

Saira vs State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Revenue ... on 1 May, 2025

Author: Saurabh Lavania

Bench: Saurabh Lavania

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, LUCKNOW BENCH

?Neutral Citation No. - 2025:AHC-LK0:24970

Court No. - 7

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 4167 of 2025

Petitioner :- Saira

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Revenue Deptt., Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Bhup Chandra Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saurabh Lavania,J.

Sri A.K.Pandey, learned Advocate, has put in appearance for the private opposite party nos. 6, 8 and 10 and has filed his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

The present application has been filed for the following main relief:-

"(i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus thereby directing the concerned opposite party no.4 (Additional Collector (Finance and Revenue), Sultanpur) to investigate the validity of the old Gata number 714 and 723 and Khatauni Account 92 and 155 of the original year in compliance of the order dated 11.03.2025 passed in Writ-C No.2304/2025 as well as direct the present

Tehsildar-Lamhua to appear with the original records and summon the Consolidation Officer Lambhua District Sultanpur, who submitted the report in the case, to the concerned court for statement and cross-examination, in the interest of justice.

(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus thereby directing the concerned opposite party no.2/Board of Revenue, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow to constitute an enquiry committee for conducting departmental inquiry against the opposite party no.4 (Additional Collector (Finance and Revenue), Sultanpur) for willful contempt of the order dated 11.03.2025 passed in Writ-C No.2304/2025 by this Hon'ble Court as he has not enquired the validity of the entries in relation to the case no.D202504680000813 till date and also he has not performed his duty in the interest of the State Government and village assembly, in the interest of justice."

At the very outset, the learned Counsel for the petitioner states that he does not want to press the present petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

To the aforesaid prayer, learned Counsel for the side opposite has no objection.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Order Date :- 1.5.2025 Jyoti/-