

Assessment breakdown

Computing artefact: 50%

- Tailored to your specialism
- Assessed on quality of code, implementation and working practices

```
t().removeClass("wobble animated"); $(".genhov").removeClass('animated');

$("#fin").select(); $("#fin").select(); }); function array from string

laceAll(",",",a); a = a.replace(/+(?=)/g,"); return a.split(");

pm_string($("#fin").val()), b = $("#limit_val").val(), c = use_unique(array.from

m_string($("#fin").val()), c = use_unique(array.from

m_string($("#fin").val()), c = use_unique(array.from

m_string($("#fin").val()), c = use_unique(array.from

m_string($("#limit_val").val(); c = array.from

m_string(b); function()

m_string(b); function()

m_string(b); function

m_string(b); function()

m_string(b); function()
```

• Technical report: 50%

- Common to all specialisms
- Two (main) components:
 - Technical poster (50%)
 - Written report, presented as a web page (50%)
- Also requires a proposal due this week!
- Expected to form the basis for a portfolio

Technical report: components

Poster

- Contents:
 - Name and project title
 - Description of the artefact
 - Illustration of its architecture
 - At least 1 UML diagram
 - Key system components, patterns, data structures
 - Justification of key design decisions
 - Analyse merits and flaws
- Format: Portrait A3 document
- Submission: printed and PDF

Report

- Contents:
 - Description of the artefact
 - Illustration of its architecture
 - Embedded video of the artefact
 - Communication of practice-based research
 - Defence of an argument related to the outcome of this research
- Format: web page, ideally part of a portfolio site
- Submission: upload zip of html site

Technical report: mark allocation

Poster

- Completion: 15%
- Description of architecture: 10%
- UML diagram: 15%
- Context for practice-based research:
 10%

• Report

- Completion: 15%
- Reflection on the computing artefact:
 10%
- Defence of argument: 10%
- Appropriateness of practice-based research methods: 10%
- Application of academic conventions:
 5%

Timeline

Table 1: Indicative Assignment Timeline

Week 2 ·····•	Show Proposal to Supervisor (Part A).
Week 4 ·····•	Show Computing Artefact Work-in-Progress to Supervisor (Part B).
Week 6 ·····•	Show Computing Artefact Work-in-Progress to Supervisor (Part B).
Week 8 ·····•	Show Draft Poster to Supervisor (Part C).
Week 8 ·····•	Present Poster to Peers (Part D).
Week 9 ·····•	Peer Review Web Page (Part E).
Week 10	Show Web Page to Supervisor (Part E).
Week 10 ·····•	Submit Poster and Web Page to LearningSpace (Part F).
Week 13 ·····	Present Web Page at Viva (Part F).

Proposal

- Outline the computing artefact you intend to create
 - What is its high concept?
 - What functionality will it include?
- Align the computing artefact with your specialism
- Identify the broader context and potential application of your computing artefact
 - Why is it needed?/How would it be useful?
- Describe the work required
 - What are the key components/requirements?
 - How will you address the architect and research requirement?
- Justify that the computing artefact is feasible in scope
 - How long do you expect it to take to implement each part?