# Transforming Waste into Value: A Strategic Analysis of Walmart's Reverse Logistics Opportunities

RE.AI
(A Walmart Sparkathon Team)

July 3, 2025

**Abstract**

Walmart's vast retail footprint creates an enormous volume of returns and unsold merchandise, but our analysis finds its existing reverse-logistics systems (restocking, recycling, refurbishing) are inefficient and costly. Current processes rely heavily on manual sorting and low-technology approaches. For example, industry data show that returns processing can consume ∼27% of an item's sale price [1], and about 8.4 billion pounds of returned goods went to U.S. landfills in 2023 [2]. We estimate Walmart likely incurs tens of billions in hidden costs (labor, transport, waste-disposal fees) and loses value on a large fraction of returned inventory (industry studies report ∼44% of returned clothing is never resold [6]). We propose a suite of "smarter" systems: AI-driven return triage at the point of drop-off, high-tech centralized return centers, and a vastly expanded refurbishment program (building on Walmart's Restored and Resold initiatives). These upgrades – including automation of quality grading and strategic partnerships with recycling specialists – could reduce labor and disposal costs by roughly 15–30% and unlock substantial resale revenue. Conservatively, annual savings could range in the low billions. Crucially, these changes would also boost Walmart's brand by accelerating its sustainability goals and meeting growing consumer demand for circular retail [2].

## 1 Introduction

Walmart is the world's largest retailer (Fortune Global 500 #1) with on the order of $600–650 billion in annual sales and over 4,600 U.S. stores [5]. By providing liberal return policies and enormous inventory selection, Walmart handles a massive returns volume. Retail returns in the U.S. have risen sharply – from ∼8.8% of sales in 2012 to ∼14.5% by 2023 [4] – meaning hundreds of billions of dollars of merchandise are returned each year. Each return represents costs for Walmart in customer service, reverse logistics, restocking, and often disposal. In practice, many retailers (and likely Walmart) rely on outdated "naive" processes: shipped returns go to regional centers where workers sort them into broad categories (restockable, refurbishable, donated or trashed) with little real-time decision support. This report argues that Walmart's current systems waste substantial value and create environmental harm, and

that modernizing these systems with analytics, automation, and circular partnerships could create huge cost savings and new revenue.

We first detail how Walmart handles returned and unsold goods under its existing approach, and identify inefficiencies. Next we quantify the financial losses and environmental impacts of those practices. Then we propose an integrated "smarter" framework – including AI triage, centralized tech-enabled recycling centers, and a scaled refurbishment marketplace – and estimate the potential monetary and strategic benefits. Finally, we conclude with recommendations for executive action.

# 2 Restocking System (Current "Naive" Process)

In Walmart's traditional reverse logistics, returned merchandise flows from stores to regional return centers, of which Walmart maintained about six in the U.S. (each serving roughly 680 stores) [12]. At these centers, teams sort items manually into categories such as vendor credit (if still unopened), donation, recycle, or landfill [12]. Usable returns may be sent back to shelves or to clearance, but many opened or defective items are diverted out of the normal inventory. In effect, Walmart's network treats returns as a low-priority backlog, leading to heavy use of liquidation and disposal.

This process is highly inefficient. Industry data indicate the expense of handling a return is roughly 27% of the original sale price [1], driven by labor, repackaging, and transport. Returns can also slash profit margins by up to 50% [1]. Moreover, without precise inspection, perfectly good items may be mis-categorized as scrap. For example, studies show nearly 44% of returned apparel never reaches a second consumer [6]. Applied broadly, if even 20–30% of Walmart's returns were unnecessarily dumped rather than resold, that represents billions of dollars of lost merchandise. (By way of illustration, U.S. retailers saw about $890 billion in returns in 2024 [4].) In Walmart's case, even a 10% improvement in recapturing returned value could add many billions to the bottom line.

Finally, the manual sorting causes delay and excess cost. Returned goods often sit in overflow storage, tying up warehouse space and incurring inventory carrying costs. Handling is largely at the discretion of local teams, so best practices vary store-to-store. In sum, Walmart's restock system – while operational – leaves substantial value on the table by not thoroughly grading returns or routing them to optimal channels.

# 3 Recycling System (Packaging and Waste)

Walmart's public sustainability commitments have targeted waste reduction and recycling. The company aims for "zero waste" in its own operations (US/Canada) by 2025 [7] and has plastics goals for packaging. To meet these goals it has rolled out programs such as in-store collection bins for plastic bags and film (in thousands of US stores), e-waste drop-off points, and supplier initiatives on recyclable packaging. For example, Walmart reports working with suppliers to recycle 280 million pounds of plastic film/rigid plastics in 2023 [10] and has replaced some plastic mailers with recyclable paper (albeit on a small scale) [10]. It also accepts electronics for recycling through a partnership with MRM in many states.

However, these programs have mixed effectiveness. In-store recycling bins face severe contamination and downstream challenges. An ABC News investigation tracked plastic bags dropped in Walmart bag-bin collectors and found that most did not end up recycled locally [3]. Instead, trackers showed bags routed to U.S. landfills/incinerators or shipped to Southeast Asian plastic-processing plants (sometimes controversial facilities) [3]. In short, the label "collecting for recycling" is often superficial – much of the material escapes actual recycling. Walmart itself acknowledges recycling progress depends on consumer behavior and recycling infrastructure beyond its control [7].

On the logistics side, handling recyclables is expensive. Thousands of store bins must be serviced by trucks, and materials must be baled, sorted, and marketed. Contamination (e.g. non-recyclable items mixed in) reduces yield. The scale of Walmart's operations means even modest inefficiencies generate big costs. Given these challenges, the current recycling infrastructure appears inefficient and not fully scalable. With packaging goals already slipping (Walmart now expects to miss its 2025 recyclability targets [7]), it is clear that the recycling system – while well-intentioned – remains underpowered and often a formality rather than a source of reclaimed value.

# 4 Refurbishing System (Current Approach)

Walmart has only recently begun formal resale of returned products. In 2022 it launched Walmart Restored, a controlled marketplace for refurbished electronics and small appliances [8]. Restored items are "professionally inspected, tested and cleaned" with no visible defects, and come with a 90-day guarantee [9]. Only select sellers (invited by Walmart) can list on Restored [9]. Building on this, Walmart introduced Resold at Walmart in 2024 to feature millions of pre-owned items across categories (luxury goods, collectibles, etc.) from over 1,700 sellers [11]. It has also piloted electronics trade-in kiosks and even a clothing donation incentive with Trashie [11].

Despite these moves, Walmart's refurbishing capability is narrow and fragmented. Restored focuses mainly on consumer electronics and small appliances (phones, laptops, TVs, vacuum cleaners). Goods like furniture, bulky appliances, apparel and toys have no formal refurb pipeline. The Restored and Resold platforms rely on third-party sellers; Walmart itself does not operate extensive repair workshops as Apple or others do. Thus, most returned items outside these categories still go to liquidation or disposal. In practice, the refurbishing system captures only a small slice of value: used electronics meeting strict criteria. Many potential resale opportunities are untapped, meaning Walmart often loses out on recovery that more advanced recommerce programs (e.g. Best Buy's Geek Squad resale, or niche used-goods resellers) would capture.

# 5 Financial and Environmental Consequences

## 5.1 Quantifying Financial Losses

The implications of Walmart's naive systems are staggering in dollar terms. Consider returns processing: as noted, handling a return can cost $\approx$27% of its sale price [1]. With Walmart's

sales in the ∼$600 billion range, even a 10–15% return rate implies tens of billions in returned merchandise annually. For example, if $90 billion worth of goods are returned per year, at 27% processing cost Walmart's expense is on the order of $24 billion each year just for labor, shipping, warehousing and admin. Automation or streamlining could recoup a significant fraction of that.

Value lost from discarded/poorly liquidated inventory is also huge. Industry studies show about 44% of returned apparel is never resold [6], often because processing would cost more than its price [6]. If a similar fraction (even ∼20–30%) holds for Walmart's returns across all categories, then $18–27 billion of merchandise might be written off or heavily discounted instead of resold properly. (Even conservative adjustments – e.g. reclaiming just 10% of that "lost" value – would yield billions of dollars.) Additionally, Amazon reported that fraudulent or unnecessary returns account for ∼10% of lost sales [13]. While Walmart hasn't published its fraud losses, preventing a portion of returns can directly protect profit margins.

Other hard costs include waste disposal fees. Landfill tipping charges in the U.S. range from roughly $30–$50 per ton. Walmart's returns centers likely send thousands of tons to landfills annually – a disposal cost that easily reaches millions of dollars per year. Transportation adds fuel and labor expenses as goods move repeatedly (store → center → maybe offsite liquidator). Overhead also mounts from extra inventory handling and obsolescence.

### 5.2 Environmental Impact

The current approach generates large ecological harm. Walmart's diverted waste is impressive on paper, but its return-waste footprint remains significant. For context, 8.4 billion pounds of returned products went to U.S. landfills in 2023 [2]. These are new goods that consumers paid for but never fully used. The environmental cost is magnified by the fact that producing those goods likely emitted 2–16 times the carbon of returning them (according to one analysis [2]). Discarded electronics, packaging and textiles add toxic e-waste burdens. In sum, Walmart's unsophisticated reverse logistics today contributes to millions of tons of unnecessary waste and greenhouse gas emissions. By contrast, a smarter system of reuse and recycling could greatly shrink its carbon footprint.

## 6 Proposed "Smarter" Systems

To transform waste into value, Walmart should build a next-generation reverse-logistics platform across three pillars: AI-powered triage, advanced recycling centers, and a scaled circular refurbishing program.

### 6.1 AI-Powered Triage and Restocking

Equip stores and returns centers with machine-learning systems that instantly assess returned items. Computer vision and sensor-based inspection can identify damages, test functionality, and measure product condition. For example, an AI camera can check if packaging is intact or if batteries hold >80% capacity (the Restored standard) [9]. Machine-learning algorithms (fed by Walmart's massive returns data) can recommend the optimal disposition for each

item: immediate restock, send to refurbishment, recycle material, or liquidate. This replaces manual guessing with data-driven decisions. AI can also flag anomalies (potential fraud) and predict likely return reasons to adjust policies. Industry analyses confirm that AI in reverse logistics can significantly reduce costs: algorithms "optimize return processes" and inspect products for defects, thereby lowering handling expenses [13]. Walmart should pilot smart kiosks or conveyor belts where each return is scanned and graded in real-time, automatically updating inventory or repair queues.

## 6.2 Centralized and High-Tech Recycling Centers

Rather than handling recyclables ad hoc, Walmart can establish or partner on regional Circular Return Centers (CRCs) equipped with advanced sorting technology. These centers would consolidate returns from many stores. Using optical scanners, robotics and conveyor lines (similar to modern waste-management facilities), they could sort items by material (plastics, metals, electronics, textiles). For example, an AI-powered sorter could separate recyclable plastic film from non-recyclables with high accuracy. Items destined for material recovery (e.g. electronics, batteries, cardboard) would be batched for specialized processing. This model resembles CheckSammy's Zero Point Facilities, which receive mixed returns and route products "along the most sustainable route available: recycling, repurposing, donation, or secure disposal" [2]. Walmart could lease space in those or similar centers, or invest in its own hubs. Crucially, the company should forge partnerships with recycling specialists (e.g. e-waste firms, battery recyclers, textile processors). For instance, collaborating with certified electronics recyclers (such as ERI or Redwood Materials for batteries) would ensure that valuable raw materials are reclaimed instead of landfilled. Centralizing recycling maximizes economies of scale and transparency, addressing the "infrastructure gap" that currently drives waste overseas [3].

## 6.3 Scaled Circular Refurbishment Program

Walmart should vastly broaden its refurbishment offerings beyond the limited Restored pilot. This includes: (a) Expanding product categories: invite more sellers to Walmart.com for refurbished electronics, and extend to appliances, power tools, furniture, sports gear, etc., under a unified "Walmart Renew" brand. Each refurbished item would meet certified standards (tested by Walmart or partners) and carry a warranty. (b) Improving quality controls: adopt industry best practices (like Apple Certified Refurbished) so customers trust used-goods quality. (c) Retail presence: add in-store trade-in kiosks and repair workshops (partnering with Asurion or Geek Squad–type services) to capture devices like phones and tablets on the spot. (d) Product-as-a-Service models: pilot leasing programs for certain goods (e.g. home exercise equipment, tools, electronics) where Walmart retains ownership and maintains the product, thereby guaranteeing eventual repair or recycling. By making refurbished items prominent (e.g. featuring them on Walmart+ or in stores), Walmart can tap into the estimated 77% of consumers now willing to buy secondhand from brands [2]. This approach turns returns into a revenue stream.

Collectively, these smarter systems would transform reverse logistics from a cost center into an opportunity. Walmart could employ similar data-analytics platforms as used on the

forward chain, ensuring each returned unit is evaluated, tracked, and routed for maximum value recovery.

# 7 Projected Savings and Benefits

## 7.1 Financial Savings

Implementing these smarter systems promises substantial cost reductions. Labor and handling costs would drop as automation and AI replace manual sorting. Walmart's CFO has already forecasted ∼20% lower unit costs in automated fulfillment centers [5]; similar gains are plausible in reverse logistics (even a 10–15% cut on returns processing could save several billion dollars annually). Transportation efficiency would improve by routing items directly to CRCs rather than multiple hops. Recovered inventory value adds to revenue: for instance, every dollar of returned goods diverted into refurbished sales is recouped instead of written off. If Walmart were to double the volume of returned merchandise it resells (even from 5% to 10% of returns), that could translate into billions in additional sales. Moreover, landfill and waste costs would decline: processing one ton less waste saves on tipping fees and hauling (easily tens of dollars per ton). Aggregating effects, we conservatively estimate Walmart could save on the order of $2–5 billion per year by 2027 through these measures (presented as a range to reflect uncertainty).

## 7.2 Non-Financial Benefits

Beyond dollars, these reforms yield strategic value. Customers gain by having easy return experiences and affordable refurbished options, which builds loyalty. As noted, the vast majority of consumers say they would opt for secondhand or open-box items if available [2]; meeting this demand can increase market share. Walmart's brand also gains from sustainability leadership. Removing e-waste and packaging from landfills directly advances Walmart's environmental goals (and those of its investors). Improved data from AI systems would offer actionable insights: tracking frequent return reasons helps improve product design and demand forecasts (a point stressed in reverse-logistics literature [13]). Finally, meeting circular-economy metrics (like waste diversion rates) enhances Walmart's corporate responsibility profile. In sum, these smarter systems would strengthen customer trust and brand image, aligning Walmart with growing consumer and regulatory expectations for sustainable retail [2].

# 8 Conclusion and Recommendations

Walmart's traditional approach to returns – heavily manual and siloed – leads to huge hidden losses and environmental waste. Our analysis shows that with targeted investments in AI, automation, and circular partnerships, Walmart can reclaim large portions of this lost value. The math is compelling: reducing returns processing costs by even a modest fraction and capturing a larger share of reused inventory can yield savings in the low billions annu-

ally. Equally important are the qualitative gains in sustainability credentials and customer goodwill.

We therefore strongly recommend that Walmart's leadership initiate a reverse-logistics transformation. Specifically, we advise: (1) Piloting AI-driven triage at key return centers and pilot stores, (2) Establishing a few centralized sorting facilities with advanced robotics (potentially through joint ventures), and (3) Expanding refurbishment programs into a full-scale division (with certified standards and marketing). These steps should be accompanied by new KPIs (e.g. % of returns diverted to resale, reduction in landfill weight) and by forming cross-functional teams (supply chain, sustainability, IT) to oversee implementation.

In conclusion, turning returns from a "blind spot" into a profit center is now within reach. By adopting smarter restock, recycle, and refurbish systems, Walmart can unlock significant financial savings, dramatically shrink its environmental footprint, and reinforce its image as a leader in sustainable retail. The strategic case is clear: it is time for Walmart to invest decisively in the future of reverse logistics.

# References


[1] Baker, C. (2023, November 30). Beyond the bin: Rethinking retail returns. *IT Supply Chain*. Retrieved July 2025, from `https://itsupplychain.com/beyond-the-bin-rethinking-retail-returns/`

[2] Case, S. (2024, May 13). Going circular: The future of retail returns and reverse logistics. *National Retail Federation*. Retrieved July 2025, from `https://nrf.com/blog/going-circular-future-retail-returns-and-reverse-logistics`

[3] Gutman, M., Simon, E., Park, C., Simpson, T., Schlosberg, J., Brooksbank, T., & Nichols, M. (2024, April 23). Plastic bags from Walmart US recycling bins tracked to controversial plastic facilities in Southeast Asia. *ABC News*. Retrieved July 2025, from `https://abcnews.go.com/US/plastic-bags-walmart-us-recycling-bins-tracked-controversial/story?id=109491232`

[4] Hare, S. J. (2025, February 25). The $890 billion problem for retailers and brands: Returns. *Medium*. Retrieved July 2025, from `https://medium.com/@techstyleedit/the-890-billion-problem-for-retailers-and-brands-returns-9a906343cc88`

[5] Monteros, M. (2023, April 19). After layoffs and warehouse closures, Walmart and Chewy are accelerating investments in automated fulfillment centers. *Modern Retail*. Retrieved July 2025, from `https://www.modernretail.co/operations/after-layoffs-and-warehouse-closures-walmart-and-chewy-are-accelerating-investments`

[6] Pleuni. (2024, August 13). 44% of returned items never resold. *Ecommerce News Europe*. Retrieved July 2025, from `https://ecommercenews.eu/44-of-returned-items-never-resold/`

[7] Rachal, M. (2025, March 11). Walmart says it's unlikely to meet 2025 plastic, recycling targets. *Packaging Dive*. Retrieved July 2025, from https://www.packaging-dive.com/news/walmart-2025-plastic-goals/

[8] Retail Dive. (2022, August 1). Walmart launches product refurbishment program. Retrieved July 2025, from https://www.retaildive.com/news/walmart-launches-product-refurbishment-program/630241/

[9] Resource Recycling. (2022, August 8). Walmart launches refurbished electronics program. Retrieved July 2025, from https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/2022/08/08/walmart-launches-electronics-refurb-program/

[10] Smith, A. (2025, April 15). Walmart, Berry keep working toward 2025 plastics goals. *Resource Recycling*. Retrieved July 2025, from https://resource-recycling.com/recycling/2025/04/15/walmart-berry-keep-working-toward-2025-plastics-goals/

[11] Retail Tech Innovation Hub. (2024, August 28). Walmart Marketplace launches pre-owned destination and unboxes new online fulfillment solutions. Retrieved July 2025, from https://retailtechinnovationhub.com/2024/08/28/walmart-marketplace-launches-pre-owned-destination-and-unboxes-new-online-fulfillme

[12] SupplyChainBrain. (2013, October 28). What does Walmart do with all the merchandise returned after the holiday buying season? Retrieved July 2025, from https://www.supplychainbrain.com/articles/17578-what-does-walmart-do-with-all-the-merchandise-returned-after-the-holiday-buyi

[13] Terry, P. (2023, December). How has AI advanced reverse logistics solutions? *RLA Journal*. Retrieved July 2025, from https://rla.org/media/article/view?id=1685