Emmanuel ABABIO

ITAI 1371

Viswanatha Rao

Module 3 journal

Learning Experience Analysis - Module 3

Before this module, I thought I already knew about the different types of learning in machine learning. I had heard of terms like supervised or unsupervised learning, but I did not fully understand how they were different or how they could be applied in real life. This module gave me a much clearer picture of the three main types of supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning—and showed me examples of where each is used. For example, I learned that supervised learning is like teaching with an answer key, unsupervised learning is about finding patterns on your own, and reinforcement learning works more like trial and error with rewards. Seeing these explained with real-world cases such as fraud detection, recommendation systems, and autonomous cars made the ideas more concrete for me.

The module also helped me understand the **workflow of machine learning**. Before, I thought it was just about training a model and getting predictions. But now I realize there are important steps before and after that: preparing the data, selecting features, splitting into training and testing sets, training the model, evaluating it, and finally interpreting the results. Going through the wine dataset exercise showed me how each of these steps matters. For instance, splitting the data taught me why overfitting happens if you only train and never test on new data. That changed the way I think about models—not just as tools that give results, but as systems that must be built carefully to avoid mistakes.

Another big takeaway was comparing different models. I trained both a logistic regression model and a decision tree. At first, I assumed the more complex decision tree would be better, but the logistic regression actually performed slightly higher in accuracy. This made me realize that "more complex" does not always mean "better." The confusion matrix also helped me visualize exactly where mistakes happened, which gave me a better sense of how models should be interpreted, not just measured by one number.

The module also taught me about **different types of data**: numerical, categorical, text, and even Boolean. At first, this seemed obvious, but then I understood that each type requires different preprocessing. For example, numbers can be used directly, but text needs natural language processing before it can be modeled. Thinking about this made me connect the technical side of machine learning with real-world applications, like how medical images or financial data would require different handling.

What stood out most was the connection between theory and practice. Before this, I thought of learning types as just definitions to memorize. Now I see them as practical approaches: supervised learning for things like spam detection, unsupervised for clustering customers in marketing, and reinforcement for training robots or self-driving cars. This connection made me feel like I was not just studying machine learning in theory, but actually understanding how it can solve real problems.

Overall, this module helped me move from just “knowing the words” to truly understanding the **different types of learning and their applications**. It also showed me how the full ML workflow works together, from data preparation to evaluation. I still need more practice to become confident with model building, but this module gave me a stronger foundation and made me more excited to keep learning.