

Operation Analytics and Investigating Metric Spike

Case Study 1: Job Data Analysis

Project Description:

This project is about analysing a job dataset containing records of organizational activities, that includes job events, language, time spent, and organizational units. In this project SQL is used to perform the analysis. The main goal of this project is to uncover the patterns and key operational metrics to support business decisions and optimize processes.

Approach:

Step 1: Imported the dataset from drive to MS-Excel and removed the empty records.

Step 2: Created a new database named operation_metrics_case1 and a table named job_data, as there were less records to analyse. I populated the dataset with more records.

Step 3: used SQL queries to answer all the defined business problems

Tech-Stack used:

- Software and Version: MySQL workbench 8.0.43.
- Why MySQL workbench: Provides a better interface to write, execute, and visualize SQL queries efficiently. Its tabular output and ease of use make query execution and result interpretation much simpler, improving overall productivity during analysis.

Tasks Performed:

a) Jobs Reviewed over Time:

1. Calculate the number of jobs reviewed per hour for each day in November 2020.

Query:

```
SELECT
    ds,
    COUNT(job_id) AS total_jobs,
    COUNT(job_id) / 24 AS job_per_hour
FROM
    job_data
WHERE
    ds BETWEEN '2020-11-01' AND '2020-11-30'
GROUP BY ds
ORDER BY ds;
```

Output:

| | ds | total_jobs | job_per_hour |
|---|------------|------------|--------------|
| ▶ | 2020-11-25 | 1 | 0.0417 |
| | 2020-11-26 | 1 | 0.0417 |
| | 2020-11-27 | 1 | 0.0417 |
| | 2020-11-28 | 2 | 0.0833 |
| | 2020-11-29 | 1 | 0.0417 |
| | 2020-11-30 | 2 | 0.0833 |

b) Throughput Analysis:

1. Calculate the 7-day rolling average of throughput (number of events per second).

Query:

```
with throughput as (
    select ds, count(event)/sum(time_spent) as event_per_second
    from job_data
    group by ds
)
select ds, event_per_second, avg(event_per_second) over ( order by ds Rows between 6 preceding and current row) as `7-day_rolling_average`
from throughput
order by ds;
```

Output:

| | ds | event_per_second | 7-day_rolling_average |
|---|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| ▶ | 2020-11-25 | 0.0222 | 0.02220000 |
| | 2020-11-26 | 0.0179 | 0.02005000 |
| | 2020-11-27 | 0.0096 | 0.01656667 |
| | 2020-11-28 | 0.0606 | 0.02757500 |
| | 2020-11-29 | 0.0500 | 0.03206000 |
| | 2020-11-30 | 0.0500 | 0.03505000 |
| | 2020-12-25 | 0.0200 | 0.03290000 |
| | 2020-12-26 | 0.0164 | 0.03207143 |
| | 2020-12-27 | 0.0092 | 0.03082857 |
| | 2020-12-28 | 0.0465 | 0.03610000 |
| | 2020-12-29 | 0.0400 | 0.03315714 |
| | 2020-12-30 | 0.0400 | 0.03172857 |
| | 2021-01-24 | 0.0182 | 0.02718571 |
| | 2021-01-25 | 0.0152 | 0.02650000 |
| | 2021-01-26 | 0.0088 | 0.02541429 |
| | 2021-01-27 | 0.0377 | 0.02948571 |
| | 2021-01-28 | 0.0333 | 0.02760000 |
| | 2021-01-29 | 0.0333 | 0.02664286 |
| | 2021-02-23 | 0.0167 | 0.02331429 |
| | 2021-02-24 | 0.0141 | 0.02272857 |
| | 2021-02-25 | 0.0084 | 0.02175714 |
| | 2021-02-26 | 0.0317 | 0.02502857 |
| | 2021-02-27 | 0.0286 | 0.02372857 |
| | 2021-02-28 | 0.0286 | 0.02305714 |

c) Language Share Analysis:

1. Calculate the percentage share of each language in the last 30 days.

Query:

```
select language ,count(*)*100.0/sum(count(*)) over() as percent_share_of_lang
from job_data
where ds>=date_sub((select max(ds)from job_data),interval 30 day)
group by language
order by percent_share_of_lang desc;
```

Output:

| | language | percent_share_of_lang |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| ▶ | Arabic | 42.85714 |
| | persian | 28.57143 |
| | Hindi | 21.42857 |
| | English | 7.14286 |

d) Duplicate Rows Detection:

1. Identify duplicate rows in the data.

Query:

```
select ds ,job_id,actor_id,event,language,time_spent,org
from job_data
group by ds ,job_id,actor_id,event,language,time_spent,org
having count(*)>1;
```

Output:

| | ds | job_id | actor_id | event | language | time_spent | org |
|--|----|--------|----------|-------|----------|------------|-----|
| | | | | | | | |

Insights:

a) Jobs Reviewed over Time

- In November 2020, job reviews per hour ranged between 0.0417 and 0.0833.
- Nov 28 and Nov 30 had the highest job review rate of 0.0833 jobs/hours.
- Other days maintained a rate of 0.0417 jobs/hour.

b) Throughput Analysis

- Daily throughput fluctuated between 0.0084 and 0.0606 events/sec and with peaks on Nov 28 ,2020(0.0606) and Dec 28,2020(0.0465).
- 7-day rolling average smoothed these spikes, averaging it around 0.02-0.03 events/sec.

c) Language Share Analysis:

- Arabic language dominated with 42.86% of total jobs in the last 30 days.
- Persian language accounted for 28.57% and Hindi contributed 21.43%.
- English had the lowest share at 7.14%, making it a minor area that could be focused on.

d) Duplicate Rows Detection:

- The query returned no results, indicating that there are no exact duplicate rows in the job_data table.
- However, there are individual duplicate values in some columns (example, multiple rows may share the same job_id and actor_id)

Results:

- Calculated job review rates per hour, identifying peak activity days in November 2020
- While solving the second question, I was not knowing what throughput was, then I developed a clear understanding of throughput and learned how to calculate it effectively. I manually practiced calculating throughput before implementing it in SQL, which strengthened my understanding of both the concept and its practical application.
- We can automate throughput monitoring by building a dashboard to track daily and rolling throughput trends in real-time, making performance issues easier to spot.
- We need to address language imbalance by allocating more resources to underrepresented languages to ensure better localization coverage.

Case Study 1: Investigating Metric Spike

Project Description:

The project focuses on analysing a dataset containing records of user activities that include user details, platform events, and email interactions. In this project SQL is used to perform the analysis. The main objective of this project is to identify patterns in user engagements, and highlight key operational metrics that can support data-driven decision making and improve organizational strategies.

Approach:

Step 1: Imported the dataset into MS-Excel from drive and removed the empty records.

Step 2: Saved the cleaned dataset into the directory Program data → MySQL → Uploads. This method allows importing large datasets directly without relying on the MYSQL import wizard.

Step 3: Created a database named operation_metrics_case_study2 and structured it with three tables named users, events, email_events.

Step 4: used SQL queries to answer all the defined business problems.

Tech-Stack used:

- Software and Version: MySQL workbench 8.0.43.
- Why MySQL workbench: Provides a better interface to write, execute, and visualize SQL queries efficiently. Its tabular output and ease of use make query execution and result interpretation much simpler, improving overall productivity during analysis.

Tasks Performed:


a) Weekly User Engagement:

1. Measure the activeness of users on a weekly basis.

Query:

```
with user_events as (  
  select user_id ,occurred_at  
  from `events`  
  union  
  select user_id ,occurred_at  
  from email_events  
)  
select  
  extract(year from user_events.occurred_at )as year_,  
  extract(week from user_events.occurred_at )as week_,  
  count(distinct user_events.user_id)as active_users  
  from user_events  
  join users on user_events.user_id=users.user_id  
  group by week_,year_  
  order by week_,year_;
```

Output:

| Result Grid | |  Filter Rows: | |
|-------------|-------|--|--------------|
| | year_ | week_ | active_users |
| ▶ | 2014 | 17 | 1370 |
| | 2014 | 18 | 2921 |
| | 2014 | 19 | 3013 |
| | 2014 | 20 | 3085 |
| | 2014 | 21 | 3149 |
| | 2014 | 22 | 3266 |
| | 2014 | 23 | 3354 |
| | 2014 | 24 | 3467 |
| | 2014 | 25 | 3565 |
| | 2014 | 26 | 3657 |
| | 2014 | 27 | 3772 |
| | 2014 | 28 | 3884 |
| | 2014 | 29 | 3990 |
| | 2014 | 30 | 4122 |
| | 2014 | 31 | 4189 |
| | 2014 | 32 | 4315 |
| | 2014 | 33 | 4470 |
| | 2014 | 34 | 4580 |
| | 2014 | 35 | 114 |

b) User Growth Analysis:

1. Analyse the growth of users over time for a product.

Query:

```
select
  count(distinct user_id)total_users,
  year ( created_at)as year_,
  week(created_at,1)week_,
  sum(count(user_id))over(order by year(created_at),week(created_at,1))cumulative_sum_of_users_over_time
from users
group by year_,week_;
```

Output:

| | total_users | year_ | week_ | cumulative_sum_of_users_over_time |
|---|-------------|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| ▶ | 26 | 2013 | 1 | 26 |
| | 29 | 2013 | 2 | 55 |
| | 47 | 2013 | 3 | 102 |
| | 36 | 2013 | 4 | 138 |
| | 30 | 2013 | 5 | 168 |
| | 48 | 2013 | 6 | 216 |
| | 41 | 2013 | 7 | 257 |
| | 39 | 2013 | 8 | 296 |
| | 33 | 2013 | 9 | 329 |
| | 43 | 2013 | 10 | 372 |
| | 33 | 2013 | 11 | 405 |
| | 32 | 2013 | 12 | 437 |
| | 33 | 2013 | 13 | 470 |
| | 40 | 2013 | 14 | 510 |
| | 35 | 2013 | 15 | 545 |
| | 42 | 2013 | 16 | 587 |
| | 48 | 2013 | 17 | 635 |
| | 48 | 2013 | 18 | 683 |
| | 45 | 2013 | 19 | 728 |
| | 55 | 2013 | 20 | 783 |
| | 41 | 2013 | 21 | 824 |
| | 49 | 2013 | 22 | 873 |
| | 51 | 2013 | 23 | 924 |
| | 51 | 2013 | 24 | 975 |
| | 46 | 2013 | 25 | 1021 |
| | 57 | 2013 | 26 | 1078 |
| | 57 | 2013 | 27 | 1135 |
| | 52 | 2013 | 28 | 1187 |
| | 71 | 2013 | 29 | 1258 |
| | 66 | 2013 | 30 | 1324 |
| | 69 | 2013 | 31 | 1393 |
| | 66 | 2013 | 32 | 1459 |
| | 73 | 2013 | 33 | 1532 |
| | 71 | 2013 | 34 | 1603 |
| | 79 | 2013 | 35 | 1682 |
| | 65 | 2013 | 36 | 1747 |
| | 71 | 2013 | 37 | 1818 |
| | 84 | 2013 | 38 | 1902 |

c) Weekly Retention Analysis:

1. Analyse the retention of users on a weekly basis after signing up for a product.

Query:

```
with user_signup as(
select users.user_id,
yearweek(users.created_at,1) signup_week
from users
),
user_activity as(
select `events`.user_id,
yearweek(`events`.occurred_at) activity_week
from `events`
)
select
user_signup.signup_week,
user_activity.activity_week,
count(distinct user_activity.user_id)as retained_user
from user_signup
join user_activity
on user_signup.user_id=user_activity.user_id
where user_activity.activity_week>=user_signup.signup_week
group by user_signup.signup_week,user_activity.activity_week
order by retained_user ;
```

Output:

| | signup_week | activity_week | retained_user |
|--|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 201320 | 201421 | 6 |
| | 201320 | 201422 | 6 |
| | 201320 | 201424 | 6 |
| | 201320 | 201427 | 6 |
| | 201320 | 201430 | 6 |
| | 201320 | 201431 | 6 |
| | 201320 | 201432 | 6 |
| | 201323 | 201431 | 6 |
| | 201324 | 201419 | 6 |
| | 201324 | 201420 | 6 |
| | 201324 | 201422 | 6 |
| | 201324 | 201434 | 6 |
| | 201325 | 201424 | 6 |
| | 201325 | 201425 | 6 |
| | 201326 | 201425 | 6 |
| | 201326 | 201426 | 6 |
| | 201327 | 201421 | 6 |
| | 201327 | 201423 | 6 |
| | 201327 | 201427 | 6 |
| | 201327 | 201428 | 6 |
| | 201328 | 201420 | 6 |
| | 201328 | 201427 | 6 |
| | 201328 | 201429 | 6 |
| | 201329 | 201417 | 6 |
| | 201329 | 201423 | 6 |
| | 201329 | 201430 | 6 |
| | 201331 | 201421 | 6 |
| | 201331 | 201429 | 6 |
| | 201332 | 201422 | 6 |
| | 201332 | 201431 | 6 |
| | 201333 | 201423 | 6 |
| | 201333 | 201428 | 6 |
| | 201334 | 201417 | 6 |
| | 201335 | 201432 | 6 |
| | 201336 | 201425 | 6 |
| | 201336 | 201429 | 6 |
| | 201336 | 201431 | 6 |

- Note: The full SQL output of the above query is large and not included in this document. A sample of the first few rows is shown for reference. A total of 1410 rows have been returned.

d) Weekly Engagement Per Device:

1. Measure the activeness of users on a weekly basis per device.

Query:

```
with user_activity as (
  select user_id, occurred_at, device
  from `events`
  union
  select user_id, occurred_at, 'email' as device
  from email_events
)
select device,
  year(occurred_at) as year_,
  week(occurred_at, 1) as week_,
  count( distinct user_id) as active_users
from user_activity
group by week_, year_, device
order by active_users desc;
```

Output:

| | device | year_ | week_ | active_users |
|---|-------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| ► | email | 2014 | 35 | 4309 |
| | email | 2014 | 34 | 4209 |
| | email | 2014 | 33 | 4061 |
| | email | 2014 | 32 | 3953 |
| | email | 2014 | 31 | 3883 |
| | email | 2014 | 30 | 3748 |
| | email | 2014 | 29 | 3675 |
| | email | 2014 | 28 | 3557 |
| | email | 2014 | 27 | 3461 |
| | email | 2014 | 26 | 3340 |
| | email | 2014 | 25 | 3272 |
| | email | 2014 | 24 | 3143 |
| | email | 2014 | 23 | 3047 |
| | email | 2014 | 22 | 2945 |
| | email | 2014 | 21 | 2876 |
| | email | 2014 | 20 | 2801 |
| | email | 2014 | 19 | 2724 |
| | email | 2014 | 18 | 1006 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 31 | 317 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 32 | 317 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 34 | 308 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 33 | 307 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 28 | 301 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 29 | 295 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 30 | 291 |
| | macbook pro | 2014 | 35 | 290 |

- Note: The full SQL output of the above query is large and not included in this document. A sample of the first few rows is shown for reference. A total of 486 rows have been returned.

e) Email Engagement Analysis:

1. Analyse how users are engaging with the email service.

Query:

```
SELECT
    YEAR(occurred_at) AS year_,
    WEEK(occurred_at) AS week_,
    SUM(CASE
        WHEN action = 'sent_weekly_digest' THEN 1
        ELSE 0
    END) total_email_sent,
    SUM(CASE
        WHEN action = 'email_open' THEN 1
        ELSE 0
    END) total_email_opened,
    SUM(CASE
        WHEN action = 'email_clickthrough' THEN 1
        ELSE 0
    END) total_email_clickthroughs,
    SUM(CASE
        WHEN action = 'email_open' THEN 1
        ELSE 0
    END) / COUNT(*) * 100 email_open_rate,
    SUM(CASE
        WHEN action = 'email_clickthrough' THEN 1
        ELSE 0
    END) / COUNT(*) * 100 email_clickthrough_rate
FROM
    email_events
GROUP BY year_ , week_
ORDER BY year_ , week_;
```

Output:

| | year_ | week_ | total_email_sent | total_email_opened | total_email_clickthroughs | email_open_rate | email_clickthrough_rate |
|---|-------|-------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| ► | 2014 | 17 | 908 | 310 | 166 | 21.2766 | 11.3933 |
| | 2014 | 18 | 2602 | 912 | 430 | 22.2385 | 10.4852 |
| | 2014 | 19 | 2665 | 972 | 477 | 22.6732 | 11.1267 |
| | 2014 | 20 | 2733 | 1004 | 507 | 22.6381 | 11.4318 |
| | 2014 | 21 | 2822 | 1014 | 443 | 22.8224 | 9.9707 |
| | 2014 | 22 | 2911 | 987 | 488 | 21.5596 | 10.6597 |
| | 2014 | 23 | 3003 | 1075 | 538 | 22.3353 | 11.1781 |
| | 2014 | 24 | 3105 | 1155 | 554 | 22.9167 | 10.9921 |
| | 2014 | 25 | 3207 | 1096 | 530 | 21.7936 | 10.5389 |
| | 2014 | 26 | 3302 | 1165 | 556 | 22.2243 | 10.6066 |
| | 2014 | 27 | 3399 | 1228 | 621 | 22.4867 | 11.3715 |
| | 2014 | 28 | 3499 | 1250 | 599 | 22.4780 | 10.7714 |
| | 2014 | 29 | 3592 | 1219 | 590 | 21.7136 | 10.5094 |
| | 2014 | 30 | 3706 | 1383 | 630 | 23.2437 | 10.5882 |
| | 2014 | 31 | 3793 | 1351 | 445 | 23.2490 | 7.6579 |
| | 2014 | 32 | 3897 | 1337 | 418 | 22.8469 | 7.1429 |
| | 2014 | 33 | 4012 | 1432 | 490 | 23.1042 | 7.9058 |
| | 2014 | 34 | 4111 | 1528 | 490 | 23.9124 | 7.6682 |
| | 2014 | 35 | 0 | 41 | 38 | 32.2835 | 29.9213 |

Insights:

a) Weekly User Engagement:

- User engagement started at 1,370 active users (week 17, 2014).
- It showed a steady growth trend over the weeks, crossing 3,000 + users by week 19 and reaching over 4500 users by week 34.
- The highest engagement was observed in week 34 with 4,580 active users and there was a drop in week 35 (114 users), this may be incomplete data or an anomaly.

b) User Growth Analysis:

- The platform started with 26 users in week 1 (2013).
- By week 10 (2013), the cumulative user base had grown to 372 users.
- The growth trend continued strongly, with cumulative users surpassing 1,000 by week 25 and reaching 1,902 by week 38.
- This shows consistent week-on-week growth, with no major decline in sign-ups.

c) Weekly Retention Analysis:

- Retention was tracked by comparing signup week and activity week.

- Retention counts increased significantly from week 25(2014), primarily due to larger signup cohorts.
- While early cohorts had only 6-20 retained users.
- This indicated that as the user base grew, the absolute number of retained users also grew.

d) Weekly Engagement Per Device:

- Email is the leading engagement channel with consistent week-on-week growth from 1,006(week 18) to 4,309 users (week 35).
- Engagement via email grew more than 4x in just 17 weeks.
- Other devices (example., MacBook Pro, Lenovo, etc) show much lower engagement.
- This suggest that email should remain as the primary focus for driving engagement.

e) Email Engagement Analysis:

- Email volume grew from 908(week 17) to 411(week 34)
- Open rates stayed around 21-23% (peak :23.9% in week 34)
- Clickthrough rate dropped from 11.4% (week 17) to 7.7% (week 34).
- Week 35 shows very high open (32.3%) and clickthrough (29.9%) rates but with 0 email sent → possible anomaly.

Result:

- I was able analyse the weekly users, weekly growth, cumulative users.
- While working on retention analysis, I learned the importance of cohort-based retention percentages.
- While Email Engagement Analysis I learned about clickthrough rates, open rates and how to calculate it.
- we need investigate the week 35 anomaly(sudden drop to 114 users)to check if its due to data gap or real behaviour.