

Satyanarayan Rajbhar And 3 Others vs State Of U.P. And Another on 3 March, 2025

Author: Sanjay Kumar Pachori

Bench: Sanjay Kumar Pachori

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

?Neutral Citation No. - 2025:AHC:30421

Court No. - 75

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 44542 of 2024

Applicant :- Satyanarayan Rajbhar And 3 Others

Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Ashok Kumar Maurya

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Akhand Pratap Singh,G.A.,Shobhit Yadav

Hon'ble Sanjay Kumar Pachori,J.

Sri Ashok Kumar Maurya, learned counsel for the applicants and Sri Karunakar, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material on record.

The present application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed to quash the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 2985 of 2023, (State Vs. Satyanarayan Rajbhar) arising out of Case Crime No. 67 of 2022, under Sections 325, 323, 308, 504, 506 of IPC, Police Station- Bheempura, District- Ballia, as well as cognizance/ summoning order 28.03.2022, pending in the Court of A.C.J.M. - I, Ballia.

Learned counsel for the applicants submits that applicants were not arrested during the course of investigation and the charge-sheet have been submitted against them. It is further submitted that the offence is punishable up to 7 years imprisonment.

Upon considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the applicants is, hereby, refused.

After some arguments, learned counsel for the applicants wants to withdraw the application with liberty to file a regular bail application before the court of competent jurisdiction.

In case bail application is filed by the learned counsel for the applicants, the same shall be decided in the light of the observations made in the judgments rendered by the Supreme Court in *Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Another*, (2022) 10 SCC 51, wherein the Supreme Court considering the category(A) as mentioned in the paragraph no. 2, bail applications of such accused against which charge-sheet has been submitted on appearance may be decided without the accused being taken in physical custody or by granting interim bail till the bail application is decided. It has been observed that at the cost of repetition, we wish to state that, in category A, one would except a better exercise of discretion on the part of the court in favour of the accused.

The application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

Order Date :- 3.3.2025 Akram