52

```
*********************
1
2
     Combining Iterative and Conditional DO Loops
   ***********************
 3
4
     Syntax
5
   *
6
   *
       DATA output-table;
7
          SET input-table;
8
9
          DO UNTIL | WHILE (expression);
10
             . . . repetitive code . . .
11
             OUTPUT;
12
          END;
13
          DO index-column = start TO stop <BY increment>
14
             UNTIL | WHILE (expression);
15
             . . . repetitive code . . .
16
             OUTPUT;
17
          END;
18
19
          OUTPUT;
20
       RUN;
21
   **********************
22
23
   24
     Demo
25
     1) The intent of both DATA steps is process the DO
26
        loop for each row in the PG2.SAVINGS2 table. One
27
        DATA step uses DO WHILE and the other uses DO
28
        UNTIL. Each loop represents one month of savings.
29
        The loop should stop iterating when Savings exceeds
30
        3000 or 12 months pass, whichever comes first.
31
32
     2) Run the demo program and view the 2 reports that
   *
        are created. Notice that the values of Savings in
33
34
   *
        the DO WHILE and DO UNTIL reports match, indicating
35
        that the DO loops executed the same number of times
36
        for each person.
37
     3) Observe that for the first row in both the DO WHILE
38
        and DO UNTIL reports has Month equal to 13. Savings
39
        did not exceed $5,000 after 12 iterations of the DO
40
        loop. The Month index variable was incremented to
41
        13 at the end of the twelfth iteration of the loop,
42
        which triggered the end of the loop in both DATA
43
        steps and an implicit output action to the output
44
   *
        table.
45
     4) Observe that in rows 2, 3 and 4, the value of Month
46
        in the DO WHILE results is one greater compared to
47
        the DO UNTIL results. This is because in the DO
48
        WHILE loop, the index variable Month increments
49
        before the condition is checked. Therefore, the
50
        Month column in the output data does not accurately
51
```

represent the number of times the DO loop iterated

5/3/2020 Code: p206d04.sas

```
53 | *
          in either DATA step.
 54
       5) To create an accurate counter for the number of
 55
          iterations of a DO loop, make the following
 56 *
          modifications to both DATA steps:
 57
          a) Add a sum statement inside the loop to create a
 58
             column named Month and add 1 for each iteration.
 59 *
          b) Before the DO loop add an assignment statement
60 | *
             to reset Month to 0 each time a new row is read
 61
             from the input table.
62 | *
          c) Change the name of the index variable to an
 63
             arbitrary name, such as i.
 64
          d) Add a DROP statement to drop i from the output
 65
             table.
 66
       6) Run the program and examine the results. Notice the
 67
          values of Savings and Month match for the DO WHILE
 68
          and DO UNTIL reports. Month represents the number
 69
          of times the DO loop executed for each row.
 70
    **************************************
 71
 72
    data MonthSavingsW;
 73
        set pg2.savings2;
 74
        Month=0;
 75
        do i=1 to 12 while (savings<=5000);</pre>
 76
           Month+1;
 77
           Savings+Amount;
 78
           Savings+(Savings*0.02/12);
 79
        end;
 80
        format Savings comma12.2;
 81
        drop i;
 82
 83
   run;
 84
    data MonthSavingsU;
 85
 86
        set pg2.savings2;
 87
        Month=0;
 88
        do i=1 to 12 until (savings>5000);
 89
           Month+1;
 90
           Savings+Amount;
 91
           Savings+(Savings*0.02/12);
 92
        end;
 93
        format Savings comma12.2;
 94
        drop i:
 95
    run;
 96
 97
    title "DO WHILE Results";
    proc print data=MonthSavingsW;
 99
    run;
100
101
    title "DO UNTIL Results";
```