Computer Architecture

Spring 2020

Hamed Farbeh

farbeh@aut.ac.ir

Department of Computer Engineering

Amirkabir University of Technology

Copyright Notice

Lectures adopted from

- Computer Organization and Design: The Hardware/Software Interface, 5th edition, David A. Patterson, John L. Hennessy, MK pub., 2014
  - Chapter 5: Large and Fast: Exploiting Memory Hierarchy

Block Size Considerations

- Larger blocks should reduce miss rate
  - Due to spatial locality
- But in a fixed-sized cache
  - Larger blocks $\Rightarrow$ fewer of them
    - More competition $\Rightarrow$ increased miss rate
  - Larger blocks $\Rightarrow$ pollution
- Larger miss penalty
  - Can override benefit of reduced miss rate
  - Early restart and critical-word-first can help

Cache Misses

- On cache hit, CPU proceeds normally
- On cache miss
  - Stall the CPU pipeline
  - Fetch block from next level of hierarchy
  - Instruction cache miss
    - Restart instruction fetch
  - Data cache miss
    - Complete data access

Write-Through

- On data-write hit, could just update the block in cache
  - But then cache and memory would be inconsistent
- Write through: also update memory
- But makes writes take longer
  - e.g., if base CPI = 1, 10% of instructions are stores, write to memory takes 100 cycles
    - Effective CPI = 1 + 0.1×100 = 11
- Solution: write buffer
  - Holds data waiting to be written to memory
  - CPU continues immediately
    - Only stalls on write if write buffer is already full

Write-Back

- Alternative: On data-write hit, just update the block in cache
  - Keep track of whether each block is dirty
- When a dirty block is replaced
  - Write it back to memory
  - Can use a write buffer to allow replacing block to be read first

Write Allocation

- What should happen on a write miss?
- Alternatives for write-through
  - Allocate on miss: fetch the block
  - Write around: don't fetch the block
    - Since programs often write a whole block before reading it (e.g., initialization)
- For write-back
  - Usually fetch the block

Main Memory Supporting Caches

- Use DRAMs for main memory
  - Fixed width (e.g., 1 word)
  - Connected by fixed-width clocked bus
    - Bus clock is typically slower than CPU clock
- Example cache block read
  - 1 bus cycle for address transfer
  - 15 bus cycles per DRAM access
  - 1 bus cycle per data transfer
- For 4-word block, 1-word-wide DRAM
  - Miss penalty = 1 + 4×15 + 4×1 = 65 bus cycles
  - Bandwidth = 16 bytes / 65 cycles = 0.25 B/cycle

Measuring Cache Performance

- Components of CPU time
  - Program execution cycles
    - Includes cache hit time
  - Memory stall cycles
    - Mainly from cache misses
- With simplifying assumptions:

$$\text{Memory stall cycles} = \frac{\text{Memory accesses}}{\text{Program}} \times \text{Miss rate} \times \text{Miss penalty}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Instructions}}{\text{Program}} \times \frac{\text{Misses}}{\text{Instruction}} \times \text{Miss penalty}$$

Cache Performance Example

- Given
  - I-cache miss rate = 2%
  - D-cache miss rate = 4%
  - Miss penalty = 100 cycles
  - Base CPI (ideal cache) = 2
  - Load & stores are 36% of instructions
- Miss cycles per instruction
  - I-cache: $0.02 \times 100 = 2$
  - D-cache: $0.36 \times 0.04 \times 100 = 1.44$
- Actual CPI = 2 + 2 + 1.44 = 5.44
  - Ideal CPU is 5.44/2 =2.72 times faster

Average Access Time

- Hit time is also important for performance
- Average memory access time (AMAT)
  - AMAT = Hit time + Miss rate × Miss penalty
- Example
  - CPU with 1ns clock, hit time = 1 cycle, miss penalty = 20 cycles, I-cache miss rate = 5%
  - AMAT = 1 + 0.05 × 20 = 2ns
    - 2 cycles per instruction

Performance Summary

- When CPU performance increased
  - Miss penalty becomes more significant
- Decreasing base CPI
  - Greater proportion of time spent on memory stalls
- Increasing clock rate
  - Memory stalls account for more CPU cycles
- Can’t neglect cache behavior when evaluating system performance