

Ram Nayan vs Commissioner, Ayodhya Division, ... on 1 April, 2025

Author: Saurabh Lavania

Bench: Saurabh Lavania

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, LUCKNOW BENCH

?Neutral Citation No. - 2025:AHC-LK0:18036

Court No. - 7

Case :- MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 1725 of 2025

Petitioner :- Ram Nayan

Respondent :- Commissioner, Ayodhya Division, Ayodhya And Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar,Sandeep Kumar Ojha,Touqeer Ahmad

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saurabh Lavania,J.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is permitted to implead the Gaon Sabha, Pratappur Chamurkha, Ram Kewal S/o Salik Ram, Smt. Sharda W/o Rajendra Yadav and Hirday Ram as opposite party Nos. 3, 4, 5 and 6 in the array of the parties.

Let the necessary impleadment be made during course of the day.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State/opposite party No.1 and perused the record.

In view of order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the newly impleaded private opposite parties is hereby dispensed with.

The instant petition has been preferred seeking following main relief:-

"ISSUE an order or direction to Commissioner, Ayodhya Division, Ayodhya bearing Appeal Case No.2983/2023 U/s 24 (4) U.P. R. Code Styled as Ram ChandarVs.... Ram Nayan and others filed by the opposite party no. 2 against the petitioner and others within early period prescribed by this Hon'ble Court."

Taking note of Rule 183 (4) of the U.P. Revenue Code Rules, 2016 made under U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 and para 458(2) of U.P. Revenue Court Manual, as per which, revision or appeal should preferably be decided within six months, however, in the instant case, the revision is pending since December, 2023 and on account of pendency of the same, the petitioner, as stated, is suffering great hardship and mental agony, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the opposite party No.1/Commissioner, Ayodhya Mandal, Ayodhya to consider and decide the Appeal No.2983/2023, U/s 24 (4) of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 (Styled as Ram ChandarVs.... Ram Nayan and others), expeditiously, after affording full opportunity of hearing to the parties to the litigation and without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties, preferably within a period of six months from the next date fixed in the case, if there is no other legal impediment in this regard.

It is made clear that the Court has not examined the case of either party on merits and the Authority concerned shall be free to decide the matter strictly in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, the petition is disposed of.

Order Date :- 1.4.2025 ML/-