

Week 10 - Data Analyst: Cross-selling recommendation

Team Member Details: Individual project (no team)

Name: Asha K. C.

Email: aasha.kc@outlook.com

Country: India

Company: DataGlacier

Specialization: Data Analyst

Problem Description:

The XYZ bank is having difficulty cross-selling its products to existing customers. Customers are not buying additional products sold by their bank. Hence, as data analysts, we must provide the information required to enhance their cross-selling methods.

Github Repo link:

https://github.com/Asha-KC-07/Data-Glacier-Internship-2025---LISUM43/blob/main/Week%2010%20-%20Data%20Analyst_Cross-selling%20recomendation/EDA_v1.ipynb

EDA performed on the data:

1. Customer Demographics:
 - a. Histogram of Age Distribution - To detect skewness, outliers, and age groups
 - b. Bar Chart of Gender Counts - To see the male/female split
 - c. Bar Chart of Top Provinces (**nomprov**) - To locate the highest customer concentrations
 - d. Age by Gender Boxplot - To detect age differences between genders
 - e. Heatmap of Age vs. Province (Top N) - To understand regional age variation.
2. Product Adoption Rates - Identify the most and least commonly used products by customers to understand baseline engagement and potential cross-sell opportunities. Columns used for this are **ind_ahor_fin_ult1** → **ind_recibo_ult1**. All values are binary (0 = product not owned, 1 = owned).
3. Product Ownership by Segment - Identify how product ownership varies across **demographics and customer segments**, revealing which groups prefer which products. Variables used are **sexo**, **age**, **segmento**, all product columns (last 24 columns)
4. Customer Lifetime Value Proxies - Calculate proxy scores using a weighted combination of:
 - a. Tenure (**antiguedad**)

- b. Income (**renta**)
 - c. Product count (number of products held)
- 5. Churn Indicators - Use **ult_fec_cli_1t** to identify recent exits and compare patterns between churned and active customers in terms of:
 - a. Age
 - b. Segment
 - c. Product ownership
 - d. Tenure
- 6. Channel Effectiveness - Analyze acquisition channel effectiveness using:
 - a. Customer count per channel
 - b. CLV per channel
 - c. Average product count by channel
- 7. Income Analysis - Explore income (**renta**) distribution and its relationship with:
 - a. Age
 - b. Segment
 - c. Product ownership
- 8. Product Co-Ownership Patterns - Detect which products are often held together using correlation analysis.
- 9. Anomaly Detection - Identify outliers in:
 - Age (extreme values)
 - Income (extremely high/low)
 - Product mix (zero or full ownership)

Final Insights:

Demographics Analysis Insights

♦ 1. Age Distribution Histogram

- Most customers fall between **25 to 60 years**.
 - There's a visible right skew due to older age values.
 - Minor counts exist for extremely old ages (over 90).
-

♦ 2. Gender Distribution

- **Slightly more females** than males.
 - Imbalance isn't significant but could affect segment-specific targeting.
-

♦ 3. Top 10 Provinces by Customer Count

- Certain provinces (like **Madrid, Barcelona**, etc.) dominate the customer base.
 - Indicates geographic concentration — great for region-specific marketing strategies.
-

♦ 4. Age by Gender Boxplot

- Female customers tend to be **slightly older** on average.

- The age spread is similar for both genders but has a few more high-age outliers.
-

♦ 5. Heatmap of Age vs. Province

- Each province shows a **strong concentration around ages 40-55**.
- Useful to identify which regions have a younger vs older customer base.

Product Adoption Rate Insights

♦ 1. Bar Chart: Product Adoption Rates

- Most commonly held products:
 - `ind_recibo_ult1` (12.1%): Utility Bill Payments
 - `ind_nom_pens_ult1` (5.5%): Pension Deposits
 - `ind_nomina_ult1` (5.1%): Salary Deposits
 - `ind_tjcr_fin_ult1` (3.8%): Credit Cards
 - Least commonly held products:
 - `ind_plan_fin_ult1`, `ind_pres_fin_ult1`, `ind_viv_fin_ult1`: All below 1%
-

♦ 2. Pie Chart: Total Product Holdings

- A few product types dominate the customer portfolio space.
 - Products like **salary/pension deposits** and **recurring payments** represent the bulk of ownership.
-

Key Takeaways:

- The dataset reflects a **basic banking usage pattern**, with limited product penetration.
- Many products have <1% ownership, indicating unexplored cross-selling opportunities.

Product Ownership by Segment Insights

♦ 1. Gender-Based Ownership

- **Females** slightly lead in products like:
 - `ind_nomina_ult1` (salary deposits)
 - `ind_recibo_ult1` (recurring bill payments)
- **Males** show marginally higher ownership in:
 - Investment-related products (`ind_valo_fin_ult1`, `ind_fond_fin_ult1`)

📌 Gender-based marketing strategies could be tuned around salary vs. investment product preferences.

♦ 2. Age Group Trends

- **Young adults (<25)** rarely hold any product.
- **Ages 35-54** dominate across almost every product — especially:
 - Salary deposits (`ind_nomina_ult1`)
 - Credit cards (`ind_tjcr_fin_ult1`)
- **Older groups (65+)** tend to show less ownership of credit or investment products.

📌 Age-based targeting: Focus younger on entry products, middle-aged on cross-sell, older on retention and service.

♦ 3. Segment-Based Trends

- `segmento` indicates customer types like "01 - VIP", "02 - Individuals", "03 - College students":
 - **VIPs** show highest ownership in multiple financial products, especially credit and investment tools.
 - **College students** exhibit minimal product ownership — mostly basic accounts.

📌 A clear case for segmented offerings: upscale for VIPs, simplified for students.

Customer Lifetime Value Proxy Insights

♦ 1. Distribution of CLV Proxy

- Most customers fall within **mid** CLV proxy scores.
 - Right-skewed: a smaller segment shows high-value potential (top 10–15%).
-

♦ 2. Boxplot by Segment

- **VIPs (01 - VIP)** have the highest median and spread of CLV.
 - **College students (03 - UNIVERSITARIO)** score lowest in CLV — expected due to low tenure, product count, and income.
 - **Mass Market Individuals (02 - PARTICULARES)** span the full spectrum, indicating a diverse customer base.
-

♦ 3. Correlation Heatmap

- **Product count** (`norm_products`) is most strongly correlated with overall CLV score.
- **Income and tenure** also contribute but less dominantly.

📌 Suggests focusing product penetration for increasing lifetime value, especially among mid-tier customers.

Churn Indicator Insights

◆ 1. Churned vs Retained Customers

- A **very small fraction** of the customers are marked as "churned".
- Indicates the dataset mostly includes **active customers**.

◆ 2. Age, Tenure, and CLV Comparisons

- **Churned customers** tend to:
 - Be **older on average**
 - Have **shorter tenure** (surprising — might reflect new users abandoning)
 - Have **lower CLV scores** overall
- Retained users dominate in higher tenure and product count distributions.

◆ 3. Product Ownership Drop-Off

- Churned users have **lower ownership rates across nearly all products**.
- Largest relative drop in products like:
 - Credit Cards (`ind_tjcr_fin_ult1`)
 - Salary/Pension Accounts

📌 Insight: Churn is tightly linked with low engagement. Preemptive outreach to low-product-count users could reduce attrition.

Channel Effectiveness Insights

◆ 1. Top Acquisition Channels by Customer Count

- A few channels dominate customer acquisition:
 - **KHE**, **KAT**, and **KFC** are among the most used.
- These likely represent physical or digital acquisition pathways.

◆ 2. Average CLV Proxy by Channel

- High customer volume **does not always mean high value**:
 - Channels like **KAT** and **KFA** show **higher CLV**, despite smaller customer bases.
 - Mass channels may acquire many users, but **niche or referral-based ones attract higher value clients**.
-

♦ 3. Average Product Count by Channel

- Channels with higher CLV also typically yield **more product engagement**.
- **KFA** and **KAT** again appear as effective **quality acquisition routes**.

 Strategic Focus:

- Maintain mass channels (e.g., **KHE**) for volume.
- Invest in high-value channels (**KFA**, **KAT**) for profitability.

Income Analysis Insights

♦ 1. Income Distribution (Log Scale)

- Highly **right-skewed**: majority of incomes lie below ~60,000.
 - A few customers report **extremely high income** (>100,000), suggesting income outliers.
-

♦ 2. Income by Customer Segment

- **VIPs (01 - VIP)** predictably show the **highest income range**.
 - **College students (03 - UNIVERSITARIO)** have the lowest and most compact income distribution.
 - Segments are well-separated, validating the segmentation strategy by income.
-

♦ 3. Income vs. Product Count

- Positive trend: **higher product count tends to correlate with higher income**.
- However, some **high-income customers own few products**, indicating potential for upselling.

 Strategy:

- Target under-engaged high-income users.
- Customize offerings to segment-specific income brackets.

Product Co-Ownership Insights

◆ Key Product Correlations

- **High Positive Correlation Pairs:**
 - `ind_nomina_ult1` (salary) ↔ `ind_recibo_ult1` (bill payments): 0.66
➤ Customers receiving salaries tend to set up recurring payments.
 - `ind_nom_pens_ult1` (pension) ↔ `ind_recibo_ult1`: 0.45
➤ Similar trend with pension-based income.
- **Investment-related Products** like `ind_fond_fin_ult1`, `ind_valo_fin_ult1`, `ind_deco_fin_ult1` are often held together:
 - Moderate correlations (~0.3–0.5), indicating bundled behaviors.
- **Minimal or Near-Zero Correlations:**
 - Savings accounts (`ind_ahor_fin_ult1`) and insurance (`ind_plan_fin_ult1`) don't strongly align with others.

Use-case:

- Suggesting new products based on current holdings becomes data-driven (e.g., customers with payroll should be targeted for bill setup or investment services).

Anomaly Detection Insights

◆ 1. Age Outliers

- Some customers are recorded as **under 18** and **over 100**.
 - Likely data entry or formatting errors — recommend flagging or excluding these from sensitive analysis.
-

◆ 2. Income Outliers

- Very high-income values (>99th percentile) sharply diverge from the median.
 - Income is **extremely skewed**, requiring **log scaling** for meaningful analysis.
 - These cases might be legitimate high-value clients or input anomalies.
-

◆ 3. Product Count Extremes

- Many customers have **zero products**, indicating passive or new accounts.
- A very small number of customers hold **all products**, possibly internal test users or high-value clients.

Recommendation:

- Clean or filter age/income outliers for modeling or reporting.
- Investigate zero-product holders for onboarding improvements.
- Review full-product holders for potential upsell benchmarks or audits.