**Task 4:** Research and present a comparison of different garbage collection algorithms (Serial, Parallel, CMS, G1, ZGC) in Java.

# 1. Serial Garbage Collector

#### **Characteristics:**

- Uses a single thread for garbage collection.
- Simple and straightforward implementation.
- Operates with stop-the-world pauses.

### Strengths:

- Low overhead and easy to implement.
- Suitable for single-threaded applications and environments with limited resources.

#### Weaknesses:

- Can cause long pause times, making it unsuitable for applications requiring low latency.
- Not efficient for multi-threaded applications or large heaps.

### **Use Cases:**

Small applications or systems with a single CPU core.

# 2. Parallel Garbage Collector

#### **Characteristics:**

- Utilizes multiple threads for garbage collection in the young generation.
- Also known as "Throughput Collector."

### Strengths:

- Reduces garbage collection time by using multiple threads.
- Good for applications where high throughput is more important than pause time.

#### Weaknesses:

 Still experience stop-the-world pauses, which may be unacceptable latencysensitive applications.

#### **Use Cases:**

• Applications with high throughput demands where pause time is less critical.

# 3. Concurrent Mark-Sweep (CMS) Collector

#### **Characteristics:**

- Designed to minimize pause time by performing most of the work concurrently with application threads.
- Uses multiple threads for garbage collection.

### Strengths:

- Reduces pause time significantly compared to Serial and Parallel collectors.
- Works well for applications that require shorter pause times.

#### Weaknesses:

- Can lead to fragmentation as it does not compact the heap.
- Requires more CPU resources due to concurrent execution.
- More complex to tune and may result in more frequent GCs if not configured properly.

#### **Use Cases:**

• Applications where low pause times are more critical than throughput, such as interactive applications.

# 4. G1 Garbage Collector

#### **Characteristics:**

- Divides the heap into regions and performs garbage collection incrementally.
- Aims to provide predictable pause times.

### Strengths:

- Provide better control over pause times compared to CMS.
- Compacts the heap as it collects, reducing fragmentation issues.

#### Weaknesses:

- More complex configuration and tuning compared to simpler collectors.
- Slightly high overhead due to the complexity of region-based collection.

#### **Use Cases:**

 Large applications requiring predictable pause times and reduced fragmentation.

# 5. **G1 Garbage Collector**

### **Characteristics:**

- A scalable low-latency garbage collector designed for very large heaps.
- Performs most of its work concurrently.

### Strengths:

- Extremely low pause times (usually under 10 ms).
- Scales well with large heaps, up to terabytes in size.

#### Weaknesses:

- Higher CPU usage due to concurrent operations.
- Newer and less mature compared to older collectors.

# **Use Cases:**

 Applications requiring minimal latency and capable of handling large amounts of data, such as high-frequency trading systems.