "foo\nbar"
GHCi> readFileLineByLine' "test"
Just ["foo", "bar"]
GHCi> removeFile "test"
GHCi> readFileLineByLine' "test"
Nothing
```

From System.Directory:

```
removeFile :: FilePath -> IO ()
```

#### Recap

- The role of the IO type.
- · Composing IO functions.
- Higher-order IO functions (sequence, mapM).
- · File IO.
- · Resources.
- · Exceptions.