

## Program Running Step:

### Usage:

```
spark-submit --master local TwitterClassify-0.0.1-SNAPSHOT.jar  
input_dir_path
```

### Eg:

```
spark-submit --master local TwitterClassify-0.0.1-SNAPSHOT.jar  
/Users/baskars/Upgrad/AnalyticsAssignment/gender-classifier-  
DFE-791531.csv
```

## 1. Data Processing Steps:

- a. List of data issues found in the raw data.
  - There are null values in the columns
  - The "text" column is multiline and some rows got garbage/  
different end of line indicator
  - Need to get the "https" link presence info from text
  - Need to get calculate the num tweets per day count
- b. How did you tackle the issues mentioned above
  - Removed null values rows using "na().drop()" functions;
  - Used .option("escape", "\\") &  
.option("mode", "DROPMALFORMED")  
to read the "text" column properly
  - Used "HttpText" UDF to get the link presence info
  - Used "TweetCntData" UDF to get the num tweets per day info

```
//UDF:Check for http link in the string and return true if found  
else false  
sparkSession.udf().register("HttpText", new UDF1<String, Boolean>()  
{  
    private static final long serialVersionUID = 1L;  
    @Override  
    public Boolean call(String t1) throws Exception{  
        return t1.contains("https");  
    }  
}, DataTypes.BooleanType);  
  
// Create column "HttpLink" to indicate whether text column contain  
link data or not  
cleandata = cleandata.withColumn("HttpLink",  
    functions.callUDF("HttpText", cleandata.col("text")));  
  
// Create new Column "NoOfDays" by taking diff of account creation  
date to present date  
cleandata = cleandata.withColumn(  
    "NoOfDays", functions.datediff(functions.current_date(),  
    functions.to_date(functions.unix_timestamp(cleandata.col("created"),  
    "MM/dd/yy").cast(DataTypes.TimestampType))));
```

```
// UDF:Calculate Tweet count per day by doing
// tweetCnt/noOfDays of input params
sparkSession.udf().register("TweetCntData", new UDF2<Integer,
Integer, Double>() {
private static final long serialVersionUID = 1L;
@Override
public Double call(Integer noOfDays, Integer tweetCnt) throws
Exception{
    if (noOfDays > 0) {
        return (double) (tweetCnt/noOfDays);
    }
    return 0.0;
}
}, DataTypes.DoubleType);

// Create column "TweetCntPerDay" to indicate tweets per day for
each user
cleandata = cleandata.withColumn("TweetCntPerDay",
functions.callUDF("TweetCntData", cleandata.col("NoOfDays"),
cleandata.col("tweet_count")));
```

## 2. Model Building :

### a. Random Forest Classification:

Data Columns:

From Input csv:

Gender, text, fav\_number, created, tweet\_count

Derived Columns:

IDFfeatures using text column

HttpLink using text column

TweetCntPerDay using tweet\_count & created column

HyperParameters:

MaxDepth is set 10:

- Setting this parameters increased the accuracy of model by 2%

- Larger then 10 creates overfitting scenarios

```
//Assembling the features in the dataframe as Dense Vector
VectorAssembler assembler = new VectorAssembler()
.setInputCols(new String[]
{"IDFfeatures","HttpLink","fav_number","TweetCntPerDay"})
.setOutputCol("features");
```

```
// Set up the Random Forest Model
RandomForestClassifier rf =
    new RandomForestClassifier().setMaxDepth(10);
```

```
// Create and Run Random Forest Pipeline
Pipeline pipelineRF = new Pipeline()
```

```

    .setStages(new PipelineStage[]
    {labelindexer, tokenizer, remover, hashingTF, idf, assembler,
    rf,labelConverter});
    // Fit the pipeline to training documents.
    PipelineModel modelRF = pipelineRF.fit(traindata);

    // Make predictions on test documents.
    Dataset<Row> predictionsTestDataRF = modelRF.transform(testdata);

```

#### b. Decision Tree Classification:

Data Columns:

From Input csv:

Gender, text, fav\_number, created, tweet\_count

Derived Columns:

IDFfeatures using text column

HttpLink using text column

TweetCntPerDay using tweet\_count & created column

HyperParameters:

MaxDepth is set 10:

- Setting this parameters increased the accuracy of model by 1%
- Larger then 10 creates overfitting scenarios

```

//Assembling the features in the dataframe as Dense Vector
VectorAssembler assembler = new VectorAssembler()
.setInputCols(new String[]
{"IDFfeatures","HttpLink","fav_number","TweetCntPerDay"})
.setOutputCol("features");

```

```

DecisionTreeClassifier dt = new
DecisionTreeClassifier().setMaxDepth(10);

```

```

// Create and Run Decision Tree Pipeline
Pipeline pipelineDT = new Pipeline()
.setStages(new PipelineStage[]
{labelindexer, tokenizer, remover, hashingTF, idf, assembler,
dt,labelConverter});

```

```

// Fit the pipeline to training documents.
PipelineModel modelDT = pipelineDT.fit(traindata);
Dataset<Row> predictionsDT = modelDT.transform(testdata);

```

### 3. Evaluation Metrics:

Random Forest Classification Metrics:

a. Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1 Score:

Test Accuracy for Random Forest = 0.5113842434011686

Train Accuracy for Random Forest. = 0.5711095843352799

Test F1 for Random Forest = 0.46912557407027267  
Train F1 for Random Forest. = 0.5389596786167257

Test precision for Random Forest. = 0.5271534513305328  
Train precision for Random Forest. = 0.6297683669516918

Test recall for Random Forest. = 0.5113842434011686  
Train recall for Random Forest. = 0.5711095843352799

Confusion Matrix for Random Forest Classification:

gender	predictedLabel	count
male	brand	178
male	female	1241
female	female	1433
male	male	201
brand	brand	904
female	male	142
brand	male	127
female	brand	172
brand	female	565

b. Overfitting or under fitting:

I compared the metrics (Accuracy/F1/precision/recall) between Training and testing data set to check for overfitting/under fit scenarios.

From the results above I see the model is overfit (around 6% difference in accuracy better testing and trained data).

Test Accuracy for Random Forest = 0.5113842434011686  
Train Accuracy for Random Forest. = 0.5711095843352799

Decision Tree Classification:

a. Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1 Score:

Test Accuracy for Decision Tree. = 0.5444287729196051  
Train Accuracy for Decision Tree. = 0.5796976983854346

Test F1 for Decision Forest = 0.5334745939092491  
Train F1 for Decision Forest = 0.5678569335290667

Test precision for Decision Forest = 0.5352113828571744  
Train precision for Decision Forest = 0.5726290528753477

Test recall for Decision Forest. = 0.5444287729196051  
Train recall for Decision Forest. = 0.5796976983854345

Decision Tree Confusion Matrix for Test Data :

+-----+-----+-----+

gender	predictedLabel	count
male	brand	364
male	female	727
female	female	1028
male	male	529
brand	brand	1145
female	male	399
brand	male	191
female	brand	320
brand	female	260

b. Overfitting or under fitting:

I compared the metrics (Accuracy/F1/precision/recall) between Training and testing data set to check for overfitting/under fit scenarios.

From the results above I see the model is overfit (around 3% difference in accuracy better testing and trained data).

Test Accuracy for Decision Tree. = 0.5444287729196051

Train Accuracy for Decision Tree. = 0.5796976983854346

#### 4. Inferences & Suggestions:

a. Compare the results from the models and mention drawbacks and advantages for each of them.

Random Forest:

- The metrics show the model is overfitted
- From the confusion matrix the "female" gender Has more accuracy compare to other labels
- Overall accuracy is around 51%

Decision Tree:

- Overall accuracy is around 54.4%
- From the confusion matrix the accuracy is Distributed across the labels properly
- Compare to random forest it is less overfitted

b. Suggest some improvisation techniques to those models.

Random Forests:

- Can try to improve the metrics using other hyper params like setNumTrees()

Decision Tree:

- Can try to improve the metrics using setMinInfoGain Or setMinInfoGain

c. Choose from the two models present and justify why did you choose that particular model for the given problem statement.

Decision Tree is better in this case. Since this model has better accuracy

and from the confusion matrix I see that the accuracy is consistent across the gender labels. In case of Random Forest the "female" gender is predicated more accurately but the percentage of "male" & "brand" Accuracy is low.

As the given statement "Gender recognition is essential and critical for many applications in the commercial domains. Imagine that Twitter needs to push advertisements based on gender"

It is important to get the model which is more accurate so that we can gain more commercial value out of it.