

Preregistration

# The effects of wolves on moose presence and abundance in south-central Sweden.

Ashton Sies<sup>1</sup>, Emma Nikkel<sup>2</sup>, Jenna Scherger, Sabrina St-Pierre

<sup>1</sup> University of Regina

<sup>2</sup> University of British Columbia

*Oct 6, 2021*

## Study Information

---

<b>Title</b>	The effects of wolves on moose presence and abundance in south-central Sweden.
--------------	--

---

<b>Description</b>	<p>Predator-prey interactions often have strong effects on lower trophic levels in a given environment. For example, upon the re-establishment of wolves in a national park in North America, aspen trees saw markedly increased recruitment (Ripple et al., 2014). In general, apex predator reintroduction is often considered to benefit ecosystems by limiting the consumption of lower trophic levels by grazers, and by providing meals for scavengers (Ripple &amp; Beschta, 2004).</p>
--------------------	--

To date, most research on the effects of predator reintroduction has been conducted in natural areas minimally affected by human activities (Kuijper et al., 2016). However, due to climate-driven relocation and controlled reintroduction programs,

modern and future predator populations may become established in areas of strong anthropogenic influence. Consequently, when hoping to predict future effects of predator reintroduction events, it is important to study areas with nearby human activity. This will help discern how human activities may affect predator-prey interactions and their cascading effects (Kuijper et al., 2016).

Recent studies in Europe have found that wolf reintroduction does not impart biodiversity benefits to lower trophic levels, contrasting observations made in American National Parks. For example, Gicquel, Sand, Månsson, Wallgren, & Wikenros (2020) reported that wolf reintroduction slightly increased moose browsing damage to Scots Pine trees in Sweden, as did Ausilio, Sand, Månsson, Mathisen, & Wikenros (2021). By surveying moose pellets, Ausilio, Sand, Månsson, Mathisen, & Wikenros (2021) also found that wolf presence and wolf territory establishment had slightly positive effects on moose presence and abundance. Other factors, including distance from roads (an indirect measure of human activities), had much stronger effects on moose populations than wolves (Ausilio, Sand, Månsson, Mathisen, & Wikenros, 2021).

Future populations of predators will continue to establish themselves in areas of anthropogenic influence. It is important to critically investigate the effects that they may, or may not, have on prey populations and lower trophic levels in these areas. To increase certainty in their paradigm-questioning results, we will replicate Ausilio, Sand, Månsson, Mathisen, & Wikenros (2021)'s research, conducting parallel analyses on the original study area over subsequent years.

---

## Hypotheses

We hypothesize that:

- 1) Wolf presence and wolf territory establishment will moderately increase the probability of moose presence, and overall moose abundance.
- 2) 'Distance from roads' will effect moose presence and abundance more strongly than wolf presence or wolf territory establishment.

## Design Plan

---

**Study type and design** This study is a replication of original research by Ausilio et al., described in *Ecological Effects of Wolves on Anthropogenic Landscapes: The Potential for Trophic Cascades is Context-Dependent* (Ausilio, Sand, Månsson, Mathisen, & Wikenros, 2021).

Our hypotheses will be tested using a form of regression analysis. Data on 6 predictor variables and one response variable will be collected. Through modelling, we will predict the influence that the predictor variables have on both a) moose presence, and b) moose abundance. These two outcomes will be determined using the response variable values.

---

**Data collection** The study will be conducted using data retrieved from various government and private sector institutions (Table 1) between 2003 and 2016, as is consistent with the original study by Ausilio et al. The study area will be the northern range of south-central Sweden's breeding range of wolves, distinct from the region assessed in the original study. The predominant trees in this landscape are Scots pine, Norway spruce, and birch. The average moose winter density in the study range is approximately 1.3 per km<sup>2</sup> (Zimmermann, Nelson, Wabakken, Sand, & Liberg, 2014). Variables to be collected include: moose pellet counts, tree cover, roads, and wolf presence and time since wolf territory establishment. The sample size will be determined by the data available within the study region. (number of surveyed plots??)

---

**Variables** Variable data will be collected within the study area of south-central Sweden. Data sources will be consistent with those used in the original study in order to maintain as close a replication study as possible. Seven variables will be use in statistical analysis of the effect of wolves on the presence and abundance of moose.

- 1) *Moose pellet counts*: Moose pellet counts will be used to gather presence and absence data on moose. Yearly surveys are conducted between the months of May and September throughout south-central Sweden (Fridman et al., 2014). The data source for this variable is the Swedish National Forest Inventory.
- 2) *Forest age stages*: There are four forest age stages considered when moose pellet count surveys are conducted. These stages classified as clear-cut, young, thinned, and mature. The data source for this variable is the Swedish National

Forest Inventory.

- 3) *Pine proportion*: Sample plots, the same as those used for moose pellet count surveys, will be surveyed to assess tree cover. The cover (m<sup>2</sup>) of lodgepole pine and Scots pine, as a proportion of all tree cover, will be generated as an index of food availability. The data source for this variable is the Swedish National Forest Inventory.
- 4) *Distance to the nearest forest road (km)*: Distance (km) between each plot and the nearest forest road, as classified by the database, will be calculated as a proxy representing human influence on the landscape. The data source for this variable is the Swedish national road database from the Swedish Transport Administration.
- 5) *Distance to the nearest main road (km)*: Distance (km) between each plot and the nearest main road, as classified by the database, will be calculated as a proxy representing human influence on the landscape. The data source for this variable is the Swedish national road database from the Swedish Transport Administration.
- 6) *Wolf presence*: Presence or absence of a wolf territory, as determined by monitoring obtained by snow tracking, DNA-samples, and GPS locations of collared wolves. A buffered radius will be used based on the average wolf territory size in Scandinavia (Liberg et al., 2011). The data source for this variable is the National wolf-monitoring system from County Administrative Boards.
- 7) *Time since wolf territory establishment*: A continuous range from 1 year to 27 years since establishment, as noted by annually conducted surveys. The data source for this variable is the National wolf-monitoring system from County Administrative Boards.

## Analysis Plan

---

Statistical models - Enter your response here.  
 Sabrina/Jenna

---

**Transformations -** Enter your response here.

**Sabrina/Jenna**

The original authors describe a few data transformations in their methods, like standardizing continuous predictors. We could propose we do the same, and offer the same reasoning (eliminating collinearity and improving interpretability). Could propose that we will test VIF / tolerance values, as the original authors did.

---

**Exploratory analyses (optional)** Enter your response here.

- Sabrina/Jenna

**Other**

---

**Other (Optional)** Enter your response here.

## References

---

- Ausilio, G., Sand, H., Månsson, J., Mathisen, K. M., & Wikenros, C. (2021). Ecological effects of wolves in anthropogenic landscapes: The potential for trophic cascades is context-dependent. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, 481. doi:[10.3389/fevo.2020.577963](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2020.577963)
- Fridman, J., Holm, S., Nilsson, M., Nilsson, P., Ringvall, A., & Ståhl, G. (2014). Adapting National Forest Inventories to changing requirements the case of the Swedish National Forest Inventory at the turn of the 20th century. *Silva Fennica*, 48(3). doi:[10.14214/sf.1095](https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1095)
- Gicquel, M., Sand, H., Månsson, J., Wallgren, M., & Wikenros, C. (2020). Does recolonization of wolves affect moose browsing damage on young Scots pine? *Forest Ecology and Management*, 473, 118298. doi:[10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118298](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118298)
- Kuijper, D. P. J., Sahlén, E., Elmhagen, B., Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Sand, H., Lone, K., & Cromsigt, J. P. G. M. (2016). Paws without claws? Ecological effects of large carnivores in anthropogenic landscapes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283(1841), 20161625. doi:[10.1098/rspb.2016.1625](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1625)
- Liberg, O., Aronson, Å., Sand, H., Wabakken, P., Maartmann, E., Svensson, L., & Åkesson, M. (2011). Monitoring of wolves in Scandinavia. *Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy*, 23(1). doi:[10.4404/hystrix-23.1-4670](https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-23.1-4670)

- Ripple, W. J., & Beschta, R. L. (2004). Wolves and the ecology of fear: Can predation risk structure ecosystems? *BioScience*, 54(8), 755–766. doi:[10.1641/0006-3568\(2004\)054\[0755:WATEOF\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0755:WATEOF]2.0.CO;2)
- Ripple, W. J., Estes, J. A., Beschta, R. L., Wilmers, C. C., Ritchie, E. G., Hebblewhite, M., ... Wirsing, A. J. (2014). Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. *Science*, 343(6167), 1241484. doi:[10.1126/science.1241484](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241484)
- Zimmermann, B., Nelson, L., Wabakken, P., Sand, H., & Liberg, O. (2014). Behavioral responses of wolves to roads: Scale-dependent ambivalence. *Behavioral Ecology*, 25(6), 1353–1364. doi:[10.1093/beheco/ar134](https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ar134)