Laughtr

A Human Computer Interaction Report

Ashley McGeechan - 2193429M

Jude Haris - 2191920H

Darya Shumitskiy - 2198278S

Concept

Laughtr is a multimodal, information visualisation system designed for the administering and study of laughter therapy on children and young people in a hospital environment.

Long term hospitalisation can have dramatic negative effects on the emotional development of children and young people. As such the practice of offsetting these effects is both a wide and deep area of study for doctors and academics. Our app aims to provide a platform for one such practice; Laughter Therapy. The health benefits of laughing are a timeless nugget of common sense but modern research is uncovering what its effects really are and how they come about. The act of laughing has been shown to decrease stress hormones like cortisol and release positive hormones like endorphins, with the result of reducing levels of stress, anxiety, depression, and increasing tolerance for pain. It's clear to see why harnessing the power of laughter would be a great tool for helping those with serious and debilitating illness. That is why more and more hospitals have been introducing Laughter Therapy. The origins of Laughter Therapy come from the famous journalist and peace advocate Norman Cousins who, when faced with a collagen disease that was crippling him with pain, decided to take matters into his own hands and leave the dreary confines of the hospital. The best pain medicine he could find was not morphine but loud, sustained, and sometimes forced, laughter. He would eventually make a full recovery and while the effects of his home-brand therapy on the disease itself is inconclusive it had assuredly helped his coping with it. Nowadays the therapy takes place within the hospital. Whether it be sessions of group laughter or bringing humour straight to their beds, whether it be young children undergoing surgery or breast cancer patients or elderly alzheimer's victims, whether the laughter be totally natural or totally simulated, laughter has been deployed and studied with great results.

It was for these reasons that we wanted to create a platform for Laughter Therapy that took advantage of mobile devices and their access to the treasure trove of humour found on the internet. Additionally we wanted our platform to be a method of deriving both qualitative and quantitative analysis on the effects of Laughter Therapy live as it happens. The result of this being Laughtr.

Design

Laughtr takes the form of an android app with three main functionalities.

First is a mood evaluation module that uses questions from the Child Health Questionnaire, a globally recognised and academically researched health survey for children, adapted for mobile devices and for multiple levels of maturity and reading comprehension so that the evaluation can be performed with minimal guidance from doctors or parents. Studies show self administered evaluations are no less effective than doctor administered ones and are found to be more comfortable and acceptable to young people. Tests are administered before and after the therapy session to evaluate effectiveness of the treatment and answers are recorded to evaluate changes in mood over longer time frames.

Second is the laughter module. This module records the patients' laugh and provides them with some laugh-motivating material to get them into the mood. The laugh data is saved to the phone for future examination. The material consists of funny images and videos sourced from an external database. This allows all material to be checked and vetted for age appropriateness as well as categorised to provide patients' with content personalised to their own sense of humour and their own sensitivities. There may also be merit in analysing how the patients' tastes changes over time in relation to their mood.

Lastly there is the analysis module. This takes the patients' laugh and provides visualisation and analysation. This has benefits for doctors in evaluating their patients mood, academics in studying the effects of laughter therapy, and also for the patient themself by providing more interesting (but perhaps less medically useful) analytics like the sound wave and the spectrogram. Comparisons are also made to past laughter recordings to again evaluate change over time in relation to mood, but also to encourage the patients to laugh in different ways and with increased passion. Simulated laughter has been shown to provide similar health benefits to natural laughter and often laughing in an absurd or forced manner will bring forth natural laughter as a consequence.

These three modules describe the functional aspects of the app design but what about the non-functional ones?

Dealing with a young target audience comes with a unique set of challenges. The younger generations' heightened familiarity with mobile interaction allows them to adapt and learn the use of the app quickly and make explicit teaching less necessary, but conversely they have higher quality standards for user experience. While adults might accept an application that was more clinical in nature a child is unlikely to engage with such an app. There must be a greater focus on the side of laughter than on the side of therapy.

As such the visual and interactive quality of the app was high on our list of priorities. Many custom assets like the age buttons were created with the intention of feeling like a commercial quality app. Some assets were not custom made but chosen from existing libraries like the emoji buttons that were picked for their familiarity and appeal to young users. Some other visual motifs we used were the use of primary colours, commonly used for children's products to keep things bright and friendly and colourful, and a Christmas background that was mostly to lift our own spirits and would hopefully do the same for the children.

In keeping with high quality user experience we maintained strict standards for response time to prevent boredom or frustration compounding on what might already be a bad mood, and provided auditory feedback where appropriate. Haptic feedback was also included in button presses. All these feedback vectors are able to be disabled in the settings menu. We wanted to avoid sensory overload from too much feedback but in designing for hospitalised children we knew we would have to cover a range of disabilities and preferences so we made customisation a priority.

Covering disabilities, we made sure not to get too carried away on style. Particularly with text. While many apps targeted towards children use handwritten or cartoon-like fonts our audience are more likely to have problems with such fonts due to possible sight issues from their conditions or reading issues from the low target age of the app and the nature of their illnesses interfering with their education. We could not forego style completely and risk making the app seem unfriendly or, as mentioned above, too clinical. So fonts were chosen for a mix of style and functionality. Text in general was kept to a minimum. Outside of the teenager mood evaluation screen, where we thought it was safer to assume a basic reading comprehension and a willingness to answer more in-depth question, most screens only use a line or two of text. Along with being succinct it again plays into our goal of readability as we can obviously make text larger when there is less of it. This is particularly an issue as our app is deployed on mobile devices with varying screen sizes. A hospital setting would be more likely to provide children with a tablet device for using the app than a phone but to cover our bases we ensured readability and functionality over a range of devices.

We made a conscious effort to focus on making the contents of the app age appropriate. To reduce the need for text further we tried to rely on visual imagery to provide context and information to the users. The mood evaluation screens for children and young children use image based metrics to gauge their emotional state rather than the question and answer form used for the teenagers. Different videos are available on the laughter screen depending on the age selected on the start screen and these videos can be screened by relevant authorities before they are made accessible on the app. There are a lot of sensitive aspects to working with children that we believe we gave proper consideration to.

We'd like to talk about certain aspects of the design came about, how we evaluated those aspects, and as well touch on designs that we cut after evaluation or we felt were out of scope.

The mood evaluation screen was one where we iterated through many ideas. We performed a design studio to work through all our concepts together and come to one we felt fit right. Many of our ideas were variations of the question and answer format we used for the teenager mood evaluation but two ideas were unlike the others. The first was using facial recognition technology for moods in a way similar to modern camera filters. This technology already exists and is widely used but adapting it for our specific needs of mood evaluation was far outside our expertise or the scope of the project. There would also be the issue of calibrating it to work with children as the existing technology is mostly designed for adults. The second idea was to create visual scenarios, like comic books, to express the questions in visual form to children who could not read. Unfortunately we could not find instances where this had been done before and without any examples or professional research we did not feel we could accurately translate the questions into images in an empirically effective way. We would love to do a project on this but again it was outside of our scope. This idea was still very useful to us as we adapted it into the emotion images and face images we use for the child mood evaluation screens in the final design. The core of the idea, expressing moods and emotions visually rather than through text, was something we discovered was a necessity in our design that we may not have otherwise realised.

For the laughter screen we once again performed a design studio. This is where we got the most varied and useful ideas. Individually we had concepts for the laughter module but as a team our vision did not go beyond the rather basic idea of a screen where you laugh. Initial designs produced ideas such as

- An animated gif playing. Although simulated laughter can provide the same health benefits of natural laughing it felt unlikely that children would laugh on command without something to laugh at.
- A countdown screen. We needed a way of preparing users for the laugh in a way that didn't feel too commanding or wordy and built up a kind of suspense.
- A waveform. We wanted to provide the user feedback to show the device was picking up their laugh and what better way than a waveform.

In our second round of the design studio we now had a much more unified idea of what the laughter screen was meant to be and what it should include. Modifications made during this process were

 Changing the animated gif to a youtube playlist. We needed to create a variety of laughter content to appeal to the different maturity and taste of the users' which required some sort of greater control structure. We thought a central web server would work and android natively supported webviews. It then occurred to one of the members during the design studio that this meant we could embed youtube playlists and use its platform to provide a much wider variety than we could. Modifying the countdown screen to be much more abrupt. With the laughs being
provided by the content on the screen there was no longer a need to prepare the user
to laugh beforehand. However we felt there was still merit in explaining that
something was going to happen and building up the suspense so that the unexpected
payoff of whatever laugh-inducing thing they were about to see would make it have
more effect.

These design ideas were then collaborated into our final team design. While we include a mockup of what the curated playlists would have looked like in the final app we did not create a backend server behind it, the videos are embedded directly. This was out of scope for our project and a representation of it was all that was needed to show off the design principles behind it.

Design of the analysis screen was limited by what we believed we could actually create. Once again a design studio seemed like the best fit as it would allow us to express what we believed we could implement individually in our first designs and what we could implement as a team in our second designs before coming together and choosing exact requirements. There were two lines of thought between our designs. The first was what we described as sample analysis, providing analysis for the specific laugh sample we had just been provided. This included things like loudness, frequency, wavelength, pitch, timbre, general audio analysis metrics. We also wanted more visually appealing analysis like sound waves and spectrograms that were interesting to those less familiar with audio terms. The second we described as batch analysis, providing comparisons of the sample to previous samples and to samples of other things. We felt like while the sample analysis might be interesting to older kids it would not make much sense to younger ones. What might excite those kids however is seeing if they can laugh louder than they ever have or laugh in funny ways or see how their laugh compares to that of a monkey. A feature we would have liked but did not have the knowledge to implement was audio editing. We thought it would be fun to allow users to edit their laugh, modulating it or adding filters to it and seeing the effects they could come up with. This is one of the main improvements we would like to have implemented in a future version of the app.

While they were not our target demographic we could still use them to gauge things like layout and flow of screens and whether they had improvements to the concepts we used within the design. This resulted in a few structural changes like adding a continue button to control movement from the more complicated teenager mood evaluation screens rather than moving straight after evaluation is over to allow time to reflect on the questions and choices while proceeding from the pages for younger children immediately after selection due to the simpler choices and the lower patience for unnecessary button presses.

Evaluation

Our study of Laughtr was carried out with the intention to evaluate three things.

- 1. How closely the production system functions to the requirements and expectations outlined above.
- 2. Identify specific problems during testing and eradicate them.
- 3. What effect the application interface has on the user.

Setup

Due to the intended audience of our application, it would have been difficult to obtain consent for evaluation. This is purely due to the large amount of time it would take to collect permission from sick children, their parents and their hospital. Therefore, we decided to conduct a study on our design and implementation in a controlled laboratory environment as opposed to testing in the field.

Before conducting an evaluation, we considered several information-gathering methods. Cognitive walkthroughs are a way of considering how a design guides a user to its intended goals. This would have been an interesting way for us to evaluate the effect of our design choices, aimed towards children of different ages, on a user. However, we did not have access to a psychological expert who could provide us with an informed analysis, and we did not have access to our intended audience.

We tried to make sure that participants would not feel embarrassed, as we are aware that laughing hysterically for no reason may look strange. Thus, the evaluation was carried out with the following aspects:

- We used a quiet, isolated space where participants could openly laugh as loud as they wanted without fear of being judged. Not even the evaluators were in the same room as the participant at this point.
- There was a control group which did not interact with the application but was given one of our three mood questionnaires twice in ten minutes. The idea was that the control's mood should not change quickly without any stimulation, and an application user's mood would change because of laughter.
- The application was tested on one user at a time rather than in user groups. This meant that we could evaluate individual experiences as the application is intended for use by one person.
- Post-experience questionnaires were used so as not to interrupt participants' laughter.

This was a chance to record unexpected results, such as participants leaving the experience with a worse mood than they came in with. Participants' thoughts were all recorded using a questionnaire. The questions we asked can be seen in figure **X** below. In the case of the control group, we also recorded their mood questionnaire responses, which we will discuss in the Results sub-section.

Results