

# Klein geometric structures on manifolds and Bieberbach theorem

Achemlal Houdayfa

## **Abstract**

This document is the result of an internship completed at the mathematics laboratory of the ENS de Lyon (UMPA) at the end of the first year of mathematics at the École normale supérieure de Lyon.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Preliminary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Bieberbach Theorems</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Alternative Proof of Bieberbach Theorem	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Klein Geometry</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	$(G, X)$ -Automorphisms	14
3.2	Developing map and holonomy	14
3.3	Riemannian Geometry	21

## I Preliminary

In this section we shall give necessary definitions and lemmas for our topic.

*Definition 1.* Let  $H$  and  $K$  denote groups with group multiplication  $\circ$  and  $\star$  respectively and assume that  $H < \text{Aut}(K)$  and that  $K$  is abelian. The semi-direct product  $H \ltimes K$  of the groups  $H$  and  $K$  is the set of all pairs  $(h, k)$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $k \in K$ , with the following multiplication

$$(h_1, k_1) (h_2, k_2) = (h_1 \circ h_2, k_1 \star h_1(k_2))$$

*Example 1.*

- The isometries group  $E(n) = O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$
- The affine group  $A(n) = GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$

*Proposition 1.* There is the following sequence of subgroups

$$E(n) \subset A(n) \subset GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$$

*Proof.* From the definition  $E(n) \subset A(n)$ .

Let  $(A, a) \in A(n)$ . We have an  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which defines an inclusion  $A(n) \subset GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

*Definition 2.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of the group  $E(n)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is discrete if it is a discrete subset of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there is an open neighbourhood  $U_x$  such that the set

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma U_x \cap U_x \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. Moreover,  $\Gamma$  acts freely, if for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma x = x\} = \{I, 0\}$$

**Lemma 1.** Any discrete subgroup of the group  $E(n)$  is closed in  $E(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $E(n)$  and suppose that  $E(n) \setminus \Gamma$  is not open. Then there is a  $\gamma$  in  $E(n) \setminus \Gamma$  and  $\gamma_n$  in  $B(\gamma, 1/n) \cap \Gamma$ .

As  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$  in  $E(n)$ , we have  $\gamma_n \gamma_{n+1}^{-1} \rightarrow 1$  in  $\Gamma$ . However  $\{\gamma_n \gamma_{n+1}^{-1}\}$  is not eventually constant, which is a contradiction for that  $\Gamma$  is a discrete metric space. Therefore,  $E(n) \setminus \Gamma$  is open, and so  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $E(n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of the group  $E(n)$  and  $V_0 = D(0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open disk, then

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma V_0 \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset\} \subset \Gamma \cap (O(n) \times V'_0)$$

where  $V'_0 = D(0, 2r)$  is an open disk.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma = (A, a) \in \Gamma$  and  $\gamma V_0 \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exist  $x, x' \in V_0$ , such that  $\gamma x = Ax + a = x'$ .

Hence, from the triangle inequality  $\|a\| = \|x' - Ax\| \leq \|x'\| + \|Ax\| < 2r$  and  $\gamma \in O(n) \times V'_0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of the group  $E(n)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
2.  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \Gamma x$  is a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
3.  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $E(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  act properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We claim that  $\Gamma$  is discrete. Let elements  $\{\gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma$  converge to the identity. By assumption there is a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of 0 such that the set  $\{\gamma_i \mid U_0 \cap \gamma_i U_0 \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Hence  $\gamma_i = (I, 0)$  for large  $i$  and the sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is eventually constant. In general case if  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$  then  $\gamma_n \gamma^{-1} \rightarrow (I, 0)$  and from previous consideration, the implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) is proved.

We shall use the previous lemma for the proof of the reverse implication. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be any point and  $V_x$  be a disk of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ . By definition of properly discontinuous action and the lemma we have

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma V_x \cap V_x \neq \emptyset\} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid t_{-x} \gamma t_x V_0 \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset\} \subset t_{-x} \Gamma t_x \cap (O(n) \times V'_0)$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is discrete and hence also closed, the above set is finite and the implication (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) is proved.

Let us assume the condition (i) (or equivalently (iii)). We have to prove that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the set  $\Gamma x$  is discrete. Suppose it is not. Then there is  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a sequence  $\{\gamma_i x = A_i x + a_i\}$ , which is not eventually constant and converges to  $y$ . Since the group  $O(n)$  is compact, the sequence  $\{A_i\}$  converges to some  $A \in O(n)$ . We claim that the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  converges to  $-Ax + y$ . In fact, the value

$$\|a_i + Ax - y\| \leq \|a_i + A_i x - y\| + \|Ax - A_i x\|$$

can be arbitrarily small for large  $i$ . Summing up, we showed that the sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$  converges to  $\gamma = (A, -Ax + y)$  in  $E(n)$ . Hence,  $\{\gamma_i \gamma_i^{-1}\}$  converges to the identity. Since  $\Gamma$  is discrete, a sequence  $\{\gamma_i x\}$  is eventually constant. This contradicts our assumptions and proves the implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii).

Finally, we prove that (i) follows from (ii). Let  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be a convergent sequence in  $\Gamma$ . Then, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{\gamma_n x\}$  is a convergent sequence in  $\Gamma x$ . By definition it is eventually constant. Hence a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is also eventually constant.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** A discrete subgroup of  $E(n)$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if it is torsion free (i.e it has no elements of finite order).

*Proof.* Assume that a group  $\Gamma$  has an element  $\gamma$  of order  $k$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the element  $x + \gamma x + \gamma^2 x + \cdots + \gamma^{k-1} x$  is invariant under the action of  $\gamma$ . Hence the action of  $\Gamma$  is not free. The reverse implication follows from the equality of the sets

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma a = a\} = \Gamma \cap t_a(O(n) \times 0)t_{-a}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact, since the orthogonal group  $O(n)$  is compact and  $\Gamma$  is discrete, the above set is always finite.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** Let  $G$  be a differential manifold and simultaneously a group, such that the group operation and inversion are differential maps of the manifolds. Then  $G$  is called a Lie group.

**Example 2.** The sphere  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , with the multiplication of complex numbers, is a Lie group. The Cartesian product of  $S^1$ , i.e.  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n = (S^1)^n$ , is a Lie group. The matrix groups  $U(n)$ ,  $O(n)$ ,  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$  are Lie groups.

The group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not a Lie group.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $E(n)$ . The orbit space of the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined to be the set of  $\Gamma$ -orbits  $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma = \{\Gamma x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  topologized with the quotient topology from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The quotient map will be denoted by  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.** If  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $E(n)$ , then the natural projection map  $p : E(n) \rightarrow E(n)/\Gamma$  and the projection on the orbit space  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$  are open and closed.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $E(n)$ . Then the orbit space  $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$  is compact if and only if the space of cosets  $E(n)/\Gamma$  is compact.

*Proof.* By definition  $E(n)/O(n) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

A group  $\Gamma$  acts on the space  $E(n)/O(n)$  by  $gO(n) \mapsto (\gamma g)O(n)$ , where  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $g \in E(n)$ . The above action agrees with a standard action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Next, let us note that the map  $E(n)/\Gamma \rightarrow (E(n)/O(n))/\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$ , given by

$$g^{-1}\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma(gO(n))$$

is a continuous open map with compact fibers. Hence it follows that  $E(n)/\Gamma$  is compact if and only if  $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$  is compact.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** A space  $E(n)/\Gamma$  is compact if and only if there exists a compact subset  $D \subset E(n)$ , such that  $E(n) = D\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E(n)$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$ , there exists a family of open sets  $U_k \cap E(n)$ , such that the family of sets  $p(U_k \cap E(n))$  covers the compact space  $E(n)/\Gamma$ .

Here  $U_k$  is an open disk centered at origin and of radius  $k$ . Hence there exists  $k_0$ , such that  $p(U_{k_0} \cap E(n)) = E(n)/\Gamma$ .

Let  $D$  be a closure of the set  $(U_{k_0} \cap E(n))$ , i.e.  $D = \overline{(U_{k_0} \cap E(n))}$ . Finally, we have

$$E(n) = D\Gamma$$

The proof of the reverse implication follows from the equality  $D/\Gamma = E(n)/\Gamma$ .  $\square$

*Definition 5.* A subgroup  $\Gamma \subset E(n)$  is cocompact, if the space  $E(n)/\Gamma$  is compact.

Roughly speaking a fundamental domain for a group  $\Gamma$  of isometries in a metric space  $X$  is a subset of  $X$  which contains exactly one point from each of these orbits.

*Definition 6.* Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $G$  a subgroup of a group of its isometries. An open, connected subset  $F \subset X$  is a fundamental domain if

$$X = \bigcup_{g \in G} g\bar{F}$$

and  $gF \cap g'F = \emptyset$ , for  $g \neq g' \in G$ .

## 2 Bieberbach Theorems

*Definition 7.* A crystallographic group of dimension  $n$  is a cocompact and discrete subgroup of  $E(n)$ . We also refer to a such group as a Bieberbach group.

*Example 3.* If

$$\left( B, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( I, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in E(2) \text{ where } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the group  $\Gamma \subset E(2)$  generated by the above elements is a crystallographic group of dimension two and the orbit space  $\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma$  is the Klein bottle.

The first part of the eighteenth Hilbert problem was about the description of discrete and cocompact groups of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The German mathematician L. Bieberbach answers this problem.

**Theorem 1** (Bieberbach).

1. If  $\Gamma \subset E(n)$  is a crystallographic group then the set of translations  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a torsion free and finitely generated abelian group of rank  $n$ , and is a maximal abelian and normal subgroup of finite index.
2. For any natural number  $n$ , there are only a finite number of isomorphism classes of crystallographic groups of dimension  $n$ .
3. Two crystallographic groups of dimension  $n$  are isomorphic if and only if they are conjugate in the group  $A(n)$ .

We shall prove these lemmas first:

**Lemma 5.** There exists a neighborhood of the identity  $U \subset O(n)$  such that for any  $h \in U$ , if  $g \in O(n)$  commutes with  $[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$ , then  $g$  commutes with  $h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  be the eigenvalues of an orthogonal matrix  $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $\mathbb{C}^n = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$  be its invariant subspaces. Since  $g[g, h] = [g, h]g$ , we have

$$ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = hg^{-1}h^{-1}g.$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $\forall x \in V_i$ , we have  $gx = \lambda_i x$ . Hence,

$$ghg^{-1}h^{-1}x = hg^{-1}h^{-1}gx = hg^{-1}h^{-1}\lambda_i x = \lambda_i hg^{-1}h^{-1}x$$

and  $hg^{-1}h^{-1}V_i \subset V_i$ . Since  $h$  and  $g$  are isomorphisms,  $h^{-1}V_i = gh^{-1}V_i$ . This shows that  $h^{-1}V_i$  is  $g$ -invariant, and so

$$h^{-1}V_i = (h^{-1}V_i \cap V_1) \oplus (h^{-1}V_i \cap V_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (h^{-1}V_i \cap V_r),$$

where  $h^{-1}V_i \cap V_j = \{x \in h^{-1}V_i \mid gx = \lambda_j x\}$ .

Let  $w, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be such that  $\|w\| = \|v\| = 1$  and  $w \perp v$  in the Hermitian inner product. Then  $\|w - v\| = \sqrt{2}$ . Moreover, let  $\|h^{-1} - I\| < \epsilon = \sqrt{2} - 1$ ,  $i \neq j$  and suppose  $0 \neq x \in (h^{-1}V_i \cap V_j)$ .

We can assume  $\|x\| = 1$ . By definition, there is  $y \in V_i$  such that  $h^{-1}y = x$ . But  $x \in V_j$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ . Since

$$\sqrt{2} = \|x - y\| = \|h^{-1}y - y\| \leq \|(h^{-1} - I)y\| < \sqrt{2} - 1,$$

we obtain a contradiction. Hence  $h^{-1}V_i = V_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $gh = hg|_{V_i}$ . In fact, the matrix of  $g$  is diagonal. Since any element of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a sum of elements from  $V_i$ , it follows that  $g$  and  $h$  commute. Let

$$U = \{h \in O(n) \mid \|I - h^{-1}\| < \epsilon\}.$$

□

**Lemma 6.** *There exists a neighborhood of the identity  $U \subset O(n)$  such that for any  $g, h \in U$ , the sequence*

$$[g, h], [g, [g, h]], [g, [g, [g, h]]], \dots$$

*converges to the identity.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of the identity with radius  $\epsilon < 1/4$ . By definition, we have

$$\|[g, h] - I\| = \|gh - hg - I + I\| = \|gh - g - h + I - hg + h + g - I\| \leq$$

$$\|(g - I)(h - I) - (h - I)(g - I)\| \leq 2\|g - I\|\|h - I\| < \frac{\|h - I\|}{2}$$

for  $g, h \in U$ . Hence  $[g, h] \in U$ , and by induction,

$$\|[g, [g, \dots, [g, h] \dots]] - I\| \leq \frac{\|h - I\|}{2^n}.$$

Hence the result. □

**Lemma 7.** *There is an arbitrary small neighbourhood  $V$  of  $I \in O(n)$  such that  $\forall g \in O(n), gVg^{-1} = V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon$  be a positive number and  $V = B(I, \epsilon)$  be an open disk. By definition we have  $\forall g \in O(n)$  and  $\forall h \in V$  we have

$$\|ghg^{-1} - I\| = \|g(h - I)g^{-1}\| = \|h - I\| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $\forall g \in O(n), gVg^{-1} \subset V$  and  $g^{-1}Vg \subset V$ , we get  $V = g(g^{-1}Vg)g^{-1} \subset gVg^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.** We shall call a neighbourhood  $U$  satisfying the three previous lemmas a stable neighbourhood of identity.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $G \subset O(n)$  be a connected subgroup, and let  $U$  be a neighborhood of the identity. Then the group  $\langle G \cap U \rangle$  generated by  $G \cap U$  is equal to  $G$ .*

*Proof.*  $G$  is a topological group,  $V = G \cap U$  is a neighborhood of the identity,  $\langle V \rangle$  is open, hence it is closed, since  $G$  is connected and  $\langle V \rangle$  is non-empty, we have  $\langle V \rangle = G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a crystallographic group and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the linear space generated by the set  $\{\gamma(x)\}, \gamma \in \Gamma$  is equal to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the lemma is false and that  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  exists such that  $\{\gamma(x_0)\}$  lies in  $W$ , a proper linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . without loss of generalality, we may assume  $O(n)$  leaves  $x_0$  fixed as a new origin. In fact,

$$\Gamma(x_0) = \Gamma(I, x_0)(I, -x_0)(x_0) = \Gamma(I, x_0)(0).$$

Hence sets  $(I, -x_0)\Gamma(I, x_0)(0)$  and  $\Gamma(x_0)$  differ by translation  $(I, -x_0)$  and define linear subspace of the same dimension. It follows that for  $\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma = (A, a)$  must have  $a \in W$ .

Since  $\Gamma$  is a group,  $A(W) = W$  for all  $A \in p_1(\Gamma)$ . Let  $W^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $W$ . Let  $x \in W^\perp$  be an element at a distance  $d$  from the origin. It is easy to see that for any  $\gamma = (A, a) \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\langle \gamma(x), \gamma(x) \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle a, a \rangle.$$

Hence  $\|x\| \leq \|\gamma(x)\|$ . Summing up, points in  $W^\perp$  at a distance  $d$  from origin stay at least at a distance  $d$  from o. It follows that  $\Gamma$  cannot have a compact fundamental domain.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be an abelian crystallographic group; then  $\Gamma$  contains only pure translations.*



*Proof.* Let  $(B, b) \in \Gamma$ , where  $B \neq I$ . Then we can always choose an origin and a coordinate system in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I$  is the  $r \times r$  identity matrix,  $B' - I$  is a nonsingular  $s \times s$  matrix,  $r + s = n$ , and  $r$  can be equal to zero.

Moreover, we can assume that  $b = (b', 0, \dots, 0)$ , where  $b' \in \mathbb{R}^r$ .

Then, there exists an element  $(C, (t_1, t_2)) \in \Gamma$ , where  $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}^r, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^s$  and  $t_2 \neq 0$ . Then, since  $\Gamma$  is abelian and  $BCb = CBb = Cb$ , we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (B, b)(C, (t_1, t_2)) &= (BC, b' + t_1, B'(t_2)) \\ &= (CB, Cb + t_1, t_2) \\ &= (C, (t_1, t_2))(B, b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B'(t_2) = t_2$ , which contradicts the nonsingularity of  $B' - I$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a crystallographic group. Let  $p_1 : E(n) \rightarrow O(n)$  be the projection onto the first factor. Then  $p_1(\Gamma)_0$  is an abelian group.*

*Proof.* Let  $U = B(I, \epsilon)^3$ , such that  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$

Let  $\gamma_1 = (A_1, a_1), \gamma_2 = (A_2, a_2) \in (p_1^{-1}(U) \cap \Gamma)$ . By recurrence we define for  $i \geq 2$

$$\gamma_{i+1} = [\gamma_1, \gamma_i].$$

We have

$$\gamma_{i+1} = ([A_1, A_i], (I - A_1 A_i A_1^{-1})a_1 + A_1(I - A_i A_1^{-1} A_i^{-1})a_i).$$

Hence  $A_{i+1} = [A_1, A_i]$  and

$$\|a_{i+1}\| \leq \|I - A_i\| \|a_1\| + \frac{1}{4} \|a_i\|.$$

From a previous lemma we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i = I$ . Hence  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = 0$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is discrete,  $\gamma_i = (I, 0)$  for sufficiently large  $i$ . However, we have  $A_1 A_2 = A_2 A_1$ . Hence any elements of the set  $p_1(\Gamma)_0 \cap U$  commute, hence we prove commutativity of the group  $p_1(\Gamma)_0$ .  $\square$

We finish the proof of the first Bieberbach Theorem.

Assume first that  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is trivial. Then  $p_1$  is an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$  into  $O(n)$ . Since  $O(n)$  is compact, the closure of  $p_1(\Gamma)$  can have only a finite number of components. Hence, since  $p_1(\Gamma)_0$  is abelian,  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  of finite index which is abelian. But then  $\Gamma_1$ , being of finite index in  $\Gamma$ , is also a crystallographic group. Hence, by Lemma 2.6,  $\Gamma_1$  consists of pure translations. Thus we see that  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is nonempty.

Let  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by the pure translations of  $\Gamma$ , i.e., by  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then,  $p_1(\Gamma)$  leaves  $W$  invariant because  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is normal in  $\Gamma$ . Note further that  $p_1(\Gamma)|_W$  is a finite group, for otherwise it would contain elements arbitrarily close to identity, which would, under inner automorphism with a basis of  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , force  $\Gamma$  to be nondiscrete.

In fact, let  $(A_i, a_i) \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{N}$  be an infinite sequence of elements such that  $A_i \rightarrow I$ . Let

$$(B_i, b_i) = (I, e_k)(A_i, a_i)(I, -e_k) = (A_i, (I - A_i)e_k + a_i),$$

where  $e_k \in \Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then a sequence  $(B_i, b_i)(A_i^{-1}, -A_i^{-1}(a_i)), i \in \mathbb{N}$  defines a nondiscrete subset of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, we see that  $\Gamma$  induces an action on  $\mathbb{R}^n/W$  which is obviously cocompact. We claim that it is also properly discontinuous.

We have decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W^\perp$ , where  $W^\perp \simeq \mathbb{R}^n/W$ .

Let  $pr_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow W, pr_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow W^\perp$  be projections. Let  $X$  be any discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It can happen that sets  $pr_1(X)$  and  $pr_2(X)$  are not discrete subsets of  $W$  and  $W^\perp$ .

Since  $p_1(\Gamma)|_W$  is finite, we can concentrate on elements  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $p_1(\gamma)$  acts as identity on  $W$ .

The orbit  $\Gamma(0)$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By contradiction let us assume that  $pr_2(\Gamma(0))$  is not discrete at  $W^\perp$  and  $y \in W^\perp$  is an accumulation point of  $pr_2(\Gamma(0))$ .

Let  $pr_2(\gamma_i(0)) \rightarrow y$ , where  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using elements from  $\Gamma \cap (I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  we can define a sequence of elements of  $\tilde{\gamma}_i \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, pr_1(\tilde{\gamma}_i(0)) \subset C \subset W$ , where  $C$  is a compact set.

Here we use the fact that  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^n$  is a cocompact subgroup of  $W$ . We can see that a set  $\{\tilde{\gamma}_i(0)\}, i \in \mathbb{N}$  has an accumulation point at a discrete set  $\Gamma(0)$ . We get contradiction and our claim is proved.

Hence  $\Gamma$  is a crystallographic group on  $\mathbb{R}^n/W$  with no pure translations. By the above, this implies the zero dimension of  $\mathbb{R}^n/W$ .  $\square$

## 2.1 Alternative Proof of Bieberbach Theorem

The proof we have presented is due to Auslander. In this section, we shall give a geometric proof given by Buser:

**Theorem 1 (A.1).** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a crystallographic group of dimension  $n$ . Then its translation subgroup has  $n$  linearly independent elements.*

Suppose  $A \in O(n)$ . We define

$$m(A) = \max \left\{ \frac{|Ax - x|}{|x|} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

Let us see that we always have  $|Ax - x| \leq m(A)|x|$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, the set

$$(i) \quad E_A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |Ax - x| = m(A)|x|\}$$

is a non-trivial,  $A$ -invariant linear subspace. This follows from the so-called parallelogram condition<sup>1</sup> and the sequences of equations

$$2m^2(A) (|x|^2 + |y|^2) = 2 (|Ax - x|^2 + |Ay - y|^2) = |A(x + y) - (x + y)|^2 \\ + |A(x - y) - (x - y)|^2 \leq m^2(A) (|x + y|^2 + |x - y|^2) = 2m^2(A) (|x|^2 + |y|^2)$$

where  $x, y \in E_A$ . Let  $E_A^\perp$  be the  $A$ -orthogonal complement of  $E_A$ . We define

$$(ii) \quad m^\perp(A) = \max \left\{ \frac{|Ax - x|}{|x|} \mid x \in E_A^\perp \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

when  $E_A^\perp \neq 0$ , and  $m^\perp(A) = 0$  in the opposite case. Hence

$$(iii) \quad m^\perp(A) < m(A)$$

when  $A \neq \text{id}$ . Let  $x = x^E + x^\perp \in E_A \oplus E_A^\perp$ . Then

$$(iv) \quad |Ax^E - x^E| = m(A) |x^E|, \quad |Ax^\perp - x^\perp| \leq m^\perp(A) |x^\perp|$$

After these elementary observations, we see that for all  $A, B \in O(n)$  we have

$$m([A, B]) \leq 2m(A)m(B)$$

In fact, we have

$$[A, B] - \text{id} = (A - \text{id})(B - \text{id}) - (B - \text{id})(A - \text{id})A^{-1}B^{-1}$$

Since  $|A^{-1}B^{-1}x| = |x|$ , it follows that

$$|[A, B]x - x| \leq m(A)m(B)|x| + m(B)m(A)|x|$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 12** (A. ("Mini Bieberbach")). *For each unit vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for all  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  there exists  $\beta = (B, b) \in \Gamma$ , such that  $b \neq 0$ ,  $\angle(u, b) \leq \delta$ ,  $m(B) \leq \epsilon$ . (Here  $\angle(u, b)$  denotes the angle between the vectors  $u, b$  and  $\cos(\angle(u, b)) = \frac{\langle u, b \rangle}{\|b\|}$ .)*

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\Gamma$  there exists  $d$  and elements  $\beta_k \in \Gamma$  such that for any natural number  $k$ , we have

$$|b_k - ku| \leq d$$

Moreover  $|b_k| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\angle(u, b_k) \rightarrow 0$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $O(n)$  is compact, we find a subsequence such that for  $i < j$  we have

$$m(B_j B_i^{-1}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \angle(u, b_j) \leq \delta/2, \quad |b_i| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} |b_j|$$

Finally, the element  $\beta_j \beta_i^{-1}$  has the required properties.  $\square$

**Lemma 13 (B.).** *If  $\alpha = (A, a) \in \Gamma$  and  $m(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\alpha$  is a translation.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha = (A, a) \in \Gamma$  satisfies our assumptions and  $m(A) \neq 0$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group, we can assume that the number  $|a|$  is minimal. From Lemma A, for  $u \in E_A$ , there exists  $\beta = (B, b)$ , such that

$$b \neq 0, \quad |b^\perp| \leq |b^E|, \quad m(B) \leq \frac{1}{8} (m(A) - m^\perp(A))$$

Among these we consider again the one for which  $|b|$  is a non-zero minimum. Observe that  $|a| \leq |b|$ , when  $\beta$  is not a translation<sup>2</sup>. Let  $\tilde{\beta} = [\alpha, \beta]$ . From the considerations preceding Lemma A, we have

$$m(\tilde{B}) = m([A, B]) \leq 2m(A)m(B) \leq m(B)$$

and

$$\tilde{b} = (A - \text{id})b^E + (A - \text{id})b^\perp + r$$

where

$$r = (\text{id} - \tilde{B})b + A(\text{id} - B)A^{-1}a$$

If  $\beta$  is a translation then  $B = \text{id} = \tilde{B}$  and  $r = 0$ . As we have already observed, from the choice of  $\alpha$ , in the case when  $\beta$  is not a translation, we have an inequality

$$|a| \leq |b|$$

Hence

$$|r| \leq (m(\tilde{B}) + m(B))|b| \leq 2m(B)|b| < 4m(B) |b^E|$$

In each case we have

$$|r| < \frac{1}{2} (m(A) - m^\perp(A)) |b^E|$$

By definition and from (A.2) we have

$$\tilde{b}^\perp - (A - \text{id})b^\perp - r^\perp = (A - \text{id})b^E + r^E - \tilde{b}^E = 0$$

Hence, using (A.1) and the orthogonality of  $r^E$  and  $r^\perp$ , we obtain

$$|\tilde{b}^\perp| \leq m^\perp(A) |b^\perp| + |r^\perp| < m^\perp(A) |b^E| + |r|$$

Summing up, with support of (A.3), we have an inequality

$$|\tilde{b}^\perp| < \frac{1}{2} (m(A) + m^\perp(A)) |b^E|$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{b}^E| &= |(A - \text{id})b^E + r^E| \geq m(A) |b^E| - |r^E| \\
&> m(A) |b^E| - \frac{1}{2} (m(A) - m^\perp(A)) |b^E| \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (m(A) + m^\perp(A)) |b^E|
\end{aligned}$$

Here we apply again (A.3) and

$$|x + y| \geq ||x| - |y||$$

Finally, we can see that  $\tilde{\beta}$  also satisfies the condition (A.1) and because

$$|\tilde{b}| \leq m(A)|b| + |r| < m(A) + \frac{1}{2} (m(A) - m^\perp(A)) |b^E| < |b|$$

we have a contradiction.

By Lemma A it follows that there exist  $n$  elements of  $\Gamma$ , such that their translation parts are linearly independent, and their rotation parts have norm smaller than  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Now, by Lemma B we can define  $n$  linearly independent translations in  $\Gamma$ .

For the proof of the first Bieberbach Theorem it is enough to observe that the image  $p_1(\Gamma)$  of the homomorphism  $p_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow O(n)$  is a discrete subgroup of the compact group  $O(n)$ . (exercice 2.3 et lemme 2.7)

### 3 Klein Geometry

*Definition 9 (Klein Geometry).* A Klein geometry is defined by a pair  $(G, G/H)$ , where  $G$ , the principal group, is a Lie group, and  $H$  is a closed subgroup (equivalently a Lie subgroup), such that the natural action of  $G$  on  $X = G/H$  is transitive.

The homogeneous space  $X$  is called the space of the geometry, or by abuse of language, the Klein geometry. It follows from the definition and quotient topology that  $X$  is connected.

Since  $H$  is closed,  $X$  inherits a topological manifold structure.

*Definition 10.* Let  $U \subset X$  be an open set. A morphism  $f : U \rightarrow X$  is said to be locally- $G$  if for every connected component  $C$  of  $U$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $f|_C = g|_C$ .

Classic examples of geometries include Euclidean geometry  $(O_n(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , affine geometry  $(GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Definition 11.* Given a geometry  $(G, X)$  and a manifold  $M$  of the same dimension as  $X$ , a  $G/H$ -structure on  $M$  is a maximal atlas  $\mathcal{U} = \{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$  such that:

- $\{U_i\}$  is an open cover of  $M$ .

- The morphisms  $\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow X$  are open embeddings.
- The transition maps  $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1} : \varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j) \rightarrow \varphi_i(U_i \cap U_j)$  are locally- $G$ .

A manifold equipped with such a structure is called a  $(G, X)$ -manifold.

*Example 4.* For any geometry  $(G, X)$ , the space  $X$  is tautologically a  $(G, X)$ -manifold such that the identity morphism is a global chart. More generally, for any open set  $U$  of  $X$ ,  $U$  is a  $(G, X)$ -manifold with the identity as a global chart.

*Example 5.* Consider the group of biholomorphisms  $\mathbb{C}^\times \ltimes \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice, for example  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . We define the complex torus  $T_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}$  is a universal cover over  $T_\mathbb{C}$ , which gives the torus a  $(\mathbb{C}^\times \ltimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ -structure.

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two  $(G, X)$ -manifolds and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  a map. We say that  $f$  is a  $(G, X)$ -map if for any two charts of  $M$  and  $N$ :

$$\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow X \quad , \quad \psi_j : V_j \rightarrow X$$

the restriction of  $\psi_j \circ f \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$  to  $\varphi_i(U_i \cap f^{-1}(V_j))$  is locally- $G$ . In particular, we consider  $(G, X)$ -maps that are local diffeomorphisms. The set of  $(G, X)$ -automorphisms  $M \rightarrow M$  is a group that we denote by  $\text{Aut}_{(G, X)}(M)$ .

$$\varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha \circ (\varphi_\beta)^{-1}} \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

### 3.1 $(G, X)$ -Automorphisms

If  $\Omega$  is a non-empty connected open set, a  $(G, X)$ -automorphism  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is the restriction of a unique element  $g \in G$  preserving  $\Omega$ :

$$\text{Aut}(\Omega) \cong \text{Stab}(\Omega) = \{g \in G : g \cdot \Omega = \Omega\}$$

We now assume  $f : M \rightarrow \Omega$  is a local diffeomorphism. There exists a homomorphism:

$$f_* : \text{Aut}_{(G, X)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{(G, X)}(\Omega)$$

whose kernel is the set of maps  $h : M \rightarrow M$  such that the following diagram commutes:

### 3.2 Developing map and holonomy

The following fact is essential in the study of  $(G, X)$ -structures.

*Proposition 5 (Unique Extension Property).* Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two  $(G, X)$ -manifolds and  $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow N$  be two  $(G, X)$ -morphisms. If  $M$  is connected, then  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are equal if and only if they coincide locally.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the set of all points of  $M$  that have an open neighborhood in which  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  coincide. We will show that  $S$  is both open and closed in  $M$ , which will imply the proposition. Let  $x \in S$  and let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$ , such that  $f_1|_U = f_2|_U$ . We have  $U \subset S$  which means that  $S$  is a neighborhood of each of its points, i.e.,  $S$  is open. Let  $x \notin S$ . If  $f_1(x) \neq f_2(x)$ , we can find a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f_1(U) \cap f_2(U) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $U \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $S$  is closed. Suppose that  $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$ . Let  $(U, \varphi)$  be a local chart around  $x$ . By shrinking  $U$  if necessary, we can assume that  $f_1(U)$  and  $f_2(U)$  are contained in the domain  $W$  of a local chart  $(W, \psi)$  of  $N$ . We have  $U \cap S = \emptyset$ . Suppose by contradiction that there exists  $x_0 \in U$  which has an open neighborhood  $V$  in which  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  coincide. We can always reduce  $V$  and assume that  $V \subset U$ . By construction, the charts  $g_i = \psi \circ f_i \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(V) \rightarrow \psi(W)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  coincide, so they must extend to the same chart on  $X$ . Therefore, they are equal on the set  $\varphi(U)$ , which means that in particular  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  coincide on  $U$ . This contradicts the fact that  $x \notin S$ . Therefore,  $U \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $S$  is closed.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a  $(G, X)$ -manifold. Let  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be a universal cover with fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$ .  $p$  induces a  $(G, X)$ -structure on  $\tilde{M}$  on which  $\pi$  acts by  $(G, X)$ -automorphisms. The unique extension property implies:

*Proposition 6.* Let  $M$  be a simply connected  $(G, X)$ -manifold. Then there exists a  $(G, X)$ -application  $f : M \rightarrow X$ .

*Proof.* Choose a basepoint  $x_0 \in M$  and a coordinate patch  $U_0$  containing  $x_0$ . For  $x \in M$ , we define  $f(x)$  as follows. Choose a path  $\{x_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  in  $M$  from  $x_0$  to  $x = x_1$ . Cover the path by coordinate patches  $U_i$  (where  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) such that  $x_t \in U_i$  for  $t \in (a_i, b_i)$  where

$$a_0 < 0 < a_1 < b_0 < a_2 < b_1 < a_3 < b_2 < \dots < a_{n-1} < b_{n-2} < a_n < b_{n-1} < 1 < b_n$$

Let  $U_i \xrightarrow{\psi_i} X$  be an  $(G, X)$ -chart and let  $g_i \in G$  be the unique transformation such that  $g_i \circ \psi_i$  and  $\psi_{i-1}$  agree on the component of  $U_i \cap U_{i-1}$  containing the curve  $\{x_t\}_{a_i < t < b_{i-1}}$ . Let

$$f(x) = g_1 g_2 \dots g_{n-1} g_n \psi_n(x);$$

we show that  $f$  is indeed well-defined. The map  $f$  does not change if the cover is refined. Suppose that a new coordinate patch  $U'$  is "inserted between"  $U_{i-1}$  and  $U_i$ . Let  $\{x_t\}_{a' < t < b'}$  be the portion of the curve lying inside  $U'$ :

$$a_{i-1} < a' < a_i < b_{i-1} < b' < b_i$$

Let  $U' \xrightarrow{\psi'} X$  be the corresponding coordinate chart and let  $h_{i-1}, h_i \in G$  be the unique transformations such that  $\psi_{i-1}$  agrees with  $h_{i-1} \circ \psi'$  on the component of  $U' \cap U_{i-1}$  containing  $\{x_t\}_{a' < t < b_{i-1}}$  and  $\psi'$  agrees with  $h_i \circ \psi_i$  on the component of  $U' \cap U_i$

containing  $\{x_t\}_{a_i < t < b'}$ . By the unique extension property  $h_{i-1}h_i = g_i$  and it follows that the corresponding developing map

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{i-1} h_{i-1} h_i g_{i+1} \cdots g_{n-1} g_n \psi_n(x) \\ &= g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{i-1} g_i g_{i+1} \cdots g_{n-1} g_n \psi_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

is unchanged. Thus the developing map as so defined is independent of the coordinate covering, since any two coordinate coverings have a common refinement.

Next we claim the developing map is independent of the choice of path. Since  $M$  is simply connected, any two paths from  $x_0$  to  $x$  are homotopic. Every homotopy can be broken up into a succession of "small" homotopies, that is, homotopies such that there exists a partition

$$0 = c_0 < c_1 < \cdots < c_{m-1} < c_m = 1$$

such that during the course of the homotopy the segment  $\{x_t\}_{c_i < t < c_{i+1}}$  lies in a coordinate patch. It follows that the expression defining  $f(x)$  is unchanged during each of the small homotopies, and hence during the entire homotopy. Thus  $f$  is independent of the choice of path.

Since  $f$  is a composition of a coordinate chart with a transformation  $X \rightarrow X$  coming from  $G$ , it follows that  $f$  is an  $(G, X)$ -map. The proof of Proposition 5.2.1 is complete.  $\square$

*Definition 12.* We say that a  $(G, X)$ -manifold  $M$  is complete if the developing map is a covering.

*Proposition 7 (The holonomy group characterizes the manifold).* If  $G$  is a group that acts analytically by diffeomorphisms on a simply connected topological space  $X$ , any complete  $(G, X)$ -manifold can be constructed from its holonomy group  $\Gamma$  as the quotient space  $X/\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is simply connected and  $M$  is complete, by the uniqueness of the universal cover:

$$\tilde{M} \cong X$$

The development being a diffeomorphism, the action of the fundamental group on  $\tilde{M}$  is transported via  $\text{dev}$  to  $X$  such that for all  $x \in X, \gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ , we have:

$$\gamma \cdot x = \text{dev}(\gamma \cdot \text{dev}^{-1}(x)) = \text{hol}(\gamma) \cdot x$$

By the commutative diagram:

Thus the action of the holonomy group coincides with that of the fundamental group which is faithful. Thus the holonomy is injective, by the isomorphism theorem:



$$M \cong X/\pi_1(M) \cong X/\Gamma$$

□

**Lemma 14** (Eckmann-Hilton Principle). *We assume that a set  $X$  is equipped with two unital magma structures  $*$  and  $\cdot$ , and such that for  $x, x', y, y' \in X$  we have:*

$$(x \cdot x') * (y \cdot y') = (x * y) \cdot (x' * y')$$

*then the two laws coincide and  $X$  is a commutative monoid. In particular, the fundamental group of a topological group is abelian.*

*Proof.* Let  $x = y' = 1_*$  and  $x' = y = 1$

*.This gives  $1_* = 1_* = 1$ . Now let  $x' = y = 1$ , this gives:*

$$x * y' = x \cdot y'$$

Thus  $*$  =  $\cdot$ , we then deduce associativity and commutativity:

$$x = 1 \Rightarrow x' * (y * y') = y * (x' * y')$$

$$x = y' = 1 \rightarrow x' * y = y * x'$$

Thus  $X$  is equipped with a commutative monoid structure. We show that the fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(G)$  of a topological group  $(G, \cdot)$  is abelian. The law of  $G$  induces a second law on  $\pi$  (concatenation  $+$ ), for  $\gamma, \delta$  two loops in  $G$ :

$$\gamma * \delta : t \mapsto \gamma(t) \cdot \delta(t)$$

This law is well defined as the multiplication of  $G$  is continuous. So that:

$$[\gamma * \delta] = [t \mapsto \gamma(t) \cdot \delta(t)] = [t \mapsto \gamma(t)] * [t \mapsto \delta(t)] = [\gamma] * [\delta]$$

Let  $a, b, c, d \in \pi$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b) * (c + d) &= t \mapsto (a + b)(t) \cdot (c + d)(t) \\ &= (t \mapsto a(t) \cdot c(t)) + (t \mapsto b(t) \cdot d(t)) \end{aligned}$$

By Eckmann-Hilton,  $\pi$  is abelian. □

We replace the space  $X$  with its universal cover when  $X$  is not simply connected. There exists a covering group  $\tilde{G}$  acting on  $\tilde{X}$  by homeomorphisms. We can then describe  $\tilde{G}$  by the extension:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

We say that a group  $G$  admits a local section (local cross-section) with respect to a closed subgroup  $H < G$  if we have the data:

- a neighborhood  $U \subset G/H$  of the identity.
- a subset  $S \subset G$  such that the canonical projection restricted to  $S$  is a homeomorphism onto  $U$ . We call the local section the map  $\sigma : U \rightarrow G$  such that  $\pi(\sigma(gH)) = gH$  and  $\sigma(1H) = 1$ .

This means that locally around the neutral class, we can continuously choose a unique representative of each class  $gH$ . We then study the structure of  $\pi_1(X)$  when  $X$  is not simply connected:

- Let  $X$  be a manifold and  $G \subset \text{Homeo}(X)$  transitive, let  $x \in X$  and  $G_x$  its stabilizer (closed in  $G$ ), suppose that  $G$  admits a local section with respect to  $G_x$  and that the morphism  $\rho : g \mapsto gx$  is open. We construct a homeomorphism  $\phi : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(G_x)$ . And we will show that the kernel of  $\phi$  is central. In particular, if  $G_x$  is arcwise connected then  $\pi_1(X)$  is abelian.

**Lemma 15.** *If  $G$  is a Lie group, every closed subgroup (i.e., a Lie subgroup) has a local section. The map  $\rho$  is open.*

**Definition 13 (Riemannian metric).** Let  $M$  be a (topological) smooth manifold. A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  consists of a smooth function  $q : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose restrictions  $q_x : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are all nondegenerate quadratic forms.

**Definition 14 (Geodesic).** Let  $M$  be a metric space with distance  $d$  and let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. A curve (continuous map)  $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$  is called a geodesic if there exists a constant  $v \geq 0$  such that for every  $t \in I$  there is a neighborhood  $J \subset I$  of  $t$  with the property

$$d(\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2)) = v |t_1 - t_2| \quad \text{for all } t_1, t_2 \in J.$$

If  $v = 1$  the curve is said to be unit-speed.

**Proposition 1 (compact stabilizers imply completeness).** *Let  $G$  be a lie group acting analytically and transitively on a manifold  $X$ , and such that the stabilizer  $G_x$  of  $x$  is compact for some  $x$  (hence all by transitivity). Then every closed  $(G, X)$ -manifold  $M$  is complete.*

We shall prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 16 (existence of invariant metric).** *Let  $G$  act transitively on an analytic manifold  $X$ . Then  $X$  admits a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric if and only if, for some  $x \in X$ , the image of  $G_x$  in  $GL(T_x X)$  has a compact closure.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  preserves a metric  $G_x$  maps to a subgroup of  $O(T_x X)$ , hence its closure is compact.

To prove the converse, fix  $x$  and assume that the image of  $G_x$  has a compact closure  $H_x = \overline{\rho(G_x)}$  where  $\rho$  is the tangent representation. Let  $Q$  be any positive definite form on  $T_x X$ .

$$Q : T_x X \times T_x X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$H_x$  is compact, hence unimodular, so it admits a bi-invariant Haar measure  $\mu$ , define a new inner product on  $T_x X$  by:

$$\langle v, w \rangle_x = \int_{H_x} Q(h \cdot v, h \cdot w) d\mu(h)$$

Right-invariance of  $\mu$  shows immediately that for every  $k \in H_x$ ,

$$\langle k \cdot v, k \cdot w \rangle_x = \int_{h \in H_x} Q(hk \cdot v, hk \cdot w) d\mu(h) = \langle v, w \rangle_x,$$

so  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$  is  $H_x$ -invariant.

Now, since the action is transitive, for an arbitrary point  $y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  with  $g \cdot x = y$ , and transport the inner product at  $x$  to  $T_x X$  by

$$\langle u, w \rangle_y = \langle d(g)_y^{-1}(u), d(g)_y^{-1}(w) \rangle_x.$$

If  $g' \in G$  is another element with  $g' \cdot x = y$ , then  $g' = gh$  for some  $h \in G_x$ , and the  $G_x$ -invariance of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$  guarantees that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_y$  is well-defined.  $\square$

*Remark.* Using a local cross section we can prove that this  $G$ -invariant metric is analytic.

*Proof of compact stabilizers imply completeness.* transitively imply that the given condition at one point  $x$  is equivalent to the same condition everywhere. So fix  $x \in X$  and let  $T_x X$  be the tangent space to  $X$  at  $x$ . There is an analytic homomorphism of  $G_x$  to  $GL(T_x X)$  whose image is compact.

If  $M$  is any  $(G, X)$ -manifold, we can use charts to pull-back the  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric from  $X$  to  $M$  (invariance guarantees that such metric is well-defined), the resulting metric is a Riemannian metric on  $M$  invariant under any  $(G, X)$ -morphism. In a manifold endowed with such metric, we can find for any point  $y$  a ball  $B_\epsilon(y)$  that is ball-like (homeomorphic image of the round ball) and convex. if  $M$  is closed we can choose  $\epsilon$  uniformly by compactness. Without loss of generality, we may assume that all  $\epsilon$ -balls in  $X$  are contractible and convex since  $G$  is a transitive group of isometries.

Then, for any  $y \in \tilde{M}$ , the ball  $B_\epsilon(y)$  is mapped homeomorphically by  $\text{dev}$ , for if  $\text{dev}(y) = \text{dev}(y')$  for  $y \neq y'$  in the ball, the geodesic connecting  $y$  and  $y'$  maps to a self-intersecting geodesic in  $X$  contradicting the convexity of the  $\epsilon$ -balls in  $X$ .

The map  $\text{dev}$  is an isometry between  $B_\epsilon(y)$  and  $B_\epsilon(\text{dev}(y))$  by definition.

Take  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \text{dev}^{-1}(B_{\epsilon/2}(x))$ . The ball  $B_\epsilon(y)$  maps isometrically, and thus contains a copy of  $\text{dev}^{-1}(B_{\epsilon/2}(x))$ . The inverse image of  $\text{dev}^{-1}(B_{\epsilon/2}(x))$  is then the disjoint union of these copies. This proves that  $\text{dev}$  is indeed a covering map and  $M$  is hence complete.  $\square$

*Example 6.* Let  $\Gamma$  a finite subgroup of  $O(4)$  acting freely on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , an elliptic 3-manifold is the orbit space  $M = \mathbb{S}^3/\Gamma$ , that is a  $(O(4), \mathbb{S}^3)$ -manifold. Such manifold is a closed manifold by definition. The proposition says that the universal cover of  $M$  is  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .

We shall give an equivalency between metric completeness and completeness of  $(G, X)$ -manifolds, which justifies the use of the word:

**Proposition 2 (completeness equivalency).** *Let  $G$  be a group acting transitively and analytically on  $X$  with compact stabilizers  $G_x$ . Fix a  $G$ -invariant metric on  $X$  and let  $M$  be a  $(G, X)$ -manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $M$  is a complete  $(G, X)$ -manifold.
2. For some  $\epsilon > 0$ , every closed  $\epsilon$ -ball in  $M$  is compact.
3. For every  $a > 0$ , every closed  $a$ -ball in  $M$  is compact.
4. There is some family of compact subsets  $S_t$  of  $M$ , for  $t \geq 0$ , such that  $\cup_{t \geq 0} S_t = M$  and  $S_{t+a}$  contains a neighborhood of radius  $a$  about  $S_t$ .
5.  $M$  is a complete metric space.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). if  $p : Y \longrightarrow Z$  is a covering map between two manifolds endowed with a Riemannian metric and  $p$  preserves this metric, we have  $\bar{B}_\epsilon(p(y)) = p(\bar{B}_\epsilon(y))$  for any  $y \in Y$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , because distances are defined in terms of path lengths and paths in  $Z$  can be lifted to paths in  $Y$ . So the compactness of balls in  $Y$  implies the same in  $Z$  and conversely. Fixing a point  $x \in X$  and compact  $\epsilon$ -ball around  $x$  by the local compactness of  $X$ , the transitive action of  $G$  implies the same for all points in  $X$ . Idem for  $\tilde{M}$  and  $M$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3). We show this by induction, suppose that (3) is true for some  $a > \epsilon$ . Then  $\bar{B}_a(x)$  can be covered with finitely many  $\epsilon/2$ -balls, and therefore  $\bar{B}_{a+\epsilon/2}(x)$  can be covered with finitely many  $\epsilon$ -balls hence compact.

(3)  $\implies$  (4). Let  $S_t = \bar{B}_t(x)$  where  $x$  is fixed.

(4)  $\implies$  (5). Any Cauchy sequence must be contained in some  $S_t$  for some  $t$ , hence it converges.

(5)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose  $M$  metrically complete. We will prove that any path  $\alpha_t$  in  $X$  can be lifted to  $\tilde{M}$ , since local homeomorphisms with the path lifting property are covering maps.

The universal cover of a complete metric space is complete  $M$  since the projection of Cauchy sequence converges to some point  $x \in M$ . Since  $x$  has a compact neighborhood which is evenly covered and these are separated in the metric of  $\tilde{M}$ , the Cauchy sequence also converges in  $\tilde{M}$ .

Consider now any path  $\alpha_t$  in  $X$ . If it has a lifting  $\tilde{\alpha}_t$  for  $t$  in  $[0, s]$ , then it has a lifting for  $[0, s + \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  by the local homeomorphicity of dev. If it has a lifting for  $t$  in a half-open interval  $[0, s)$ , the lifting extends by metric completeness. Thus,  $M$  is complete.  $\square$

### 3.3 Riemannian Geometry

*Definition 15.* Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. A Euclidean geometry on  $M$  is a Klein geometry on  $M$  modeled on  $(O_n(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Definition 16 (Riemannian manifold).* Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $g$  a Riemannian metric on  $M$ . The pair  $(M, g)$  is then a Riemannian manifold.

Given two Riemannian manifold  $(M, g_M)$  and  $(N, g_N)$ , we define the product:

$$(M \times N, g_M \oplus g_N)$$

which is a Riemannian manifold.

Let  $f : M \rightarrow L$  a smooth immersion, where  $M$  is a manifold and  $(L, g_L)$  a Riemannian manifold, the pull-back metric

$$(f^* g_L)_p : (x, y) \mapsto (g_L)_{f(p)}(df_p(x), df_p(y))$$

is a Riemannian metric, and  $M$  a Riemannian manifold.

*Remark.* This makes any smooth manifold, that is embedded in some  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by Whitney theorem, a Riemannian manifold.

*Definition 17 (Flat manifolds).* Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold, and assume it to be a geometrically complete. We say that  $M$  is (locally) flat if it is locally isometric to a Euclidean space.

*Definition 18.* Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected Riemannian manifold which is complete as a metric space. We say that  $(M, g)$  is *flat* if and only if the following two conditions hold:

1. The universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$ , equipped with the pull-back metric  $\tilde{g}$ , is isometric to the Euclidean space

$$(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{eucl}}).$$

2. The deck-transformation group  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$  can be identified with a discrete subgroup of the Euclidean affine group

$$E(n) = O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n,$$

acting freely and properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In this case we have the global isometry

$$(M, g) \simeq (\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{eucl}}) / \Gamma,$$